Title: A new construction of $C = 1$ \$ Virasoro conformal blocks

Speakers: Qianyu Hao

Collection/Series: Quantum Fields and Strings

Subject: Quantum Fields and Strings

Date: January 07, 2025 - 2:00 PM

URL: https://pirsa.org/25010072

Abstract:

The Virasoro conformal blocks are very interesting since they have many connections to other areas of math and physics. For example, when \$c=1\$, they are related to tau functions of integrable systems of Painlev\'{e} equations. They are also closely related to non-perturbative completions in the topological string theories. I will first explain what Virasoro conformal blocks are. Then I will describe a new way to construct Virasoro blocks at \$c=1\$ on \$C\$ by using the "abelian" Heisenberg conformal blocks on a branched double cover of C. The main new idea in our work is to use a spectral network and I will show the advantages of this construction. This nonabelianization construction enables us to compute the harder-to-get Virasoro blocks using the simpler abelian objects. It is closely related to the idea of nonabelianization of the flat connections in the work of Gaiotto-Moore-Neitzke and Neitzke-Hollands. This is based on a joint work with Andrew Neitzke.

A new construction of $c = 1$ Virasoro conformal blocks

 \blacktriangleright

Qianyu Hao, University of Geneva (joint work with Andrew Neitzke) Based on: arXiv:2407.04483

Jan 2025

Introduction

 \cdots

Two-dimensional conformal field theory has been an important interface of theoretical physics and mathematics over the past decades.

In this talk, the main object is the $c = 1$ (chiral) Virasoro conformal blocks which is especially interesting because of its relation to

Self-dual Nekrasov partition function [Alday-Gaiotto-Tachikawa], e.g.

- Commutative Verlinde line operators [Alday-Gaiotto-Gukov-Tachikawa-Verlinde, Teschner, ...]
- \triangleright dual 4d limit of the topological string/ spectral theory correspondence [Grassi-Hatsuda-Marino]

A Virasoro block $\Psi \in \text{Conf}(C, \text{Vir}_{c=1})$ is defined as a system of n -point correlation functions

$$
\mathcal{T}(p_1)^{z_1}\cdots \mathcal{T}(p_n)^{z_n}\rangle_{\Psi} ,\qquad \forall n\geq 0
$$

for the stress energy tensor T and $p_i \in C$, which are nolomorphic away from $p_i = p_j$, and at $p_i = p_j$, the singular behavior is given by

$$
\mathcal{T}(p_i)^z \, \mathcal{T}(p_j)^z = \frac{1/2}{(z(p_i)-z(p_j))^4} + \frac{2\mathcal{T}(p_j)^z}{(z(p_i)-z(p_j))^2} + \frac{\partial_{z(p_j)} \, \mathcal{T}(p_j)^z}{z(p_i)-z(p_j)} + \mathrm{reg}
$$

Under change of coordinates, T transforms as

$$
\mathcal{T}(\rho)^w = \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}z(\rho)}{\mathrm{d}w(\rho)}\right)^2 \left(\mathcal{T}(\rho)^z + \frac{c}{12}\lbrace z,w\rbrace\right).
$$

We can also define $\Psi \in \text{Conf}(C, \text{Vir}_{c=1}; W_{h_1}(q_1) \cdots W_{h_n}(q_n))$ with primary fields $W_h(q)$, where h is the conformal weight. In the correlators $\langle T(p_1)^{z_1}\cdots T(p_n)^{z_n} W_{h_1}(q_1)\cdots W_{h_n}(q_n)\rangle$ we have extra singularities controlled by

$$
\mathcal{T}(p)^{z}W_{h}(q)=\frac{hW_{h}(q)}{(z(p)-z(q))^{2}}+\cdots.
$$

My talk is to describe a new way to construct the $c = 1$ Virasoro conformal blocks over C.

Our nonabelianization approach constructs harder to compute Virasoro conformal blocks over C using the abelian Heisenberg ones which are easier to be solved exactly, over a branched double cover of C , denoted by C .

