

Title: General Relativity for Cosmology Lecture - 110223

Speakers: Achim Kempf

Collection: General Relativity for Cosmology

Date: November 02, 2023 - 2:00 PM

URL: <https://pirsa.org/23110000>

Abstract: Zoom: <https://ptp.zoom.us/j/91640855624?pwd=dWVWV2doSnBhUS9JUkhjQVBwY0h0dz09>

GR for Cosmology, Achim Kempf

Lecture 15

Recall: If we choose the bases $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\}, \{dx^\mu\}$, then:

Eg: $L_{EM} = -\frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$

$$S[g_{\mu\nu}, \Psi] = \int \left(\frac{1}{16\pi G} R(g_{\mu\nu}(x)) + L_{matter}(g_{\mu\nu}(x), \Psi^i(x), \Psi_{;\mu}^i(x)) \right) \sqrt{|g|} d^4x$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta \Psi^i(x)} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Eqs. of motion of matter}$$

(Maxwell, Klein Gordon eqns. etc)

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Einstein equations:}$$

$$R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} R = 8\pi G T^{\mu\nu}$$

Important: The only reason why the components of a tensor can change when we change coordinates is that we can change basis in the (co-)tangent spaces, namely from one canonical basis to another canonical basis, when we change coord. system.

Recall: a field vector has different coefficients in different bases:

$$\left\{ \xi^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \xi^\nu \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} = \xi^\nu \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \Rightarrow \xi^\nu = \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial \bar{x}^\mu} \xi^\mu \right\}$$

$$\xi = \xi^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \xi^\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu}$$

What is the Einstein equation when using a frame so that

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \gamma_{\mu\nu}?$$

Recall:

▣ Frames $\{\theta^\mu\}, \{e_\mu\}$:

Often, one uses as the bases of $T_p(M)$, and $T_p(M)^*$ the canonical bases $\{dx^\mu\}$ and $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\}$ respectively, which suggest themselves when one chooses coordinates, say (x^0, \dots, x^3) . Thus, when changing coordinate system, $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$, one also usually automatically changes basis in $T_p(M), T_p(M)^*$.

Conversely: Even staying with one coordinate system, we can freely change our choice of basis in the (co-)tangent spaces:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^\mu &= A^\mu_\nu \theta^\nu \\ e'_\mu &= (A^{-1})^\nu_\mu e_\nu \end{aligned}$$

scalar functions.

So we have e.g.:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi &= \xi^\mu e_\mu = \xi^\mu A^\nu_\mu e'_\nu = \xi'^\nu e'_\nu \\ \text{J.e.:} \quad \xi'^\nu &= A^\nu_\mu \xi^\mu \end{aligned}$$

$\frac{\delta \Psi}{\delta \psi^i} = 0 \Rightarrow$ Eqs. of motion of matter
(Maxwell, Klein Gordon eqns. etc)

$\frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = 0 \Rightarrow$ Einstein equations:

$$R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} R = 8\pi G T^{\mu\nu}$$

Often, one uses as the bases of $T_p(M)$, and $T_p(M)^*$ the canonical bases $\{dx^\mu\}$ and $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\}$ respectively, which suggest themselves when one chooses coordinates, say (x^0, \dots, x^3) . Thus, when changing coordinate system, $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$, one also usually automatically changes basis in $T_p(M), T_p(M)^*$.

Important: The only reason why the components of a tensor can change when we change coordinates is that we can change basis in the (co-)tangent spaces, namely from one canonical basis to another canonical basis, when we change coord. system.

Recall:
a fixed vector has different coefficients in different bases:
 $\xi^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \xi^{\nu} \frac{\partial x^\mu}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \xi^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu}$

$\rightarrow \xi = \xi^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} = \xi^{\bar{\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^\nu}$

We notice: If we choose a fixed basis, say $\{\theta^\mu\}, \{e_\mu\}$ then the coefficients of tensors no longer depend on the choice of coordinates!

E.g.: $\xi = \xi^\mu e_\mu$ the same numbers in every coordinate system.

Conversely: Even staying with one coordinate system, we can freely change our choice of basis in the (co-)tangent spaces:

scalar functions.
 $\theta^{\bar{\nu}} = A^{\bar{\nu}\mu} \theta^\mu$
 $e'_\mu = (A^{-1})^\nu_\mu e_\nu$

So we have e.g.:

$\xi = \xi^\mu e_\mu = \xi^\mu A^\nu_\mu e'_\nu = \xi^{\bar{\nu}} e'_\nu$

J.e.: $\xi^{\bar{\nu}} = A^{\bar{\nu}\mu} \xi^\mu$

Examples: \square The curvature form: $\Omega^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} = A^{\bar{a}c} (A^{-1})^d_{\bar{b}} \Omega^c_d$
 \square But: the connection form $\omega^{\bar{a}\bar{b}}(\xi) = \xi^c \Gamma^{\bar{a}\bar{b}}_c$ obeys:

$\omega^{\bar{a}\bar{b}} = A^{\bar{a}c} \omega^c_d (A^{-1})^d_{\bar{b}} - (dA)^{\bar{a}c} (A^{-1})^d_{\bar{b}}$

How to specify frames?

