

Title: General Relativity for Cosmology Lecture - 092823

Speakers: Achim Kempf

Collection: General Relativity for Cosmology

Date: September 28, 2023 - 2:00 PM

URL: <https://pirsa.org/23090006>

Abstract: Zoom: <https://ptp.zoom.us/j/91640855624?pwd=dWVWV2doSnBhUS9JUkhjQVBwY0h0dz09>

GR for Cosmology, Achim Kempf

Lecture 7

Recall: Physical motivation for the "Metric Tensor"

In Minkowski space, in inertial and cartesian coordinates:

4-dim space-time distance!

$$[\text{distance}(x, \hat{x})]^2 = -(x^0 - \hat{x}^0)^2 + (x^1 - \hat{x}^1)^2 + (x^2 - \hat{x}^2)^2 + (x^3 - \hat{x}^3)^2$$

indep. of choice of inertial cds

$$= \eta_{\mu\nu} (x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu) (x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu)$$

with  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

In Minkowski space, in an arbitrary coordinate system:

$$[\text{distance}(x, \hat{x})]^2 = g_{\mu\nu}(x) (x^\mu - \hat{x}^\mu) (x^\nu - \hat{x}^\nu) + \mathcal{O}^3$$

(e.g. polar cds, or a curved cds) with  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) \neq \eta_{\mu\nu}$  complicated higher order terms

Generalization to curved space-time, historically:

Allow even such  $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$  which in no coordinate system obey:

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} \text{ for all } x \in M$$

$\Rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}(x)$  is not simply  $\eta_{\mu\nu}$  in noninertial coordinates

$\Rightarrow$  Such  $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$  take us beyond special relativity!

Enforce Einstein's equivalence principle:

Require  $g_{\mu\nu}$  to be such that

for each  $x \in M$  there exists a coordinate

system so that at least at  $x$ :

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad (\text{i.e., locally, special relativity holds})$$

$\text{and } (\partial_\alpha g)^\mu_\nu = \partial_\alpha (\eta^\mu_\nu) = 0$  to lowest nontrivial order.

Recall equiv. principles (EP):  
 - freely falling small masses fall equally  $\Rightarrow$  "weak EP"  
 - same internal non-grav. physics  $\Rightarrow$  "Einstein EP"  
 - same internal grav. physics  $\Rightarrow$  "strong EP"

Recall: Math. definition of the metric tensor:

$g$  is covariant tensor of rank (0,2) (because  $\eta$  is in special relativity) e.g.  $\theta^\mu(x) = dx^\mu$

Thus, if  $n$  cotangent vector fields  $\theta^\mu(x)$  form bases at each point  $x$ , then  $g$  is of the form:

Modern view of the Einsteinian equivalence principle:

Recall: We asked that for each point  $p \in M$  there is a coordinate

system so that, when choosing the bases  $\{dx^\mu\}, \{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\}$

then  $g(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$ ,  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = g(x) (\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu})$

obeys:  $g_{\mu\nu}(p) = \eta_{\mu\nu}$  (in general only at  $p$ )

Modern view of the Einsteinian equivalence principle:

[distance(x, x')] = -(x-x') + (x-x') + ...

↑  
indep. of choice of initial cds

=  $\eta_{\mu\nu} (x^\mu - x'^\mu) (x^\nu - x'^\nu)$

with  $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

□ In Minkowski space, in an arbitrary coordinate system:

[distance(x, x')]² =  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) (x^\mu - x'^\mu) (x^\nu - x'^\nu) + \mathcal{O}^3$

(e.g. polar cds, or a coordinate cds)

with  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) \neq \eta_{\mu\nu}$

↑  
complicated higher order terms

⇒ Such  $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$  take us beyond special relativity!

□ Enforce Einstein's equivalence principle:

Require  $g_{\mu\nu}$  to be such that

for each  $x \in M$  there exists a coordinate

system so that at least at  $x$ :

$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu}$  (i.e., locally, special relativity holds to lowest nontrivial order)

(Recall equiv. principles (EP):  
 - If freely falling small masses fall equally ⇒ "weak EP"  
 - + same internal non-grav. physics ⇒ "Einstein EP"  
 - + same internal grav. physics ⇒ "strong EP")

Recall: Math. definition of the metric tensor:

□  $g$  is covariant tensor of rank (0,2)

(because  $\eta$  is in special relativity)

e.g.  $\theta^\mu(x) = dx^\mu$

□ Thus, if  $n$  cotangent vector fields  $\theta^\mu(x)$

form bases at each point  $x$ , then

$g$  is of the form:

$g(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x) \theta^\mu(x) \otimes \theta^\nu(x)$

↑ recall:  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = g_{\nu\mu}(x)$  and  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is invertible (since nondegenerate)

□  $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$  invertible ⇒ there exists a tensor  $\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}$  of rank (2,0):

$\tilde{g}^{\mu\nu}(x) = g^{\mu\nu}(x) e_\mu(x) \otimes e_\nu(x)$  with  $g^{\mu\nu}(x) g_{\nu\sigma}(x) = \delta^\mu_\sigma$

↑ dual basis

⇒ Modern view of the Einsteinian equivalence principle:

Recall: We asked that for each point  $p \in M$  there is a coordinate

system so that, when choosing the bases  $\{dx^\mu\}, \{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\}$

then  $g(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$ ,  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = g(x)(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu})$

obey:  $g_{\mu\nu}(p) = \eta_{\mu\nu}$  (in general only at  $p$ )

Modern formulation of the Einsteinian equivalence principle:

Independently of any choice of coordinate system:

There are choices of dual bases  $\{\theta^\mu(x)\}, \{e_\nu(x)\}$  of  $T_x(M), T_x(M)^*$

so that:  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = g(e_\mu(x), e_\nu(x)) = \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad \forall x \in M$

Thus, if  $n$  cotangent vector fields  $\theta^i(x)$  form bases at each point  $x$ , then  $g$  is of the form:

$$g(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x) \theta^\mu(x) \otimes \theta^\nu(x)$$

Recall:  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = g_{\nu\mu}(x)$  and  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is invertible (since nondegenerate)

$g_{\mu\nu}(x)$  invertible  $\Rightarrow$  there exists a tensor  $g^i$  of rank  $(2,0)$ :

$$\tilde{g}(x) = g^{\mu\nu}(x) e_\mu(x) \otimes e_\nu(x) \text{ with } g^{\mu\nu}(x) g_{\nu\sigma}(x) = \delta^\mu_\sigma$$

then  $g(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$ ,  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = g(x) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \right)$

also:  $g_{\mu\nu}(p) = \eta_{\mu\nu}$  (in general only at  $p$ )

Modern formulation of the Einsteinian equivalence principle:

Independently of any choice of coordinate system:

There are choices of dual bases  $\{\theta^i(x)\}, \{e_\nu(x)\}$  of  $T_x(M), T_x(M)^*$

so that:  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = g(e_\mu(x), e_\nu(x)) = \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad \forall x \in M$

Now, knowing distances through  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , what else follows?

$g$  induces volumes, namely  $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$  induces an  $\Omega(x)$ .

$g, g^i$  yield duality of covariance and contravariance.

$g$  yields "Hodge star"  $*$ :  $\Lambda_p \rightarrow \Lambda_{n-p}$  duality.

$*$  yields  $(,)$  making the  $\Lambda_p$  Hilbert spaces. for Riemannian manifolds

$g$  yields co-derivative  $\delta: \Lambda_p \rightarrow \Lambda_{p-1}$

$d, \delta$  yield the Laplacian/d'Alembertian  $\Delta: \Lambda_p \rightarrow \Lambda_p$

$\Rightarrow$  We can formulate wave equations on  $M$ !

Proposition:

Given a notion of distance, i.e., a metric,  $g$ , this also induces a volume form  $\Omega$ . (i.e., a positive  $\Omega \in \Lambda_n(M)$ , i.e., that when integrated over any portion of  $M$  yields a positive number.)

Namely:

Assume, as always, that  $M$  is oriented.

Consider a positive chart.

(i.e. has positive  $\det(\text{Jacobian})$  with given atlas)

Then:

$\Omega := \sqrt{|g|} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$   $:= |\det(g_{ij}(x))|$   
is a well-defined volume form.



Thus, if  $n$  cotangent vector fields  $\theta^i(x)$  form bases at each point  $x$ , then  $g$  is of the form:

$$g(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x) \theta^\mu(x) \otimes \theta^\nu(x)$$

Recall:  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = g_{\nu\mu}(x)$  and  $g_{\mu\nu}$  is invertible (since nondegenerate)

$g_{\mu\nu}(x)$  invertible  $\Rightarrow$  there exists a tensor  $\bar{g}^i$  of rank  $(2,0)$ :

$$\bar{g}^i(x) = g^{\mu\nu}(x) e_\mu(x) \otimes e_\nu(x) \text{ with } g^{\mu\nu}(x) g_{\nu\sigma}(x) = \delta_\sigma^\mu$$

Now, knowing distances through  $g_{\mu\nu}$ , what else follows?