The generator J of the Heisenberg algebra satisfies the OPE $J(p_i)J(p_j) = \frac{1}{(z(p_i)-z(p_i))^2}$ + reg and $J(p)^z = \frac{dw(p)}{dz(p)}J(p)^w$.

The important new idea in our work is to use the spectral network which are defined originally in [Gaiotto-Moore-Neitzke].

A spectral network is a collection of walls on the Riemann surface C. Each branch point is an endpoint of 3 walls, meeting at an angle $\frac{2\pi}{3}$.

Each wall comes with a label ij . The label corresponds to the two sheets of the cover \widetilde{C} .

In the previous free field constructions using a double cover, it has been known that there are extra insertions at each branch point. [Dixon-Friedan-Martinec-Shenker, Gavrylenko-Marshakov, Zamolodchikov, \cdots]

An advantage of including the spectral network is that it cancels these unwanted insertions at branch points.

Certain $c = 1$ Virasoro blocks/ Nekrasov-Okounkov partition functions are identified with τ -functions of a class of important nonlinear second order ODE, the painlevé equations.

Our construction also manifests the dependence of τ -function on the spectral network which has been noticed before. [Coman-Longhi-Teschner, Iwaki-Marino, Coman-Pomoni-Teschner, Iwaki-Kidwai, Gavrylenko-Grassi-H, ...]

Nonabelianization

Space of conformal blocks

Applications

Future directions

We build the nonabelianization map

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}}: \quad \operatorname{Conf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \operatorname{Heis}) \quad \to \quad \operatorname{Conf}(\mathcal{C}, \operatorname{Vir}_{c=1}),
$$

where \widetilde{C} is a branched double cover of C with $\pi: \widetilde{C} \rightarrow C$ and \mathcal{W} stands for a spectral network.

Using the dictionary

$$
\mathcal{T}(\rho) \rightsquigarrow \frac{1}{4} \raisebox{.5ex}{.} (\hspace{.5ex} \mathcal{J}(\rho^{(1)}) \hspace{.5ex} - \hspace{.5ex} \mathcal{J}(\rho^{(2)}))^{2} \raisebox{.5ex}{.},
$$

it seems that we can already write down a map of conformal blocks.

Question: Why do we need the spectral network W ?

Let's first forget about the spectral network and see what needs to be improved. We try to construct the map

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varnothing}: \quad \mathrm{Conf}(\widetilde{C}, \mathrm{Heis}) \quad \xrightarrow{\gamma} \quad \mathrm{Conf}(C, \mathrm{Vir}_{c=1}),\\ \left\langle \cdots \frac{1}{4} \colon \left(J(p^{(1)})^{z^{(1)}} - J(p^{(2)})^{z^{(2)}} \right)^2 \colon \right\rangle_{\widetilde{\Psi}} \xrightarrow{\gamma} \left\langle \cdots \mathcal{T}(p)^z \right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{\varnothing}(\widetilde{\Psi})}.
$$

We can test this map in a baby example:

The Riemann surface we consider is $C = \mathbb{CP}^1$, and its double cover is also $\widetilde{C} = \mathbb{CP}^1$, with the projection map

$$
\pi : \widetilde{C} \to C
$$

$$
z \mapsto x = z^2
$$

This map has two branch points, at $z = 0$ and $z = \infty$.

Using $\mathcal{F}_{\varnothing}$, we can calculate

$$
\mathcal{T}(\rho)^{\mathsf{x}} = \frac{1}{16\mathsf{x}(\rho)^2} + \cdots.
$$

Note this equation holds in the correlation function. If $\mathcal{F}_{\varnothing}(\widetilde{\Psi}) \in \mathrm{Conf}(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{Vir}_{c=1}),$

$$
\langle T(p)^x\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{\varnothing}(\widetilde{\Psi})}=\frac{\langle 1\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{\varnothing}(\widetilde{\Psi})}}{16(x(p)-0)^2}+\langle\cdots\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{\varnothing}(\widetilde{\Psi})}\ .
$$

Recall that the definition of conformal blocks requires that the correlation functions are holomorphic away from the diagonal.