\square Existence? Always: At each $p \in M$ may choose e.g. 1/28

Recall: a fixed vector has different coefficients in different bases: $\xi^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} = \xi^s \frac{\partial x^s}{\partial x^r} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} = \xi^s \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \rightarrow \xi^s = \frac{\partial x^s}{\partial x^r} \xi^r$

canonical basis, when we change coord. system.

$\xi = \xi^r \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} = \bar{\xi}^s \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^s}$

We notice: If we choose a fixed basis, say $\{\theta^r\}, \{e_r\}$ then the coefficients of tensors no longer depend on the choice of coordinates!

E.g.: $\xi = \bar{\xi}^r e_r$ the same numbers in every coordinate system.

How to specify frames?

In an arbitrary coordinate system, we may specify the bases in terms of the canonical bases:

$\theta^i(x) = A^i_j(x) dx^j$ (Another possibility? Take n scalar functions f^1, \dots, f^n and define $\theta^i := df^i$. For generic functions these θ^i 's would be linearly independent almost everywhere.)

Note: the $A^i_j(x)$ change nontrivially when changing the coordinate system!

Our choice now: orthonormal frames, or "Tetrads":

□ We say that a frame $\{\theta^r\}, \{e_r\}$ is orthonormal if in this frame, for all $p \in M$:

$g(e_r, e_s) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}_{r,s} = \gamma_{rs}$ i.e. if: $g = -\theta^0 \otimes \theta^0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \theta^i \otimes \theta^i$

so we have e.g.:

$\xi = \xi^r e_r = \xi^r A^s_r e_s = \bar{\xi}^s e_s$

i.e.: $\bar{\xi}^s = A^s_r \xi^r$

Examples:

- The curvature form: $\Omega^r_v = A^r_a(A^{-1})^b_v \Omega^a_b$
- But: the connection form $\omega^r_v(\xi) = \xi^b \Gamma^r_{bv}$ obeys:

$\omega^r_v = A^r_a \omega^a_b(A^{-1})^b_v - (dA)^r_c(A^{-1})^c_v$

□ Existence? Always: At each $p \in M$ may choose e.g. $\theta^r = dx^r$ where dx^r are canonical ON basis at centre of a geodesic cds.

□ Uniqueness?

For a given space-time, (M, g) , any ON frame yields a new ON frame by transforming the bases through

$\bar{\theta}^r(x) = \Lambda^r_s(x) \theta^s(x)$,

if the linear maps $\Lambda(x)$ preserve the orthonormality:

$\gamma_{rs} \theta^r \otimes \theta^s = \gamma_{ab} \theta^a \otimes \theta^b$ recall: this is the defining equation for Lorentz transformations.

i.e. if: $\Lambda^r_a \Lambda^s_b \gamma_{rs} = \gamma_{ab}$ (*)

⇒ Frames are unique up to local Lorentz transformations.

Note: the $A^{\mu}_{\nu}(x)$ change nontrivially when changing the coordinate system!

Our choice now: orthonormal frames, or "Tetrads":

□ We say that a frame $\{\theta^{\mu}\}, \{e_{\nu}\}$ is orthonormal if in this frame, for all $p \in M$:

$$g(e_{\mu}, e_{\nu}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{i.e. if: } g = -\theta^0 \theta^0 + \sum_{i=1}^3 \theta^i \theta^i$$

yields a new ON frame by transforming the bases through

$$\theta^{\mu}(x) = \Lambda(x)^{\mu}_{\nu} \theta^{\nu}(x),$$

if the linear maps $\Lambda(x)$ preserve the orthonormality:

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} \theta^{\mu} \otimes \theta^{\nu} = \eta_{ab} \theta^a \otimes \theta^b$$

recall: this is the defining equation for Lorentz transformations.

$$\text{i.e. if: } \Lambda^{\mu}_a \Lambda^{\nu}_b \eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab} \quad (*)$$

⇒ Frames are unique up to local Lorentz transformations.

Re-express the degrees of freedom:

- We used to specify space-times through these data: (M, g)
- Now, let us specify space-times, equivalently, through data $(M, \{\theta^{\mu}\})$:

Namely:

Assume the $\{\theta^{\mu}\}$ are given w. resp. to a basis $\{dx^{\mu}\}$ through functions A^{μ}_{ν} ,

$$\theta^{\mu}(x) = A^{\mu}_{\nu}(x) dx^{\nu}$$

so that: $g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$ in the basis $\{\theta^{\mu}\}$!

