
Firefox File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Window Help
https://github.com/PerimeterInstitute/PSI-Numerical-Methods-2025/blob/main/session-15/notebook.ipynb
main
PSI-Numerical-Methods-2025 / session-15 / notebook.ipynb
Top
5.44 MB
Out[134…
k=0
k=1
k=2
k=3
k=4
k=5
Real
Imaginary


Firefox File Edit View History Bookmarks Tools Window Help
Thu Jan 30 10:22 AM
https://github.com/PerimeterInstitute/PSI-Numerical-Methods-2025/tree/main/session-16
PerimeterInstitute / PSI-Numerical-Methods-2025
Type / to search
Code Issues Pull requests Actions Projects Wiki Security Insights Settings
main
Go to file
Add file
History

PSI-Numerical-Methods-2025 / session-16 /

dstndstn add pointer to todays slides 74c8f8c · 11 minutes ago

Name	Last commit message	Last commit date
..		
README.md	add pointer to todays slides	11 minutes ago

README.md

Today's slides: https://github.com/dstndstn/MCMC-talk/tree/main/mcmc-slides



Markov Chain Monte Carlo

Dustin Lang
Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics

PSI Numerical Methods 2025

Borrowing heavily from Dan Foreman-Mackey's slides
https://speakerdeck.com/dfm/data-analysis-with-mcmc

These slides are available at
https://github.com/dstndstn/MCMC-talk

data analysis with

Markov chain Monte Carlo

Dan Foreman-Mackey
CCPP@NYU





the **generative** view of data analysis

The ^a sketch of^ **graphical model** of my research.



The a sketch of graphical model of my research.



$$p(\text{data} \mid \text{physics})$$

likelihood function/generative model

$$\Big\downarrow$$

$$p(\text{physics} \mid \text{data}) \propto p(\text{physics})\, p(\text{data} \mid \text{physics})$$

posterior probability

An example

- Perlmutter+1999 (*https://arxiv.org/abs/astro-ph/9812133*)
- Measured the observed peak brightnesses of a sample of type-1a supernovae (in astronomer "mag" units), and the redshifts ("z") of the supernova host galaxies
- $\mathrm{mag} = \mathrm{mag}_{\mathrm{intrinsic}} + \mathrm{luminosity\_distance}(z, \mathrm{parameters})$



An example

- Generative model:
  $\text{mag}_i = \text{mag}_{\text{intrinsic}} + \text{luminosity\_distance}(z_i, \text{parameters}) + \epsilon_i$
- Probability of a data point given a model ("likelihood"):
  $p(\text{mag}_i \,|\, \text{params}) = \text{Gaussian}(\text{mag}_i \,|\, \mu = f(z_i, \text{params}), \sigma_i^2)$
- Probability of whole data set given a model:
  $p(\{\text{mag}_i\} \,|\, \Omega_M, \Omega_\Lambda) = \prod_i \mathcal{N}(\text{mag}_i \,|\, \text{mag}_{\text{int}} + D_L(z_i, \Omega_M, \Omega_\Lambda), \sigma_i^2)$



An example

- Use Bayes' theorem to convert data likelihoods into contraints on the model parameters $\theta = \{\Omega_M, \Omega_\Lambda\}$
- $p(\theta \,|\, \mathrm{data}) \propto p(\theta)\, p(\mathrm{data} \,|\, \theta)$
- $p(\Omega_M, \Omega_\Lambda \,|\, \{\mathrm{mag}_i\}) \propto$
  $p(\Omega_M, \Omega_\Lambda) \prod_i \mathcal{N}(\mathrm{mag}_i \,|\, \mathrm{mag}_{\mathrm{int}} + D_L(z_i, \Omega_M, \Omega_\Lambda), \sigma_i^2)$





An example

- Then they ran MCMC...
- Resulting parameter constraints (blue ellipse):