The singularity at $(x(p) - 0)$ means that there must be an insertion at 0, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varnothing}(\widetilde{\Psi}) \in \operatorname{Conf}\left(\mathcal{C}, \operatorname{Vir}_{c=1}; \mathcal{W}_{h}(0)\right)
$$

$$
\langle \mathcal{T}(p)^{\times} W_h(0) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{\varnothing}(\widetilde{\Psi})} = \frac{\langle W_h(0) \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{\varnothing}(\widetilde{\Psi})}}{16(\mathsf{x}(p)-0)^2} + \cdots.
$$

The insertion can be determined using the definition of Virasoro blocks with primary fields,

$$
\mathcal{T}(p)^{\times}W_h(q)=\frac{hW_h(q)}{(x(p)-x(q))^2}+\cdots.
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varnothing}: \quad \operatorname{Conf}(\widetilde{C}, \operatorname{Heis}) \quad \to \quad \operatorname{Conf}\left(C, \operatorname{Vir}_{c=1}; \, W_{\frac{1}{16}}(0) W_{\frac{1}{16}}(\infty)\right) \, .
$$

$$
\mathcal{F}_{\varnothing}: \quad \operatorname{Conf}(\widetilde{C}, \operatorname{Heis}) \quad \to \quad \operatorname{Conf}\left(C, \operatorname{Vir}_{c=1}; W_{\frac{1}{16}}(0) W_{\frac{1}{16}}(\infty)\right) \, .
$$

For experts, these extra insertions of primary fields $W_{\frac{1}{16}}$ are known for a while in the "branched free field" construction. [Dixon-Friedan-Martinec-Shenker, Gavrylenko-Marshakov, Zamolodchikov, ...]

However, we still want to have a map to $Conf(C, Vir_{c=1})$, because for example τ -functions live there.

 $W_{\frac{1}{16}}$ at the branch points can be canceled by using a spectral network W. In \mathcal{F}_{W} , we insert an operator $E(W)$ into the correlation functions. The first few correlation functions read

$$
\left<1\right>_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}}(\widetilde{\Psi})}=\left_{\widetilde{\Psi}},
$$

$$
\langle \mathcal{T}(p)^z \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}}(\widetilde{\Psi})} = \left\langle \frac{1}{4} : \left(J(p^{(1)})^{z^{(1)}} - J(p^{(2)})^{z^{(2)}} \right)^2 : E(\mathcal{W}) \right\rangle_{\widetilde{\Psi}},
$$

$$
E(\mathcal{W}) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi i}W(\mathcal{W})\right).
$$

We define $W(\mathcal{G}_i)$ for each wall $\mathcal{G}_i \subset \mathcal{W}$, such that

For a single G ,

$$
W(\mathcal{G}) = \int_{\mathcal{G}} \psi_{+}(q^{(1)})^{\times^{(1)}} \psi_{-}(q^{(2)})^{\times^{(2)}} dx(q),
$$

 \mathcal{C}

where ψ_{\pm} are usually called free fermions. And $x^{(1)}$ and $x^{(2)}$ are lifts of x to the cover.

With the extra insertion

$$
E(\mathcal{W}) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\pi \mathrm{i}}\int_\perp \psi_+(q^{(1)})^{\mathsf{x}^{(1)}}\,\psi_-(q^{(2)})^{\mathsf{x}^{(2)}}\,\mathrm{d} \mathsf{x}(q)\right)\;,
$$

 $T(p)^x = \text{reg}.$

We also checked that the insertion of $E(\mathcal{W})$ won't cause any other singularities of $T(p)$.