Notice: knowing the $A^{\mu}_{\nu}(x)$, we can reconstruct $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ in basis $\{dx^{\mu}\}$:

We use that the abstract g is the same in every basis:

$$g = \underbrace{\eta_{\mu\nu} \theta^{\mu} \otimes \theta^{\nu}}_{\text{because it's abstract}} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \overbrace{A^{\mu}_a A^{\nu}_b}^{g_{ab}(x)} dx^a \otimes dx^b = g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^{\mu} \otimes dx^{\nu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{g_{ab}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu}_a(x) A^{\nu}_b(x)}$$

⇒ $\{\theta^{\mu}(x)\}$ indeed determines $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$:

⇒ The $A^{\mu}_{\nu}(x)$ carry all physical (here shape) info!

Namely:

Assume the $\{\theta^i\}$ are given w. resp. to a basis $\{dx^a\}$ through functions A^a_ν ,

$$\theta^\nu(x) = A^\nu_\alpha(x) dx^\alpha$$

so that: $g_{\nu\sigma} = (\theta^i, \theta^j) = \eta_{ij}$ in the basis $\{\theta^i\}$!

$$\Rightarrow g_{ab}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} A^\mu_a(x) A^\nu_b(x)$$

$\Rightarrow \{\theta^i(x)\}$ indeed determines $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$:

\Rightarrow The $A^\nu_\alpha(x)$ carry all physical (here shape) info!

How then does $A^\nu_\alpha(x)$ encode $C^i_{jk}, \omega^i_j, \Omega^i_j$?

Start with orthonormal frame: $\theta^i(x) = A^i_j(x) dx^j$ (*)

1.) How do the $A^i_j(x)$ determine the $C^i_{jk}(x)$?

Recall from lecture 11:

$$d\theta^i(x) = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{jk}(x) \theta^j(x) \wedge \theta^k(x)$$

Here: $d\theta^i(x) = A^i_{j,k}(x) dx^k \wedge dx^j$ because of (*)
 $= -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{ab} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{ab} A^a_k A^b_j dx^k \wedge dx^j$

$$\Rightarrow A^i_{j,k} = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{ab} A^a_k A^b_j$$

$$\Rightarrow C^i_{ab}(x) = -2 A^i_{j,k}(x) (A^{-1}(x))^j_a (A^{-1}(x))^k_b$$

2.) The $C^i_{jk}(x)$ yield the $\Gamma^i_{jk}(x)$ through:

$$\Gamma^i_{ki} = \frac{1}{2} (C^i_{ki} - g_{is} g^{sj} C^s_{kj} - g_{is} g^{sj} C^s_{ji})$$

(Lecture 11)

$$+ \frac{1}{2} g^{ij} (g_{jki} + g_{jik} - g_{kij})$$

These all vanish because $g_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{\mu\nu}$

Notice: This simplifies for orthonormal frames with $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu}$!

3.) The $\Gamma^i_{kj}(x)$ yield the $\omega^i_j(x)$:

$$\omega^i_j(x) = \Gamma^i_{kj}(x) \theta^k(x)$$

4.) Recall the 2nd structure equation:

$$\Omega^i_j(x) = d\omega^i_j + \omega^i_k \wedge \omega^k_j$$

\Rightarrow We have: $A^i_j \rightarrow \theta^i \rightarrow C^i_{jk} \rightarrow \Gamma^i_{jk} \rightarrow \omega^i_j \rightarrow \Omega^i_j$

Recall important identities: (torsionless case)

Tetrad formulation of GR:

1.) How do the $A^i_{j,k}(x)$ determine the $C^i_{j,k}(x)$?

Recall from lecture 11:

$$d\theta^i(x) = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{j,k}(x) \theta^j \wedge \theta^k(x)$$

Here: $d\theta^i(x) = A^i_{j,k}(x) dx^k \wedge dx^j$ because of (*)
 $= -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{a,b} \theta^a \wedge \theta^b = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{a,b} A^a_k A^b_j dx^k \wedge dx^j$

$$\Rightarrow A^i_{j,k} = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{a,b} A^a_k A^b_j$$

$$\Rightarrow C^i_{a,b}(x) = -2 A^i_{j,k}(x) (A^{-1}(x))^j_a (A^{-1}(x))^k_b$$

$$+\frac{1}{2} g^{ij} (g_{j,i} + g_{j,i} - g_{i,j}) \leftarrow \text{These all vanish because } g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\nu\mu}$$

Notice: This simplifies for orthonormal frames with $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu}$!