Why we often need MCMC

- Real-life models and likelihoods are often complex
- . . . so the resulting constraints have complicated distributions (not Gaussians!)
- . . . but we can represent them with samplings
- MCMC is used for drawing samples from probability distributions that we can compute numerically but cannot solve analytically

Samplings to represent constraints - examples



- From https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.04899
- With a sampling: Marginalize over a parameter by projecting it out



Samplings to represent constraints - examples



- From https://arxiv.org/abs/1611.00036



MCMC

draws samples from a probability function

and all you need to be able to do is

evaluate

the function

(up to a constant)



Metropolis–Hastings



Metropolis–Hastings
in an ideal world



$$\boxed{\mathbf{x}' \sim q(\mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{x})}$$

Metropolis–Hastings
in an ideal world



$$\boxed{\mathbf{x}' \sim q(\mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{x})}$$

$$\boxed{p(\text{accept}) = \min\left(1, \frac{p(\mathbf{x}')}{p(\mathbf{x})}\frac{q(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}')}{q(\mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{x})}\right)}$$

Metropolis–Hastings
in an ideal world





$$\boxed{\mathbf{x}' \sim q(\mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{x})}$$

$$\boxed{p(\text{accept}) = \min\left(1, \frac{p(\mathbf{x}')}{p(\mathbf{x})}\frac{q(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}')}{q(\mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{x})}\right)}$$

Metropolis–Hastings
in an ideal world

Page 21 of 52


$$\mathbf{x}' \sim q(\mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{x})$$

Metropolis–Hastings
in an ideal world

21



$$\mathbf{x}' \sim q(\mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{x})$$

Metropolis–Hastings
in an ideal world





$$\mathbf{x}' \sim q(\mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{x})$$

$$p(\text{accept}) = \min\left(1, \frac{p(\mathbf{x}')}{p(\mathbf{x})} \frac{q(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}')}{q(\mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{x})}\right)$$

Metropolis–Hastings
in an ideal world





$$\boxed{\mathbf{x}' \sim q(\mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{x})}$$

$$\boxed{p(\text{accept}) = \min\left(1, \frac{p(\mathbf{x}')}{p(\mathbf{x})}\frac{q(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{x}')}{q(\mathbf{x}'; \mathbf{x})}\right)}$$

not this time.

Metropolis–Hastings
in an ideal world



Metropolis–Hastings
in an ideal world



Metropolis–Hastings

in an ideal world



Metropolis–Hastings

in an ideal world



About the name

- Monte Carlo: a reference to the famous Monte Carlo Casino in Monaco, alluding to the randomness used in the algorithm
- Markov Chain: a list of samples, where each one is generated by a process that only looks at the previous one.
- Markov: a 19th-centure Russian mathematician and impressive-moustache-haver with an extensive list of things named after him
- Metropolis–Hastings: lead authors of 1953 and 1970 papers (resp.) giving the algorithm with symmetric and general proposal distributions (resp.)



The Algorithm (1)

```
function mcmc(prob_func, propose_func, initial_pos, nsteps)
    p = initial_pos
    prob = prob_func(p)
    chain = []
    for i in 1:nsteps
        # propose a new position in parameter space
        # ...
        # compute probability at new position
        # ...
        # decide whether to jump to the new position
        # ...
        if # ...
            # ...
            # ...
        end
        # save the position
        append!(chain, p)
    end
    return chain
end
```



The Algorithm (2)

```
function mcmc(prob_func, propose_func, initial_pos, nsteps)
    p = initial_pos
    prob = prob_func(p)
    chain = []
    for i in 1:nsteps
        # propose a new position in parameter space
        p_new = propose_func(p)
        # compute probability at new position
        prob_new = prob_func(p_new)
        # decide whether to jump to the new position
        ratio = prob_new / prob
        if ratio > 1 or ratio > uniform_random()
            p = p_new
            prob = prob_new
        end
        # save the position
        append!(chain, p)
    end
    return chain
end
```



