Title: The Stability of Gapped Quantum Matter and Error-Correction with Adiabatic Noise - VIRTUAL

Speakers: Ali Lavasani Series: Quantum Matter Date: April 23, 2024 - 11:00 AM URL: https://pirsa.org/24040113 Abstract:

The code space of a quantum error-correcting code can often be identified with the degenerate ground-space within a gapped phase of quantum matter. We argue that the stability of such a phase is directly related to a set of coherent error processes against which this quantum error-correcting code (QECC) is robust: such a quantum code can recover from adiabatic noise channels, corresponding to random adiabatic drift of code states through the phase, with asymptotically perfect fidelity in the thermodynamic limit, as long as this adiabatic evolution keeps states sufficiently "close" to the initial ground-space. We further argue that when specific decoders -- such as minimum-weight perfect matching -- are applied to recover this information, an error-correcting threshold is generically encountered within the gapped phase. In cases where the adiabatic evolution is known, we explicitly show examples in which quantum information can be recovered by using stabilizer measurements and Pauli feedback, even up to a phase boundary, though the resulting decoding transitions are in different universality classes from the optimal decoding transitions in the presence of incoherent Pauli noise. This provides examples where non-local, coherent noise effectively decoheres in the presence of syndrome measurements in a stabilizer QECC.

Zoom link

Stability of Gapped Quantum Matter and Error-Correction with Adiabatic Noise

k

arXiv:2402.14906

Ali Lavasani (UCSB/KITP), April 2024



In Collaboration with Sagar Vijay (UCSB)







• **Stability of gapped quantum matter**: robust gap and robust ground state degeneracy against local perturbations .

.

$$H_J = H_0 + J \sum_i V_i$$



Bravyi, Hastings, Michalakis, arXiv:1001.0344



• **Stability of gapped quantum matter**: robust gap and robust ground state degeneracy against local perturbations .

k

• This notion of stability ensures the existence of an extended quantum phase of matter

$$H = H_{TC} - h_x \sum_i X_i - h_z \sum_i Z_i$$





• Quantum error correction: quantum information encoded in the ground state subspace can be recovered perfectly after weak decoherence.

 $\left|\psi\right\rangle = \alpha_{1}\left|\varphi_{1}\right\rangle + \alpha_{2}\left|\varphi_{2}\right\rangle$

$$\mathcal{N} = \bigotimes_{i}^{i} \mathcal{N}_{i}$$
$$\mathcal{N}_{i}(\rho) = (1 - p)\rho + pX_{i}\rho X_{i}$$

 $\exists \mathcal{R}: \qquad \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{N}(|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|)) = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$



Denis, Kitaev, Landahl, Preskill, arXiv;quant-ph/0110143





- Quantum error correction: quantum information encoded in the ground state subspace can be recovered perfectly after weak decoherence.
- This notion of stability ensures the existence of a non-zero error-threshold under which perfect recovery is possible.



Denis, Kitaev, Landahl, Preskill, arXiv:quant-ph/0110143





- We would like to know whether the gapped phase can be viewed as an error-correcting phase with the phase boundary representing the error-threshold for recovery.
- To this end, we need to define a relevant noise channel.



Adiabatic Noise

- Let $H(\lambda)$ denote a specific family of perturbations around some local stabilizer code Hamiltonian $H(0) = -\sum_{i} S_{i}$. Let $|\psi\rangle$ be an arbitrary state in the ground state subspace of H(0).
- Let \mathscr{U}_γ denote the adiabatic unitary evolution along the path γ



• Given $|\tilde{\psi}
angle$, can we recover $|\psi
angle$ through error-correction?



Ali Lavasar



Stability of Gapped Quantum Matter and Error-Correction with Adiabatic Noise

This work investigates the validity of the following statements:

k



Statement I: If provided with the state $|\tilde{\psi}\rangle = \mathcal{U}_{\gamma} |\psi\rangle$ and the knowledge of the adiabatic path γ , one can recover $|\psi\rangle$ with perfect fidelity in the thermodynamic limit by measuring stabilizers of H(0) and applying a Pauli feedback.

Statement II: If provided with the state $\tilde{\rho} = \sum_{\gamma} p_{\gamma} \mathcal{U}_{\gamma} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi | \mathcal{U}_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$, one can recover $|\psi\rangle$ with

perfect fidelity in the thermodynamic limit.



k

Ali Lavasan



Stability of Gapped Quantum Matter and Error-Correction with Adiabatic Noise

This work investigates the validity of the following statements:



Statement I: If provided with the state $|\tilde{\psi}\rangle = \mathcal{U}_{\gamma} |\psi\rangle$ and the knowledge of the adiabatic path γ , one can recover $|\psi\rangle$ with perfect fidelity in the thermodynamic limit by measuring stabilizers of H(0) and applying a Pauli feedback.

Statement II: If provided with the state $\tilde{\rho} = \sum_{\gamma} p_{\gamma} \mathcal{U}_{\gamma} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi | \mathcal{U}_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$, one can recover $|\psi\rangle$ with

perfect fidelity in the thermodynamic limit.