3.) The $\Gamma^i_{k,j}(x)$ yield the $\omega^i_j(x)$:

$$\omega^i_j(x) = \Gamma^i_{k,j}(x) \theta^k(x)$$

4.) Recall the 2nd structure equation:

$$\Omega^i_j(x) := d\omega^i_j + \omega^i_k \wedge \omega^k_j$$

\Rightarrow We have: $A^i_j \rightarrow \theta^i \rightarrow C^i_{j,k} \rightarrow \Gamma^i_{j,k} \rightarrow \omega^i_j \rightarrow \Omega^i_j$

Recall important identities: (torsionless case)

□ Structure eqn. I:

$$\Theta^i = D\theta^i = d\theta^i + \omega^i_j \wedge \theta^j = 0$$

□ Structure eqn II:

$$\Omega^i_j = d\omega^i_j + \omega^i_k \wedge \omega^k_j$$

\uparrow (Ordinarily: $\theta^i = dx^i \Rightarrow d\theta^i = 0$ and $\omega^i_j \wedge \theta^j = 0$ is $\Gamma^i_{j,k} = \Gamma^i_{k,j}$)

□ Bianchi identity I:

$$\Omega^i_j \wedge \theta^j = 0$$

\leftarrow (Recall: $R^i_{jkl} = \Gamma^i_{l,k} \Gamma^j_{j,m} + \Gamma^i_{j,l} \Gamma^k_{k,m} + \Gamma^i_{j,k} \Gamma^l_{l,m} + \Gamma^i_{j,k} \Gamma^l_{l,m}$)

□ Bianchi identity II:

$$D\Omega^i_j = 0$$

\rightarrow (From diffeomorphism invariance)

And, in the case of ON frames:

Tetrad formulation of GR:

Consider the action, for now, without cosmological constant and without matter:

$$S_{\text{grav}} := \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{\mathcal{B}} R \sqrt{|g|} d^4x$$

\leftarrow 0-form

Recall Hodge *:

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} v = \frac{1}{p!} v_{i_1 \dots i_p} \theta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{i_p}$$

then

$$*v = \frac{1}{p!} \sqrt{|g|} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_n} v^{i_1 \dots i_p} \theta^{i_{p+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{i_n}$$

\leftarrow ± 1 , totally anti-symmetric

i.e.

$$*: \Lambda^p \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-p}$$

Thus:

$$S_{\text{grav}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int *R$$

curvature tensors $R^{\mu\nu}$,

$$\Theta^\mu(x) = A^\mu{}_\nu(x) dx^\nu$$

so that: $g_{\mu\nu} = (\Theta^i, \Theta^j) = g_{ij}$ in the basis $\{\Theta^i\}$!

$\Rightarrow \{\Theta^i(x)\}$ indeed determines $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$:

\Rightarrow The $A^\mu{}_\nu(x)$ carry all physical (here shape) info!

How then does $A^\mu{}_\nu(x)$ encode $C^i{}_{jk}$, $\omega^i{}_j$, $\Omega^i{}_j$?

Start with orthonormal frames: $\Theta^i(x) = A^i{}_j(x) dx^j$ (*)

1.) How do the $A^i{}_j(x)$ determine the $C^i{}_{jk}(x)$?

Recall from lecture 11:

$$d\Theta^i(x) = -\frac{1}{2} C^i{}_{jk}(x) \Theta^j(x) \wedge \Theta^k(x)$$

Here: $d\Theta^i(x) = A^i{}_{j,k}(x) dx^k \wedge dx^j$ because of (*)
 $= -\frac{1}{2} C^i{}_{ab} \Theta^a \wedge \Theta^b = -\frac{1}{2} C^i{}_{ab} A^a{}_k A^b{}_j dx^k \wedge dx^j$

$\Rightarrow A^i{}_{j,k} = -\frac{1}{2} C^i{}_{ab} A^a{}_k A^b{}_j$

$\Rightarrow C^i{}_{ab}(x) = -2 A^i{}_{j,k}(x) (A^{-1}(x))^j{}_a (A^{-1}(x))^k{}_b$

2.) The $C^i{}_{jk}(x)$ yield the $\Gamma^i{}_{jk}(x)$ through:

$$\Gamma^i{}_{kj} = \frac{1}{2} (C^i{}_{kj} - g^{is} g^{tj} C^s{}_{kt} - g^{is} g^{tj} C^s{}_{it})$$

(Lecture 11)

$+ \frac{1}{2} g^{is} (g^{tj} + g^{jt} - g^{it} - g^{ti})$ ← These all vanish because $g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\nu\mu}$

Notice: This simplifies for orthonormal frames with $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu}$!