The Algorithm (3)

```
function mcmc(logprob_func, propose_func, initial_pos, nsteps)
    p = initial_pos
    logprob = logprob_func(p)
    chain = []
    for i in 1:nsteps
        # propose a new position in parameter space
        p_new = propose_func(p)
        # compute probability at new position
        logprob_new = logprob_func(p_new)
        # decide whether to jump to the new position
        ratio = exp(prob_new - prob)
        if ratio > 1 or ratio > uniform_random()
            p = p_new
            logprob = logprob_new
        end
        # save the position
        append!(chain, p)
    end
    return chain
end
```



The Algorithm (4)

```
function mcmc(logprob_func, propose_func, initial_pos, nsteps)
    p = initial_pos
    logprob = logprob_func(p)
    chain = []
    naccept = 0
    for i in 1:nsteps
        # propose a new position in parameter space
        p_new = propose_func(p)
        # compute probability at new position
        logprob_new = logprob_func(p_new)
        # decide whether to jump to the new position
        if exp(prob_new - prob) > uniform_random()
            p = p_new
            logprob = logprob_new
            naccept += 1
        end
        # save the position
        append!(chain, p)
    end
    return chain, naccept/nsteps
end
```



Practicalities

- How do I choose a proposal distribution?
- How many steps do I have to take?

34



Metropolis–Hastings
in the real world



Metropolis–Hastings

in the real world



Page 37 of 52



Metropolis–Hastings

in the real world



Metropolis–Hastings

in the real world



Page 39 of 52



Metropolis–Hastings
in the real world



the **Small Acceptance Fraction** problem

Metropolis–Hastings in the real world



Metropolis–Hastings
in the real world





Metropolis–Hastings

in the real world



the

Huge Acceptance Fraction

problem



Metropolis–Hastings

in the real world





Metropolis–Hastings
in the real world



Metropolis–Hastings
in the real world

Page 47 of 52



Metropolis–Hastings
in the real world

A connection to symmetries

- In Metropolis–Hastings MCMC, the *proposal distribution* needs tuning parameters, especially as dimensionality increases
- Can be seen as a lack of symmetry in the algorithm—the algorithm is sensitive to the parameterization of the problem
- For example, it's not invariant to an affine transformation
- Next lecture, I'll show you an alternative algorithm that does have affine invariance





How many samples do I need?

- Burn-in — skip the first N samples
- *Has my chain converged?*
- MCMC produces correlated samples, so
  - How correlated are my samples?
    - Can measure the *autocorrelation time* τ
    - Keep $1/\tau$ of the MCMC samples
    - eg *https://github.com/dfm/acor*
  - How many uncorrelated samples do I need?
    - No easy general answer to this question!
    - “How many can you afford?”



How many samples do I need?

- Burn-in — skip the first N samples
- *Has my chain converged?*
- MCMC produces correlated samples, so
  - How correlated are my samples?
    - Can measure the *autocorrelation time* τ
    - Keep $1/\tau$ of the MCMC samples
    - eg *https://github.com/dfm/acor*
  - How many uncorrelated samples do I need?
    - No easy general answer to this question!
    - “How many can you afford?”



How many samples do I need?

- Burn-in — skip the first N samples
- *Has my chain converged?*
- MCMC produces correlated samples, so
  - How correlated are my samples?
    - Can measure the *autocorrelation time* τ
    - Keep $1/\tau$ of the MCMC samples
    - eg *https://github.com/dfm/acor*
  - How many uncorrelated samples do I need?
    - No easy general answer to this question!
    - “How many can you afford?”



Conclusions

- MCMC remains an essential tool for probabilistic inference
- For science: lets us contrain model parameters based on data (Bayesian inference)
- Beguilingly simple algorithm, but difficult practicalities
- MCMC has beautiful theoretical guarantees... as compute time $\to \infty$

52