• Consider the repetition code / transverse field Ising chain Hamiltonian

$$H(g) = -\sum_{i} Z_i Z_{i+1} - g \sum_{i} X_i$$



- letting *R* bethe MWPM decoder, perfect recovery is possible throughout the ferromagnetic phase.
- The typical weight of the inferred error is related to the phase order parameter, $k = (1 \sqrt{m})\frac{N}{2}$, so

in the FM phase (m > 0), the effective error rate is less than 1/2, which is the threshold of the repetition code.





• Consider the repetition code / transverse field Ising chain Hamiltonian

$$H(g) = -\sum_{i} Z_i Z_{i+1} - g \sum_{i} X_i$$



- letting ${\mathscr R}$ bethe MWPM decoder, perfect recovery is possible throughout the ferromagnetic phase.
- The typical weight of the inferred error is related to the phase order parameter, $k = (1 \sqrt{m})\frac{N}{2}$, so

in the FM phase (m > 0), the effective error rate is less than 1/2, which is the threshold of the repetition code.





Reversing Adiabatic Evolution Example 2: Toric code

• Playing the same game with the perturbed toric code Hamiltonian,

 $H(\beta) = -\sum_{s} A_{s} - \sum_{p} B_{p} + \sum_{s} \exp(-\beta \sum_{j \in s} Z_{j})$

• The average infidelity of the probabilistic likelihood decoder is prop. to, $1 - \mathscr{F} \propto \sqrt{\frac{\mathscr{Z}_0}{\mathscr{Z}_1}} - 1$, where

 \mathcal{Z}_0 and \mathcal{Z}_1 are the partition function of classical 2d Ising with/without a domaih wall

• Perfect recovery is possible throughout the topological phase.





- letting ${\mathscr R}$ bethe MWPM decoder, perfect recovery is possible throughout the ferromagnetic phase.
- The typical weight of the inferred error is related to the phase order parameter, $k = (1 \sqrt{m})\frac{N}{2}$, so

in the FM phase (m > 0), the effective error rate is less than 1/2, which is the threshold of the repetition code.





Reversing Adiabatic Evolution Example 2: Toric code

• Playing the same game with the perturbed toric code Hamiltonian,

 $H(\beta) = -\sum_{s} A_{s} - \sum_{p} B_{p} + \sum_{s} \exp(-\beta \sum_{j \in s} Z_{j})$

• The average infidelity of the probabilistic likelihood decoder is prop. to, $1 - \mathscr{F} \propto \sqrt{\frac{\mathscr{Z}_0}{\mathscr{Z}_1}} - 1$, where

 \mathcal{Z}_0 and \mathcal{Z}_1 are the partition function of classical 2d Ising with/without a domaiħ wall

• Perfect recovery is possible throughout the topological phase.





Reversing Adiabatic Noise

• When the adiabatic evolution is unknown, by providing a counter example, we show that the error correction threshold under an adiabatic noise channel need not coincide with the phase boundary:

$$H(\theta) = \prod e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}X_i} H_0 \prod e^{+i\frac{\theta}{2}X_i}$$

with H_0 denoting the repetition code Hamiltonian.



Ali Lavasan





• Consider the repetition code / transverse field Ising chain Hamiltonian

$$H(g) = -\sum_{i} Z_i Z_{i+1} - g \sum_{i} X_i$$





Reversing Adiabatic Evolution Example 2: Toric code

• Playing the same game with the perturbed toric code Hamiltonian,

 $H(\beta) = -\sum_{s} A_{s} - \sum_{p} B_{p} + \sum_{s} \exp(-\frac{\mathbf{I}\beta}{\rho} \sum_{j \in s} Z_{j})$

• The average infidelity of the probabilistic likelihood decoder is prop. to, $1 - \mathscr{F} \propto \sqrt{\frac{\mathscr{Z}_0}{\mathscr{Z}_1}} - 1$, where

 \mathcal{Z}_0 and \mathcal{Z}_1 are the partition function of classical 2d Ising with/without a domain wall

• Perfect recovery is possible throughout the topological phase.



Reversing Adiabatic Noise

• When the adiabatic evolution is unknown, by providing a counter example, we show that the error correction threshold under an adiabatic noise channel need not coincide with the phase boundary:

$$H(\theta) = \prod e^{-i\frac{\theta}{2}X_i} H_0 \prod e^{+i\frac{\theta}{2}X_i}$$

with H_0 denoting the repetition code Hamiltonian.



Ali Lavasar

Ali Lavasani

Summary

- We relate the stability of gapped phase to recovery from adiabatic noise channels
- When the adiabatic evolution is known, we study examples where recovery is possible throughout the phase.
- When the adiabatic evolution is not known, we show that the error correction might not be possible throughout the phase.
- We drive conditions similar to KL conditions which can be used to establish a finite neighborhood for which recovery from an unwon adiabatic noise is possible.