3.) The $\Gamma^i{}_{kj}(x)$ yield the $\omega^i{}_j(x)$:

$$\omega^i{}_j(x) := \Gamma^i{}_{kj}(x) \Theta^k(x)$$

4.) Recall the 2nd structure equation:

$$\Omega^i{}_j(x) := d\omega^i{}_j + \omega^i{}_k \wedge \omega^k{}_j$$

\Rightarrow We have: $A^i{}_j \rightarrow \Theta^i \rightarrow C^i{}_{jk} \rightarrow \Gamma^i{}_{jk} \rightarrow \omega^i{}_j \rightarrow \Omega^i{}_j$

Recall important identities: (torsionless case)

Structure eqn. I:

$$\Theta^i = D\Theta^i = d\Theta^i + \omega^i{}_j \wedge \Theta^j = 0$$

Tetrad formulation of GR:

Consider the action, for now, without cosmological constant and without matter:

- Bianchi identity I: $\Omega^i_j \wedge \theta^j = 0$
 - Bianchi identity II: $D\Omega^i_j = 0$
- (Recall: $R^i_{jkl} = \Gamma^i_{[k,l]} - \Gamma^i_{[l,k]} + R^i_{jkl}$)
 (From diffeomorphism invariance)

And, in the case of ON frames:
 $\omega_{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\nu\mu} = 0$

Aim now: Re-express $\int_{\Sigma} S_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of θ^μ and $\Omega^{\mu\nu}$.

- Define: "capital η " is a $(0,2)$ tensor-valued 2-form
 $H_{\mu\nu} := *(\theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\nu) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|g|} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta$
- $H_{\mu\nu\alpha} := *(\theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\nu \wedge \theta^\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|g|} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \theta^\beta$
↳ a $(0,3)$ tensor-valued 1-form.

□ Proposition:

$$*R = H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{it is a } (0,0) \text{ tensor-valued } 4\text{-form})$$

$$\text{i.e.: } \int_{\Sigma} S_{\mu\nu} = \int H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu}$$

The main proposition:

variation, not co-derivative

Recall Hodge *: $\int \int \quad v = \frac{1}{p!} v_{i_1 \dots i_p} \theta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{i_p}$
 then $*v = \frac{1}{p!} \sqrt{|g|} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_p} v^{i_1 \dots i_p} \theta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{i_p}$
 $\epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ is ± 1 , totally anti-symmetric
 i.e. $*: \Lambda^p \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-p}$

Thus:

$$\int_{\Sigma} S_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_{\Sigma} *R$$

4-form

□ Proof:

Use $\Omega^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} R^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} \theta^\kappa \wedge \theta^\lambda \Rightarrow$

$$H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu\kappa\lambda} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta \wedge \theta^\kappa \wedge \theta^\lambda$$

$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \theta^\alpha \otimes \theta^\beta \otimes \theta^\kappa \otimes \theta^\lambda$

Use also: $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\kappa\lambda} = 2(\delta_{\mu\kappa} \delta_{\nu\lambda} - \delta_{\mu\lambda} \delta_{\nu\kappa}) \Rightarrow$

$$H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\mu\nu} \theta^1 \wedge \theta^2 \wedge \theta^3 \wedge \theta^4 = *R \quad \checkmark$$

(need later for derivation of the Einstein equation)

□ Proposition: $DH_{\mu\nu} = 0$
constant because ON basis Recall the "first structure equation": $D\theta^a = 0$

□ Proof: $DH_{\mu\nu} = D\left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|g|} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta\right) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} (D\theta^\alpha \wedge \theta^\beta + \theta^\alpha \wedge D\theta^\beta)$

\Rightarrow The equation of motion, i.e., the Einstein equation

$$H_{\mu\nu} := *(\theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\nu) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|g|} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \theta^\rho \wedge \theta^\sigma$$

↑ a (0,3) tensor-valued 1-form.

□ Proposition:

$$*R = H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{it is a } (0,0) \text{ tensor-valued} \\ \text{4-form} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\text{i.e.} : \int_{\text{man}} (\theta^r) = \int H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \theta^\mu \otimes \theta^\nu \otimes \theta^\rho \otimes \theta^\sigma$$

Use also: $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon^{\rho\sigma\kappa\lambda} = 2(\delta_{\mu\rho} \delta_{\nu\lambda} - \delta_{\nu\rho} \delta_{\mu\lambda}) \Rightarrow$

$$H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} R^{\mu\nu}{}_{\rho\sigma} \theta^\rho \wedge \theta^\sigma \wedge \theta^\mu \wedge \theta^\nu = *R \quad \checkmark$$

(need later for derivation of the Einstein equation)

□ Proposition: $DH_{\mu\nu} = 0$ Recall the "first structure equation": $D\theta^\alpha = 0$

□ Proof: $DH_{\mu\nu} = D\left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|g|} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \theta^\rho \wedge \theta^\sigma\right) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (D\theta^\rho \wedge \theta^\sigma + \theta^\rho \wedge D\theta^\sigma)$

The main proposition:

Variation, not co-derivative
 Variation of the action with respect to $\delta\theta^r(x)$ yields:
 i.e., we vary the $A^r(x)$ by local Lorentz transformations

$$\delta(*R) = (\delta\theta^r) \wedge H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} + d(\text{something})$$

It implies:

$$16\pi G \delta S_{\text{matter}} = \int_B \delta\theta^r \wedge H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} + \int_{\partial B} (\text{something})$$

Stokes: $\int_B dF = \int_{\partial B} F$
 ← requires variation to vanish at boundary ∂B , so = 0

Definition: The "energy-momentum 1-form" T_μ is defined as the solution to:

$$\delta S_{\text{matter}} =: \int_B \delta\theta^r \wedge (*T_\mu)$$

\Rightarrow The equation of motion, i.e., the Einstein equation,

$$\frac{\delta(S_{\text{grav}} + S_{\text{matter}})}{\delta\theta^r} = 0$$

becomes:

$$-\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G *T_\mu$$

Exercise: add the cosmological constant.

Remark: The Einstein form $G_\mu := G_{\mu\nu} \theta^\nu$ obeys

$$*G_\mu = -\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu}$$

\Rightarrow

$$G_\mu = 8\pi G T_\mu$$

General Relativity as a "gauge theory"

Recall:

$$\int_{\text{man}} (\theta^r) = \int H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{Einstein action}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G *T_\mu \quad \text{Einstein equation}$$

are now the same in all coordinate systems.

In addition:

They are the same also with any choice of ON bases in the tangent spaces, i.e., we have a local symmetry under:

$$\theta^r(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}^r(x) = A^r_\nu(x) \theta^\nu(x)$$

The $A^r_\nu(x)$ are local Lorentz transformations.

Upshot: \square We can start with any matter theory that is invariant under global Lorentz transformations and, through general relativity, turn it into a theory that is invariant under local Lorentz transformations.

\square Thereby:

Derivatives become covariant derivatives.

A new field is introduced: gravity's Γ .

\rightsquigarrow This is analogous to the gauge principle of particle physics:

\square A global symmetry is "gauged" to become local.

\square Derivatives become covariant derivatives

\square A new field is introduced.

The gauge principle:

Action for a Dirac field (electrons, quarks etc):

$$S[\psi] = \int \bar{\psi} (i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi d^4x$$

It has a global symmetry:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) = e^{i\alpha} \psi(x), \text{ i.e., } \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\bar{\psi}}(x) = e^{-i\alpha} \bar{\psi}(x)$$

Gauge principle: Introduce a new field $A_\mu(x)$ that transforms so as to absorb the extra term:

$$S[\psi, A] := \int \bar{\psi}(x) \left(i\gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + iA_\mu(x)) - m \right) \psi(x) d^4x$$

"covariant derivative"

Now under

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) = e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x)$$

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{A}_\mu(x) := A_\mu(x) - i\partial_\mu \alpha(x)$$

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) := e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x), \text{ i.e., } \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x) = e^{-i\alpha(x)} \bar{\psi}(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow S[\psi] \rightarrow S[\tilde{\psi}] = S[\psi]$$

However, no local symmetry:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) := e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x) \quad \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x) = e^{-i\alpha(x)} \bar{\psi}(x)$$

$$S[\psi] \rightarrow S[\tilde{\psi}] \neq S[\psi]!$$

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{A}_\mu(x) := A_\mu(x) - i\partial_\mu \alpha(x)$$

the action obeys:

$$S[\psi, A] \rightarrow S[\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{A}]$$

$$= \int \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x) e^{-i\alpha(x)} \left(i\gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + iA_\mu - i\partial_\mu \alpha - im) e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x) \right) d^4x$$

$$= S[\psi, A]$$

Generalization to Yang-Mills theory

Gauging $\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x)$ introduced $A_\mu(x)$.
and $A_\mu(x)$ turns out to exist: The EM 4-potential.

We "derived" the electromagnetic force!

Notice: $e^{i\alpha(x)} \in U(1)$

$$U(1) = \{ G \in \mathbb{C} \mid G^\dagger = G^{-1} \}$$

Now give the Dirac particles an extra index (isospin bundle)

$$S[\psi] = \int \bar{\Psi}_a \left(i\gamma^\mu \delta_{ab} \partial_\mu - m\delta_{ab} \right) \Psi_b d^4x \quad \left(\sum_{a,b} \text{implied} \right)$$

It's invariant under:

$$\Psi(x) \rightarrow G_{ab}(x) \Psi_b(x) \quad \left(\sum_{a,b} \text{implied} \right)$$

where $G \in SU(N)$

$$SU(N) = \{ G \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \mid G^\dagger = G^{-1}, \det(G) = 1 \}$$