This indicates that instead of a map to the conformal block with $W_{\frac{1}{16}}$, $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ is indeed a map to the space of vacuum conformal blocks Conf(C , Vir_{c=1})

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}}: \quad \operatorname{Conf}(\widetilde{C}, \operatorname{Heis}) \quad \rightarrow \quad \operatorname{Conf}(C, \operatorname{Vir}_{c=1}).$

In general, conformal blocks form an infinite dimensional vector space $\mathrm{Conf}(C, \mathcal{V}; \cdots)$, where $\mathcal V$ is the Vertex algebra.

 $Conf(C, Vir_{c=1}; \cdots)$ is acted on by commutative Verlinde line operators L_{γ} . [Alday-Gaiotto-Gukov-Tachikawa-Verlinde, Teschner, \cdots]

 L_{γ} : Conf(C, Vir_{c=1}; · · ·) \circlearrowleft ,

where γ is a loop on C.

Conf(\widetilde{C} , Heis; \cdots) is acted on by the abelian verison of L_{γ} .

We use common eigenblocks of (abelian) Verlinde operators which form a 1d subspace of Conf.

The abelian Verlinde operators have the explicit form

$$
\mathsf{L}_\gamma = \exp(\ell_\gamma), \quad \ell_\gamma = \oint_\gamma \mathsf{J},
$$

where $\gamma \in H_1(\widetilde{C}, \mathbb{Z})$. We call ℓ_{γ} log abelian Verlinde operator.

 ℓ_{γ} acts on conformal block $\widetilde{\Psi}$ as

$$
\langle \cdots \rangle_{\ell_\gamma(\widetilde{\Psi})} = \langle \cdots \ell_\gamma \rangle_{\widetilde{\Psi}} \ .
$$

We calcuate that

$$
[\ell_{\gamma},\ell_{\gamma'}]=-2\pi{\rm i}\left\langle \gamma,\gamma'\right\rangle ,
$$

where \langle , \rangle denotes the intersection number.

Earlier I mentioned diagonalizing L_{γ} . But actually it's easier to first diagnolize half of ℓ_{γ} .

Fix a choice of A and B cycles on $\widetilde{C}_{\widetilde{g}}$, with the intersection condition $\langle A_i, B_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, also fix a vector $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_{\tilde{g}}) \in \mathbb{C}^{\tilde{g}}$. We first define an eigenblock $\widetilde{\Psi}_a$ of ℓ_A , which satisfies

$$
\ell_{A_i}\widetilde\Psi_a=a_i\widetilde\Psi_a\,.
$$

Fix the normalization $f(a)$ by further requiring

$$
\ell_{B_i} \tilde{\Psi}_a = 2\pi i \partial_{a_i} \tilde{\Psi}_a \,, \qquad \langle 1 \rangle_{\tilde{\Psi}_{a=0}} = 1 \,.
$$

Now $\widetilde{\Psi}_a$ is a point in Conf(\widetilde{C} , Heis).

We can construct the Heisenberg blocks by hand explicitly, e.g.

$$
\langle 1 \rangle_{\widetilde{\Psi}_a} = e^{\frac{1}{4\pi i} a \cdot \tau a},
$$

$$
\langle J(\rho) \rangle_{\widetilde{\Psi}_a} = e^{\frac{1}{4\pi i} a \cdot \tau a} \left(\begin{array}{c} p \\ \bullet \end{array} \right),
$$

$$
J(\rho)J(q) \rangle_{\widetilde{\Psi}_a} = e^{\frac{1}{4\pi i} a \cdot \tau a} \left(\begin{array}{ccc} p & q \\ \bullet & \bullet + \end{array} + \begin{array}{ccc} p & q \\ \bullet & \bullet \end{array} \right),
$$

where we use Feynman diagrams

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}\n p_1 & p_2 & p_3 & p_4 \\
\hline\n \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\eta_a(p_1) & B(p_2, p_3) & \eta_a(p_4)\n \end{array}
$$

- \blacktriangleright $\eta_a = \sum_{i=1}^{\tilde{g}} a_i \omega_i$, where $(\omega_1, \ldots, \omega_{\tilde{g}})$ is the basis of holomorphic 1-forms dual to $(A_1, \ldots, A_{\tilde{g}})$.
- \blacktriangleright $B(p,q)$ is the Bergman kernel $B(p,q)$ on \widetilde{C} normalized on the A cycles.

$$
\blacktriangleright \tau_{ij} = \oint_{B_j} \omega_i.
$$

We can also construct eigenblock of abelian Verlinde operators $L_{A,B}$ from eigenblock $\widetilde{\Psi}_a$ of log abelian Verlinde operators ℓ_a .

