Title: Generalized disformal Horndeski theories: consistency of matter coupling and cosmological perturbations

Speakers: Kazufumi Takahashi

Collection/Series: 50 Years of Horndeski Gravity: Exploring Modified Gravity

Date: July 15, 2024 - 4:15 PM

URL: https://pirsa.org/24070088

Abstract:

Invertible disformal transformations are a useful tool to investigate ghost-free scalar-tensor theories. By performing a higher-derivative generalization of the invertible disformal transformation on Horndeski theories, we construct a novel class of ghost-free scalar-tensor theories, which we dub generalized disformal Horndeski theories. In the talk, I will clarify the basic idea for constructing the invertible disformal transformation with higher derivatives. I will also discuss some aspects of the generalized disformal Horndeski theories, including the consistency of matter coupling and cosmological perturbations.

Generalized disformal Horndeski theories: consistency of matter coupling and cosmological perturbations

Kazufumi Takahashi (YITP, Kyoto University)

Collaborators: M. Minamitsuji (Osaka Butsuryo), H. Motohashi (Kogakuin)

- KT, M. Minamitsuji, H. Motohashi, PTEP 2023, 013E01 (2023) [arXiv: 2209.02176]
- KT, M. Minamitsuji, H. Motohashi, JCAP 07, 009 (2023) [arXiv: 2304.08624]

\triangleright Scalar-tensor theories

$$
S[g,\boldsymbol{\phi}] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}(g,\partial g,\partial^2 g,\cdots;\boldsymbol{\phi},\partial \boldsymbol{\phi},\partial^2 \boldsymbol{\phi},\cdots)
$$

■Simple extension of GR, useful framework for cosmology/BHs ■ Have a relatively long history

- OJordan (1955), Brans-Dicke (1961), scalar-Gauss-Bonnet, ...
- ●Horndeski (1974) [rediscovered as generalized Galileons in 2011]
	- = The most general class of scalar-tensor theories with 2nd-order Euler-Lagrange equations in 4D (No Ostrogradsky ghost associated with higher derivatives)
- ●DHOST [Degenerate Higher-Order Scalar-Tensor] (2015-2016)
	- EL egs. involve higher derivatives, but they can be removed by an appropriate linear combination of the egs. due to the degeneracy.
	- E subclass that can be generated from Horndeski via disformal trnsf.

\triangleright DHOST and disformal transformations

Disformal transformation: field redefinition in scalar-tensor theories

 $(g_{\mu\nu}, \phi) \mapsto (\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \phi)$

The most general trnsf. up to $\partial \phi$ (and without ∂g) is given by $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}[g,\phi] = F_0(\phi,X)g_{\mu\nu} + F_1(\phi,X)\nabla_{\mu}\phi\nabla_{\nu}\phi \quad (X := g^{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\alpha}\phi\nabla_{\beta}\phi)$ V Invertible in general (i.e., uniquely solvable for $g_{\mu\nu}$)

Disformal transformations map a scalar-tensor theory to another. $S[g_{\mu\nu}, \phi] \mapsto S[\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \phi] = \tilde{S}[g_{\mu\nu}, \phi]$

- ●Preserves the ghost-freeness [Domènech+ (2015)] [KT+ (2017)]
- I subclass of DHOST that can be generated from Horndeski
	- = "disformal Horndeski" (DH)

●DHOST lying outside the DH class do not admit viable cosmology, and hence are phenomenologically disfavored. [Langlois+ (2017)], [KT, Kobayashi (2017)], [Langlois+ (2018)]

Beyond DHOST?

DHOST: general but not the most general ghost-free scalar-tensor theory

Going beyond DHOST...

● would enlarge our knowledge on ghost-free scalar-tensor theories

● would lead to novel phenomenology cf. DHOST exhibits peculiar phenomena compared to Horndeski, e.g., Vainshtein breaking inside astrophysical bodies [Kobayashi+ (2014)], [Koyama+ (2015)], [Saito+ (2015)], ...

How can we go beyond DHOST?

One could enlarge the underlying theory space & impose degeneracy.

$$
C = F2R + F3G\mu\nu\phi\mu\nu + C2(\partial^2 \phi)^2 + C3(\partial^2 \phi)^3
$$

+ $\#(\partial^2 \phi)^4 + \# \partial^3 \phi + \cdots$

NB Within DHOST, only "disformal Horndeski" admits viable cosmology.