Now, we gauge, i.e., require invariance under:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow G_{ab}(x) \psi_b(x) \quad \text{where } G \in SU(N)$$

\rightarrow Invariance of the action now requires new field $B_\mu(x)$:

$$S[\psi] = \int \bar{\Psi}_a \left(i\gamma^\mu \left(\delta_{ab} \partial_\mu + i B_{\mu\nu}(x) T_{ab}^\nu \right) - m\delta_{ab} \right) \Psi_b d^4x$$

"covariant derivative"

and $B_{\mu\nu}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{B}_{\mu\nu}(x) = B_{\mu\nu}(x) + \text{complicated}$

Here: $T_{ab}^\nu \in \mathfrak{su}(N)$ are a Lie algebra basis, i.e. they are generators of infinitesimal $SU(N)$ transformations.

Upshot: \square $N=2$ Weak force (though mixed with $N=1$ EM)
 \square $N=3$ Strong force QCD.

Recall:

$$U(1) \quad U(N) \quad \dots$$

\rightarrow Interpretation of the connection in ON frames:

The gauge principle:

Action for a Dirac field (electrons, quarks etc):

$$S[\psi] = \int \bar{\psi} (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \psi d^4x$$

It has a global symmetry:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) := e^{i\alpha} \psi(x), \text{ i.e., } \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\bar{\psi}}(x) = e^{-i\alpha} \bar{\psi}(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow S[\psi] \rightarrow S[\tilde{\psi}] = S[\psi]$$

However, no local symmetry:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) := e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x) \quad \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\bar{\psi}}(x) = e^{-i\alpha(x)} \bar{\psi}(x)$$

$$S[\psi] \rightarrow S[\tilde{\psi}] \neq S[\psi]!$$

Generalization to Yang-Mills theory

Gauging $\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x)$ introduced $A_\mu(x)$.
and $A_\mu(x)$ turns out to exist: The EM 4-potential.

We "derived" the electromagnetic force!

Notice: $e^{i\alpha(x)} \in U(1)$

$$U(1) = \{ G \in \mathbb{C} \mid G^* = G^{-1} \}$$

Now give the Dirac particles an extra index (isospin bundle)

Gauge principle: Introduce a new field $A_\mu(x)$ that transforms so as to absorb the extra term:

$$S[\psi, A] := \int \bar{\psi}(x) \underbrace{\left(i \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + i A_\mu(x)) - m \right)}_{\text{"covariant derivative"}} \psi(x) d^4x$$

Now under

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) := e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x)$$

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{A}_\mu(x) := A_\mu(x) - i \partial_\mu \alpha(x)$$

the action obeys:

$$S[\psi, A] \rightarrow S[\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{A}]$$

$$= \int \bar{\psi}(x) e^{-i\alpha(x)} \left(i \gamma^\mu (\partial_\mu + i A_\mu - i \partial_\mu \alpha - m) e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x) \right) d^4x$$

$$= S[\psi, A]$$

Now, we gauge, i.e., require invariance under:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow G_{ab}(x) \psi_b(x) \quad \text{where } G \in SU(N)$$

 \Rightarrow Invariance of the action now requires new field $B_\mu(x)$:

$$S[\psi] = \int \bar{\psi}_a \left(i \gamma^\mu \left(\delta_{ab} \partial_\mu + i B_{\mu\nu}(x) T_{ab}^\nu \right) - m \delta_{ab} \right) \psi_b d^4x$$

"covariant derivative"

$$\text{and } B_\mu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{B}_\mu(x) = B_\mu(x) + \text{complicated}$$

$$\delta[\Psi] = \int \Psi_a (i g^{\mu\nu} \delta a_b \delta \psi_\mu - m \delta a_b) \Psi_b d^4x \quad \left(\sum_{a,b} \text{implied} \right)$$

Ψ 's invariant under:

$$\Psi(x) \rightarrow G_{ab} \Psi_b(x) \quad \left(\sum_{b=1}^N \text{implied} \right)$$

where $G \in SU(N)$

$$SU(N) = \{ G \in U_N(\mathbb{C}) \mid G^\dagger = G^{-1}, \det(G) = 1 \}$$

Here: $T_{ab}^i \in su(N)$ are a Lie algebra basis, i.e. they are generators of infinitesimal $SU(N)$ transformations.

- Upshot: \square $N=2$ Weak force (though mixed with $N=1$ EM)
 \square $N=3$ Strong force QCD.

Recall:

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}} (\theta^r) = \int H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{Einstein action}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\sigma} = 8\pi G *T_\mu \quad \text{Einstein equation}$$

are the same also with any choice of ON bases in the tangent spaces, i.e., we have a local symmetry under:

$$\theta^r(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}^r(x) = A^r_{\sigma}(x) \theta^\sigma(x)$$

The $A^r_{\sigma}(x)$ are local Lorentz transformations.