 $L_{A,B}$ act on $\widetilde{\Psi}_a$ by

$$
\mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{A}_i} \widetilde{\Psi}_\mathsf{a} = \exp(a_i) \widetilde{\Psi}_\mathsf{a}, \qquad \mathsf{L}_{\mathsf{B}_i} \widetilde{\Psi}_\mathsf{a} = \widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathsf{a} + 2\pi \mathrm{i} \mathsf{e}_i} \, .
$$

Fix parameters $((x_1,\ldots,x_{\tilde{g}}),(y_1,\ldots,y_{\tilde{g}}))\in\mathbb{C}^{2\tilde{g}}$, the eigenblock $\widetilde{\Psi}_{x,y} \in \mathrm{Conf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, \mathrm{Heis})$ is defined by

$$
\widetilde{\Psi}_{x,y} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\widetilde{\mathsf{g}}}} \exp\left(-n \cdot y\right) \widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathsf{a}=x+2\pi \mathrm{i} n}.
$$

with eigenvalues $exp(x_i)$ and $exp(y_i)$.

 $E.g.$

$$
\langle 1 \rangle_{\widetilde{\Psi}_{x,y}} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\widetilde{z}}} e^{-n \cdot y} \langle 1 \rangle_{\widetilde{\Psi}_{a=x+2\pi in}} = \exp\left(\frac{x \cdot \tau x}{4\pi i}\right) \Theta\left(\tau, z = \frac{y + \tau x}{2\pi i}\right).
$$

Abelian L_{γ} generate $\text{Sk}_{-1}(\widetilde{C}, GL(1))$

$$
\mathcal{L}_\gamma\mathcal{L}_\mu=(-1)^{\langle\gamma,\mu\rangle}\mathcal{L}_{\gamma+\mu}.
$$

 $Sk_{-1}(\widetilde{C}, GL(1))$ dually is the algebra $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{C}, GL(1)))$

$$
(\mathrm{e}^x,\mathrm{e}^y)\in \mathcal{M}(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}},\mathsf{GL}(1)).
$$

Similarly, the Verlinde operators generate $Sk_{-1}(C, SL(2))$, dually $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{C}, \mathsf{SL}(2)))$. The common eigenvalue of Verlinde operators specifies $\lambda \in \mathcal{M}(C, SL(2)).$

 \mathcal{F}_{W} intertwines these two actions of Verlinde and abelian Verlinde operators via the nonabelianization map

$$
{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\flat} : {\mathcal{M}}(\widetilde{C},\operatorname{GL}(1)) \to {\mathcal{M}}(C,\operatorname{SL}(2))\\ ({\mathrm{e}}^{\times},{\mathrm{e}}^{\mathcal{Y}}) \mapsto \lambda \,.
$$

[Gaiotto-Moore-Neitzke, Hollands-Neitzke]

Eigenblocks of abelian Verlinde operators form a line bundle $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ over $\mathcal{M}(\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}, GL(1)).$

Eigenblocks of Verlinde operators form a line bundle $\mathcal L$ over $\mathcal{M}(C, \text{SL}(2)).$

 $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}}$ gives a lift of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}}^{\flat}$ to the line bundle.

We expect $\langle 1 \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}_P}(\widetilde{\Psi}_a)}$, for the Fenchel-Nielsen \mathcal{W}_P , e.g.

to correspond to a computable form of the conformal block, the Nekrasov partition function, e.g. $Z^{\text{Nek}}(\begin{array}{cc} \beta_q \beta_1 \\ \beta_\infty \end{array})$.