•More efficient if we can generalize the disformal transformation

\triangleright Disformal trnsf. with higher derivatives

 \blacksquare Conventional disformal transformations

 $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = F_0(\phi, X)g_{\mu\nu} + F_1(\phi, X)\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi$

form the most general class of trnsf. up to $\partial \phi$ (and without ∂g)

 \checkmark Invertible (necessary to generate ghost-free theories)

■ Group structure of disformal trnsf. is useful to construct its inverse. \bullet If $\bar{g}' \circ \bar{g} = \bar{g}''$, then the inverse of \bar{g} is obtained by putting $\bar{g}'' = id$.

■ Closedness under the functional composition is nontrivial when generalized to disformal trnsf. with higher derivatives.

 \bullet For trnsf. with $\nabla \nabla \phi$.

 $\bar{g}, \bar{g}' \ni \nabla \nabla \phi \supset \Gamma \supset \partial g$

which generically yields unwanted higher derivatives in

$$
\bar{g}'\circ \bar{g}\ni \partial\bar{g}\supset \overline{\partial^3 \phi}\notin \bar{g},\bar{g}'
$$

 \rightarrow Need to tune the trnsf. law so that the operation is closed

Pirsa: 24070088

Generalized disformal transformation

■Such a tuning is available for trnsf. of the form

 $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = F_0(\phi, X, Y, Z)g_{\mu\nu} + F_1(\phi, X, Y, Z)\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi$ +2 $F_2(\phi, X, Y, Z) \nabla_{(\mu} \phi \nabla_{\nu)} X + F_3(\phi, X, Y, Z) \nabla_{\mu} X \nabla_{\nu} X$ which involves $Y := g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} \phi \nabla_{\beta} X, \qquad Z := g^{\alpha\beta} \nabla_{\alpha} X \nabla_{\beta} X$ $\overline{X}_Y = F_0 \neq 0$, $\overline{X}_Y = \overline{X}_Z = 0$, $\overline{X}_X \neq 0$, $\left| \frac{\partial (\overline{Y}, \overline{Z})}{\partial (Y, \overline{Z})} \right| \neq 0$

 $X := \nabla_{\alpha} \phi \nabla^{\alpha} \phi$

• Most general trnsf. constructed out of ϕ , $\partial \phi$, ∂X ($\exists \partial^2 \phi$)

OIncludes the conventional disformal trnsf. as a special case $\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = F_0(\phi, X)g_{\mu\nu} + F_1(\phi, X)\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi$

● Can be extended to include arbitrary higher derivatives [KT (2023)]

 \checkmark The trnsf. is invertible if F_{μ} 's satisfy some conditions. [KT+ (2021)]

▶ Generalized disformal Horndeski (1)

Horndeski theories described by the action

\n
$$
S_H = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[G_2 + G_3 \Box \phi + G_4 R - 4G_{4X} g^{\alpha[\mu]} g^{\beta[\nu]} \phi_{\alpha\mu} \phi_{\beta\nu} \right]
$$
\n

\n\n $S_H = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[G_2 + G_3 \Box \phi + G_4 R - 4G_{4X} g^{\alpha[\mu]} g^{\beta[\nu]} \phi_{\alpha\mu} \phi_{\beta\nu} \right]$ \n

$$
+G_5 G^{\mu\nu}\phi_{\mu\nu} + 2G_{5X} g^{\alpha[\mu]}g^{\beta|\nu|}g^{\gamma[\lambda]}\phi_{\alpha\mu}\phi_{\beta\nu}\phi_{\gamma\lambda}]
$$

are mapped to new ghost-free theories.