Distances yield volumes, namely  $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$  induces an  $\Omega(x)$ .

$g, \bar{g}^i$  yield duality of covariance and contravariance.

$g$  yields "Hodge star"  $*$ :  $\Lambda_p \rightarrow \Lambda_{n-p}$  duality.

$*$  yields  $(,)$  making the  $\Lambda_p$  Hilbert spaces. for Riemannian manifolds

$g$  yields co-derivative  $\delta: \Lambda_p \rightarrow \Lambda_{p-1}$

$d, \delta$  yield the Laplacian/d'Alembertian  $\Delta: \Lambda_p \rightarrow \Lambda_p$

$\Rightarrow$  We can formulate wave equations on  $M$ !

then  $g(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$ ,  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = g(x) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \right)$

also:  $g_{\mu\nu}(p) = \eta_{\mu\nu}$  (in general only at  $p$ )

Modern formulation of the Einsteinian equivalence principle:

Independently of any choice of coordinate system:

There are choices of dual bases  $\{\theta^i(x)\}, \{e_\nu(x)\}$  of  $T_x(M), T_x^*(M)$ ,

so that:  $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = g(e_\mu(x), e_\nu(x)) = \eta_{\mu\nu} \quad \forall x \in M$

Proposition:

Given a notion of distance, i.e., a metric,  $g$ , this also induces a volume form  $\Omega$ . (i.e., a positive  $\Omega \in \Lambda_n(M)$ , i.e., that when integrated over any portion of  $M$  yields a positive number.)

Namely:

Assume, as always, that  $M$  is oriented.

Consider a positive chart.

(i.e. has positive  $\det(\text{Jacobian})$  with given atlas)

Then:

$$\Omega := \sqrt{|g|} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$$

$|g| := |\det(g_{ij}(x))|$

is a well-defined volume form.

$$\langle m | \hat{Q} | n \rangle = Q_{nm}$$

$$\hat{Q} = \sum_{n,m} Q_{nm} |n\rangle \langle m|$$



- $g$  yields Hodge star  $*$ :  $\Lambda_p \rightarrow \Lambda_{n-p}$  duality.
  - $*$  yields  $(,)$  making the  $\Lambda_p$  Hilbert spaces. for Riemannian manifolds
  - $g$  yields co-derivative  $\delta: \Lambda_p \rightarrow \Lambda_{p-1}$
  - $d, \delta$  yield the Laplacian/d'Alembertian  $\Delta: \Lambda_p \rightarrow \Lambda_p$
- We can formulate wave equations on  $M$ !

Proof:

- Nonzero for all  $p \in M$ ?  
Yes, because  $g$  is assumed non-degenerate.
- Well-defined, i.e., is definition chart-independent?  
Yes: To see this, change chart:  $x \rightarrow \tilde{x}$

Then:  $\tilde{g}_{ij}(\tilde{x}(x)) = g_{rs}(x) \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} \frac{\partial x^s}{\partial \tilde{x}^j}$  because covariant  
i.e., as matrices:

$$\tilde{g} = \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right)^T g \left( \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right) \quad \text{now take determinant:}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\tilde{g}| = \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right|^2 |g| \quad \text{i.e. } |\tilde{g}|^{1/2} = \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right| |g|^{1/2}$$

Also:  $d\tilde{x}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\tilde{x}^n = \det \left( \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} \right) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$

$$\Rightarrow |\tilde{g}|^{1/2} d\tilde{x}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\tilde{x}^n = \underbrace{\left| \frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x} \right|}_{=1} \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}} \right| |g|^{1/2} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \quad \checkmark$$

Namely:

- Assume, as always, that  $M$  is oriented.
- Consider a positive chart.  
(i.e. has positive  $\det(\text{Jacobian})$  with given atlas)

Then:

$$\Omega := \sqrt{|g|} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$$

$= |\det(g_{ij}(x))|$

is a well-defined volume form.

Notation: ( $\Omega$  is an  $n$ -form. What are its coefficients, as a covariant  $(0, n)$  tensor?)