We further expect

$$
\left\langle 1 \right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}_P}(\widetilde{\Psi}_{x,y})} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp\left(-ny\right) \left\langle 1 \right\rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{W}_P}(\widetilde{\Psi}_{a=x+2\pi \mathrm{in}})}.
$$

to reproduce the Nekrasov-Okunkov partition function Z^{NO} .

This expression of $\langle 1 \rangle_{\mathcal{F}_{W_P}(\widetilde{\Psi}_{x,y})}$ is known as the Kyiv formula for the $\tau(x, y)$ function of certain integrable system, e.g. Painlevé VI. [Gamayun-lorgov-Lisovyy]

We give a new expression of the $c = 1$ Virasoro block/ τ function (up to regularization and normalization)

$$
\tau=\det(1+\mathcal{I}_{\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y}})\,,
$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{x,y}$ is an integral operator along the spectral network $\mathcal W$ with kernel

$$
\mathcal{K}(\pmb{\rho},\pmb{\mathit{q}})=\frac{1}{2\pi\mathrm{i}}\frac{\big\langle\psi_+(\pmb{\rho}^{(+)})\psi_-(\pmb{\mathit{q}}^{(-)})\big\rangle_{\widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y}}}}{\big\langle 1 \big\rangle_{\widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y}}}}\,.
$$

This can be viewed as a resummation of the NO partition function.

For generic W , we propose it gives a generic conformal block which is conjectured by Goncharov-Shen.

For example, we propose it can give the strong coupling expansion of τ funtions.

[Gamayun-lorgov-Lisovyy, Coman-Pietro-Teschner,

Bonelli-Lisovyy-Maruyoshi-Sciarappa-Tanzini, Gavrylenko-Grassi-H,

 \cdots

A Fredholm determinant form of τ function comes from the conjectured topological string/ spectral theory correspondence. [Grassi-Hatsuda-Marino, ...]

$$
\sum_{\mathsf{w}\in\mathbb{Z}^{N-1}}\mathsf{exp}\left(\mathsf{F}^{\mathrm{top}}\hspace{-0.05cm}+\hspace{-0.05cm}\mathsf{Nonpert}(\mathsf{F}^{\mathrm{NS}})\right)(t+\mathsf{w})=\mathsf{det}\Big(1+\sum_{i=1}^{N-1}\kappa_iA_i\Big)
$$

E.g., for 5d $SU(2)$ theory, $A_1 = (e^{\hat{p}} + e^{-\hat{p}} + \xi e^{-\hat{x}} + e^{\hat{x}})^{-1}$. The kernel for A_i is only written down for limited special examples.

We expect our construction for 2 irregular singularities can reproduce the proved dual 4d limit of pure $SU(2)$ gauge theory [Gavrylenko-Grassi-H]

$$
Z^{\rm NO}=\tau=\det\left(1+\frac{({\rm e}^{\rm x}+{\rm e}^{-\rm x})}{2\pi}\mathcal{K}\right),
$$

where K is an integral operator on \mathbb{R}_+ with the kernel

$$
\mathcal{K}(u,v)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-4\Lambda(u+v^{-1})}}{u+v}.
$$

[Bonelli-Grassi-Tanzini, Tracy-Widom, Gavrylenko-Grassi-H]

Future directions

- ▶ We hope to produce a way of writing down Fredholm determinants of spectral problems closely related to topological string non-perturbative completion. [Tracy-Widom, Codesido-Grassi-Mariño, Gavrylenko-Grassi-H, ...]
- \blacktriangleright The generalization of nonabelianization to W_N -algebras at $c = N - 1$. This is an analogy to the $SL(N, \mathbb{C})$ connection. And there conformal blocks are less studied.
- Generalize it to other central charges c .
- \blacktriangleright