= "Generalized Disformal Horndeski" (GDH) theories

Transformation law for each building block

▶ Generalized disformal Horndeski (2)

The action of GDH theories is written as

$$
S_{\text{GDH}}[g, \phi] := S_{\text{H}}[\bar{g}, \phi] = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \, J \sum_{I=2}^5 \tilde{L}_I[g, \phi]
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{L}_2 := G_2
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{L}_3 := G_3 \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} (\phi_{\mu\nu} - C^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} \phi_{\rho})
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{L}_4 := G_4 \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} (R_{\mu\nu} - 2C^{\alpha}_{\beta[\alpha} C^{\beta}_{\nu]\mu}) - 2(G_{4\phi} \phi_{\alpha} + G_{4X} \bar{X}_{\alpha}) \bar{g}^{\mu[\nu} C^{\alpha]}_{\mu\nu} + \# \phi^{(\mu} \chi^{\nu)} + \# X^{\mu} X^{\nu}
$$

\n
$$
-4G_{4X} \bar{g}^{\alpha[\mu]} \bar{g}^{\beta[\nu]} (\phi_{\alpha\mu} - C^{\sigma}_{\alpha\mu} \phi_{\sigma}) (\phi_{\beta\nu} - C^{\rho}_{\beta\nu} \phi_{\rho})
$$

\n
$$
\tilde{L}_5 := G_5 \left(\bar{g}^{\mu\lambda} \bar{g}^{\nu\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \bar{g}^{\lambda\sigma} \right) (\phi_{\mu\nu} - C^{\rho}_{\mu\nu} \phi_{\rho}) (R_{\lambda\sigma} + 2V_{[\alpha} C^{\alpha}_{\sigma]\lambda} + 2C^{\alpha}_{\beta[\alpha} C^{\beta}_{\sigma]\lambda})
$$

\n
$$
+ 2G_{5X} \bar{g}^{\alpha[\mu]} \bar{g}^{\beta[\nu]} \bar{g}^{\gamma[\lambda]} (\phi_{\alpha\mu} - C^{\sigma}_{\alpha\mu} \phi_{\sigma}) (\phi_{\beta\nu} - C^{\rho}_{\beta\nu} \phi_{\rho}) (\phi_{\gamma\lambda} - C^{\eta}_{\gamma\lambda} \phi_{\eta})
$$

\n
$$
\text{contains } \partial^3 \phi \text{ through } C^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}
$$

\n
$$
\text{Free functions: } G_{2,3,4,5}(\phi, X), \overline{X}(\phi, X), F_{0,1,2}(\phi, X, Y, Z).
$$
<

\triangleright Theory space

Inclusion relation among ghost-free scalar-tensor theories

unstable or no tensor modes

OWithin known DHOST, only the DH class can admit viable cosmology.

 \bigcirc GDH \supset DH \supset Horndeski because

{generalized disformal} \supset {disformal} \supset id

\triangleright Consistency of matter coupling

Matter coupling in GDH theory can introduce a ghost.

NB No such problem in DHOST [Deffayet, Garcia-Saenz (2020)]

 \bullet Consider GDH gravity + matter field(s) Ψ

 $S[g, \phi, \Psi] = S_{GDH}[g, \phi] + S_m[g, \Psi], S_{GDH}[\bar{g}, \phi] := S_H[g, \phi]$ By construction of GDH theories, E "Horndeski" frame:

 $S[\bar{g}, \phi, \Psi] = S_H[g, \phi] + S_m[\bar{g}, \Psi]$

• Under the unitary gauge $\phi = \phi(t)$, the dynamics of the system is governed by the metric variables (N, N_i, γ_{ij}) and matter (Ψ) .

 $\mathbf{N} \in S_{\text{m}}[\bar{g}, \Psi]$ makes N dynamical in general (= Ostrogradsky mode). **NB** $\bar{g} \ni \nabla \nabla \phi \supset \Gamma \supset \dot{N}$ in general

 $\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}$ subclass of GDH where matter fields can be consistently coupled [KT, Minamitsuji, Motohashi (2022)], [Naruko+ (2022)], [KT, Kimura, Motohashi (2022)] [Ikeda, KT, Kobayashi (2023)]

\triangleright EFT of cosmological perturbations

√Provide a model-indep. description of cosmological perturbations $\blacksquare \phi$ has a timelike profile on a cosmological background. Under the unitary gauge $\phi = \phi(t)$, the action for perturbations is written in terms of not only 4D covariant objects but also spatially covariant objects, such as K_{ij} and $(3)R$.

