

Title: The how and why of translating between the circuit model and the one-way model of quantum computing

Speakers: Miriam Backens

Collection: Foundations of Quantum Computational Advantage

Date: May 03, 2024 - 11:15 AM

URL: <https://pirsa.org/24050004>

Abstract: In the one-way model of measurement based quantum computing, unlike the quantum circuit model, a computation is driven not by unitary gates but by successive adaptive single-qubit measurements on an entangled resource state. So-called flow properties ensure that a one-way computation, described by a measurement pattern, is deterministic overall (up to Pauli corrections on output qubits). Translations between quantum circuits and measurement patterns have been used to show universality of the one-way model, verify measurement patterns, optimise quantum circuits, and more. Yet while it is straightforward to translate a circuit into a measurement pattern, the question of algorithmic "circuit extraction" -- how to translate general measurement patterns with flow to ancilla-free circuits -- had long remained open for all but the simplest type of flow. In this talk, we will recap the one-way model of quantum computing and then explain how the problem of circuit extraction was resolved using the ZX-calculus as a common language for circuits and measurement patterns. We also discuss applications.


The how and why of translating between the circuit model and the one-way model of quantum computing

Miriam Backens (they/them)
Inria & Loria
`miriam.backens@inria.fr`

FoQaCiA workshop, 3 May 2024

Two models of quantum computation

quantum circuit model



[Deutsch 1989]

- ▶ initialise state $|0 \dots 0\rangle$
- ▶ computation driven by unitary gates
- ▶ read out data using computational basis measurements

one-way model (MBQC)

$$X_3^{s_2} M_2^{XY, \beta} Z_3^{s_1} X_2^{s_1} M_1^{XY, \alpha} E_{23} E_{12} N_3 N_2$$

[Raussendorf & Briegel 2001]

- ▶ initialise entangled resource state (can be independent of computation)
- ▶ computation driven by successive adaptive single-qubit measurements
- ▶ if goal is state preparation, need Pauli gates as correction at end

Motivation

Translation between models

- ▶ circuit to MBQC is straightforward
- ▶ MBQC to circuit with ancillas is also straightforward

Question 1: How to translate any (suitably deterministic) MBQC into an ancilla-free circuit?

Rewriting MBQCs

- ▶ optimisation (of circuits and of MBQC themselves), e.g. computational depth, number of operations,...
- ▶ verification
- ▶ blind quantum computation

Question 2: What rewrite rules would be useful?

Outline

The one-way model of measurement-based quantum computing

A common formalism for circuits and MBQC


Translation and rewriting

Conclusions

Resource states for the one-way model

The resource states are **graph states** defined by simple graphs $G = (V, E)$:

- ▶ For each vertex in V , a qubit prepared in state $|+\rangle$.
- ▶ For each edge in E , a CZ gate.


$$\rightsquigarrow CZ_{12} CZ_{23} CZ_{24} CZ_{34} |+++\rangle$$

All graph states are **stabiliser states**: eigenstates of certain tensor products of Pauli matrices.

Measurements and corrections

Measurements are constrained to three planes of the Bloch sphere spanned by two of the Pauli operators: XY , XZ and YZ .

- ▶ Each measurement has an associated angle α .
- ▶ Pauli measurements may be treated separately.

Desired and **undesired** measurement outcomes are related by Pauli matrices, e.g.

$$|+_{XY,\alpha}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + e^{i\alpha}|1\rangle) \quad | -_{XY,\alpha}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - e^{i\alpha}|1\rangle) = Z | +_{XY,\alpha}\rangle$$


Correction strategy: use **stabiliser property** of graph state to turn undesired outcome into desired one by applying Paulis to other qubits.

- ▶ Must have trivial effects on all qubits that are already measured.

Determinism and flow properties

Theorem [Browne et al. 2007, Mhalla et al. 2022]


An MBQC has a robustly deterministic implementation if and only if the underlying labelled open **graph** has flow.



Determinism and flow properties

Theorem [Browne et al. 2007, Mhalla et al. 2022]

An MBQC has a robustly deterministic implementation if and only if the underlying labelled open graph has flow.



A **flow** consists of

- ▶ a partial order over the vertices,
- ▶ and a correction function, satisfying certain compatibility conditions.

Theorem [de Beaudrap 2008; Mhalla & Perdrix 2008; B. et al. 2021; Simmons 2021]

Flows can be found in polynomial time.

Outline

The one-way model of measurement-based quantum computing

A common formalism for circuits and MBQC


Translation and rewriting

Conclusions



The one-way model in ZX-notation

An MBQC is represented in the graphical ZX-notation as follows:


- ▶ green vertices with one outgoing wire each, connected by blue dashed edges, for the graph state,
- ▶ additional wires for the inputs, and
- ▶ additional vertices connected to some of the outgoing wires for the measurements: $XY \rightsquigarrow \text{---} \textcircled{\alpha}$ $XZ \rightsquigarrow \text{---} \textcircled{\frac{\pi}{2}} \textcircled{\alpha}$ $YZ \rightsquigarrow \text{---} \textcircled{\alpha}$



ZX generators and circuits in ZX-notation




Ancilla-free circuit extraction: overview




[Duncan et al. 2020; B., Miller-Bakewell, Felice, Lobski, van de Wetering 2021; Staudacher 2023]


Simplify connections between frontier and unextracted layer



Extract a maximal vertex




If needed, change measurement type




Flow-preserving rewrite rules for the stabiliser ZX-calculus

Local complementation



Z-deletion/insertion




Theorem [McElvanney & B. 2023]


Suppose D and D' are two stabiliser ZX-diagrams with flow that both represent the same linear map. Then one can be rewritten into the other using local complementation, Z-insertion, and Z-deletion.

Further flow-preserving rewrite rules

Phase gadget fusion/splitting



Vertex splitting/fusion



Applications of flow-preserving rewriting

Optimisation

- ▶ T -count [Duncan et al. 2020]
- ▶ two-qubit gate count [Staudacher et al. 2022]

Blind quantum computing

- ▶ more resource-efficient protocol for scenario with two non-communicating quantum servers [Cao 2023]

Computational complexity of reasoning with ZX-calculus

- ▶ circuit extraction from arbitrary ZX diagram is $\#P$ -hard [de Beaudrap et al. 2022]
- ▶ circuit extraction from ZX diagrams with flow is in P

Summary and outlook

It is useful to:

- ▶ translate between one-way measurement patterns and circuits
- ▶ rewrite measurement patterns (while preserving interpretation & property of having flow)
- ▶ employ ZX-calculus as a common language and to visualise graph structure

Further work

- ▶ complete set of flow-preserving rewrite rules
- ▶ extra conditions for some rules for different types of flow
- ▶ going beyond the current framework of flow

Thank you!