Our covariant derivative:

$$\nabla_{e_\mu} (v^\nu(x) e_\nu) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} v^\nu(x) \right) e_\nu + v^\nu(x) \omega^{\rho}_{\sigma}(e_\mu) e_\rho$$

Do the ω^{ρ}_{σ} indeed generate infinitesimal Lorentz transformations? Plays rôle of A_μ, B_μ but is now gravity!

\rightarrow Interpretation of the connection in ON frames:

Q: The connection 1-forms ω^r_{ν} are not, we know, tensor-valued 1-forms. Wherin do they take their values?

A: The connection 1-forms take values in the set of infinitesimal Lorentz transformations

Intuition?

The connection yields the change under infinitesimal parallel transport - and parallel transport preserves the metric, i.e. it preserves the lengths of vectors, i.e. the change can only be an infinitesimal "rotation", i.e. an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation.

Recall: "Lorentz transformations Λ^r_a " are lin. maps obeying:

$$\Lambda^r_a \Lambda^s_b \eta_{rs} = \eta_{ab}$$

\Rightarrow Infinitesimal Lorentz transformations

Proposition:

In orthonormal frames, the 1-form $\omega_{\rho\nu}$ obeys $\omega_{\rho\nu} + \omega_{\nu\rho} = 0$

are the same also with any choice of ON bases in the tangent spaces, i.e., we have a local symmetry under:

$$\theta^r(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}^r(x) = A^r_{\nu}(x) \theta^{\nu}(x)$$

The $A^r_{\nu}(x)$ are local Lorentz transformations.

Our covariant derivative:

$$\nabla_{e_{\mu}} (v^{\nu}(x) e_{\nu}) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} v^{\nu}(x) \right) e_{\nu} + v^{\nu}(x) \underbrace{\omega^{\rho}_{\nu}(e_{\mu})}_{\omega^{\rho}_{\nu}(e_{\mu})} e_{\rho}$$

Do the ω^{ρ}_{ν} indeed generate infinitesimal Lorentz transformations? Plays rôle of A_{μ}, B_{μ} but is now gravity!

A: The connection 1-forms take values in the set of infinitesimal Lorentz transformations

Intuition?

The connection yields the change under infinitesimal parallel transport - and parallel transport preserves the metric, i.e. it preserves the lengths of vectors, i.e. the change can only be an infinitesimal "rotation", i.e. an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation.

Recall: "Lorentz transformations Λ_a " are lin. maps obeying:

$$\Lambda_a^{\mu} \Lambda_b^{\nu} \eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab}$$

\Rightarrow Infinitesimal Lorentz transformations

$$\Lambda_a^{\mu} = \delta_a^{\mu} + \epsilon_a^{\mu} \quad \text{with } (\epsilon_a^{\mu})^2 = 0$$

they:

$$(\delta_a^{\mu} + \epsilon_a^{\mu})(\delta_b^{\nu} + \epsilon_b^{\nu}) \eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab}$$

$$\text{i.e.: } \epsilon_a^{\mu} \eta_{\mu b} + \epsilon_b^{\nu} \eta_{a\nu} = 0$$

\Rightarrow Infinitesimal Lorentz transformations "JLT" are given by

all $\Lambda_a^{\mu} = \delta_a^{\mu} + \epsilon_a^{\mu}$ which obey: $\epsilon_{ba} + \epsilon_{ab} = 0$

Q: Are connection 1-forms JLT-valued?

Proposition:

In orthonormal frames, the 1-form $\omega_{\rho\nu}$ obeys

$$\omega_{\rho\nu} + \omega_{\nu\rho} = 0$$

i.e. it takes values that are infinitesimal Lorentz transformations.

Proof:

\square Recall: Absolute exterior derivative: (an anti-derivation)

$$Dt^{a-b} = dt^{a-b} + \underbrace{\omega^i_a t^{i-b}}_{\text{play the rôle of the } \Lambda^i_a} + \dots - \underbrace{\omega^i_b t^{a-i}}_{\text{any basis-valued differential form}} - \dots$$

Thus:

$$0 = \nabla g_{\rho\nu} = Dg_{\rho\nu} = dg_{\rho\nu} - \underbrace{\omega^i_{\rho} \wedge g_{i\nu}}_{=0 \text{ because } g_{\rho\nu} = \eta_{\rho\nu} = \text{const}} - \underbrace{\omega^i_{\nu} \wedge g_{\rho i}}_{\text{can drop the } \wedge \text{ because } g_{\rho\nu} \text{ is a 0-form}}$$

$$\text{i.e. } 0 = \omega_{\rho\nu} + \omega_{\nu\rho} \quad \checkmark$$

Recall that by using a tetrad, we achieved that $g_{\rho\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \eta_{\rho\nu}$ every where!