■Quadratic action at the leading order in derivatives

$$
\delta_2 S = \int \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}^3 x \, Na^3 \frac{M^2}{2} \Bigg[\delta K_j^i \delta K_i^j - \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} \alpha_\mathrm{L} \right) \delta K^2 + (1 + \alpha_\mathrm{T}) \delta_2 \left(\frac{(3)}{R} \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{a^3} \right) \Bigg. \\ + H^2 \alpha_\mathrm{K} \frac{\delta N^2}{N^2} + 4H \alpha_\mathrm{B} \frac{\delta N}{N} \delta K + (1 + \alpha_\mathrm{H}) \frac{\delta N}{N} \delta^{(3)} R \Bigg]
$$

• Each parameter has some physical meaning. (e.g., $\alpha_T = c_{GW}^2 - 1$) \bullet Horndeski theory amounts to a particular choice of M^2 and α 's.

EFT action for GDH theories

Performing the generalized disformal trnsf. yields

$$
\delta_2 S = \int \mathrm{d}t \mathrm{d}^3 x \, Na^3 \frac{M^2}{2} \left\{ \delta K_j^i \delta K_i^j - \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} \alpha_L \right) \delta K^2 + (1 + \alpha_T) \delta_2 \left(\frac{(3)}{R} \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{a^3} \right) \right. \\
\left. + H^2 \alpha_K \frac{\delta N^2}{N^2} + 4H \alpha_B \frac{\delta N}{N} \delta K + (1 + \alpha_H) \frac{\delta N}{N} \delta^{(3)} R \right\}
$$
\n(New in DHOST \rightarrow)

\n
$$
+ \frac{4\beta_1}{N} \left(\frac{\delta N}{N} \right) \delta K + \frac{\beta_2}{N^2} \left[\left(\frac{\delta N}{N} \right) \right]^2 + \beta_3 \frac{(\partial_i \delta N)^2}{N^2 a^2}
$$
\n(New in GDH \rightarrow)

\n
$$
+ \frac{\gamma_1}{M_\text{D}} \delta K_j^i \frac{\partial_i \partial^j \delta N}{N a^2} + \frac{\gamma_2}{M_\text{D}} \delta K \frac{\partial_i^2 \delta N}{N a^2} + \frac{\gamma_3}{M_\text{D}^2} \left(\frac{\partial_i^2 \delta N}{N^2 a^4} \right)^2
$$

 $\Theta \beta_{\#}$'s are those newly appear in DHOST. Effects on CMB have been studied in [Hiramatsu+ (2020)] [Hiramatsu (2022)].

 $\bullet \gamma_{\#}$'s are proportional to (some combination of) the new disformal factors, with M_D being an associated mass scale. All these new operators contain higher spatial derivative of δN .

> LIGO/Virgo bound

The simultaneous detection of GW170817 & GRB 170817A implies $c_{\rm GW}^2 = 1$ in the late-time Universe, which corresponds to $\alpha_{\rm T} = 0$.

In terms of the GDH functions, we have

$$
\alpha_{\rm T} = \frac{XG_4(\phi, \bar{X})}{\bar{X}F_0[G_4(\phi, \bar{X}) - 2\bar{X}G_{4\bar{X}}(\phi, \bar{X})]} - 1. \quad \boxed{\frac{F_0: \text{ conformal factor}}{\bar{X} = \bar{g}^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\phi\partial_\beta\phi}}
$$

NB G_5 also contributes to α_T , but it has been omitted for simplicity.

Therefore,
$$
\alpha_{\text{T}} = 0
$$
 requires $(G_5 = 0 \text{ and})$

$$
\frac{\overline{X}F_0}{X} = \frac{G_4(\phi, \overline{X})}{G_4(\phi, \overline{X}) - 2\overline{X}G_{4\overline{X}}(\phi, \overline{X})}
$$

 \checkmark A nontrivial subclass of GDH theories still survives.

> Summary & future works

- ■Constructed "generalized disformal Horndeski" theories by invertible generalized disformal trnsf. of Horndeski theories
	- √Matter fields can be consistently coupled.
	- ✔ Extended the EFT of inflation/DE to accommodate GDH
- Many possible future works:
	- **OBH** solutions
	- Oneutron stars
	- Oscreening mechanism etc.