- Define:

$$\epsilon_{i_1, \dots, i_n} := \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } (i_1, \dots, i_n) \text{ is even permutation of } (1, 2, \dots, n) \\ -1 & \text{if } (i_1, \dots, i_n) \text{ is odd permutation of } (1, 2, \dots, n) \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

unlike in SRT,  $\epsilon_{...}$  is not canonical, because  $\Omega$  is: →

- Then,  $\Omega$  also reads:

$$\Omega = \sqrt{|g|} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \quad (n\text{-form})$$

$$= \sqrt{|g|} \underbrace{\epsilon_{i_1, \dots, i_n}}_{= \Omega_{i_1, \dots, i_n}} dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_n}$$

$$\Omega = \Omega_{i_1, \dots, i_n} dx^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_n} \quad (\text{covariant tensor})$$

- $\Omega$  is called the "canonical", or "(pseudo)Riemannian", or "metric", volume form.



Then:  $\tilde{g}_{ij}(\tilde{x}(x)) = g_{rs}(x) \frac{\partial x^r}{\partial \tilde{x}^i} \frac{\partial x^s}{\partial \tilde{x}^j}$  because covariant

i.e., as matrices:

$$\tilde{g} = \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right)^T g \left(\frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right) \quad \text{now take determinant:}$$

$$\Rightarrow |\tilde{g}| = \left|\frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right|^2 |g| \quad \text{i.e. } |\tilde{g}|^{1/2} = \left|\frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}}\right| |g|^{1/2}$$

Also:  $d\tilde{x}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\tilde{x}^n = \det\left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}\right) dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n$

$$\Rightarrow |\tilde{g}|^{1/2} d\tilde{x}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\tilde{x}^n = \underbrace{\left|\frac{\partial \tilde{x}}{\partial x}\right|}_{\frac{1}{|\frac{\partial x}{\partial \tilde{x}}|}} |g|^{1/2} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \quad \checkmark$$

Then,  $\Omega$  also reads:

$$\Omega = \sqrt{|g|} dx^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dx^n \quad (n\text{-form})$$

$$= \sqrt{|g|} \underbrace{\epsilon_{i_1, \dots, i_n}}_{=: \Omega_{i_1, \dots, i_n}} dx^{i_1} \otimes dx^{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_n}$$

$$\Omega = \Omega_{i_1, \dots, i_n} dx^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_n} \quad (\text{covariant tensor})$$

$\Omega$  is called the "canonical", or "(pseudo)Riemannian", or "metric", volume form.

Q: Other use of  $g$ ?

A: One needs  $g$  to formulate d'Alembertian  $\square$ , or  $\square$ , for wave equations.

Why? a)  $\square$  should be non-directional  $2^{nd}$  derivative, but  $d^2 = 0$ .

b) need e.g.  $\square: \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^0$  for Klein Gordon, i.e. need degree of forms conserved by  $\square$ .

Strategy:

A) Use  $g$  for a covariant  $\leftrightarrow$  contravariant tensors relation

B) Define a map "Hodge  $\star$ ":  $\Lambda_r \rightarrow \Lambda_{n-r}$

C) Define the "Covariant derivative":  $\delta: \Lambda_r \rightarrow \Lambda_{r-1}$

D) Define "Laplacian/d'Alembertian":  $\square = d\delta + \delta d$

Then, e.g., the Klein Gordon equation reads:

$$(\square + m^2) \phi = 0$$

A) Covariant  $\leftrightarrow$  contravariant tensors equivalence through  $g$ :

$g(x)$  can be used as a map: by evaluation of one tensor factor:

$$g(x): T_x(M)^1 \rightarrow T_x(M),$$

$$g(x): \xi^i(x) e_i \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}(x) \theta^\mu(x) \otimes \theta^\nu(x) (\xi^i(x) e_i)$$

$$= \underbrace{g_{\mu\nu}(x) \xi^i(x)}_{\in T_x(M)} \underbrace{\theta^\mu(x)}_{\in T_x(M)} \otimes \underbrace{\theta^\nu(x)}_{\in T_x(M)}$$

$\Rightarrow$  For the coefficient

functions we have:  $g: \xi^i(x) \rightarrow \omega_\mu(x) = g_{\mu\nu}(x) \xi^\nu(x)$  (relative to bases  $\theta^i, e_j$ )

Conversely,  $g^{-1}$  acts as:

$$g^{-1}(x): T_x(M) \rightarrow T_x(M)^1$$

$$g^{-1}(x): \omega_\mu(x) \rightarrow \xi^i(x) = g^{\mu\nu}(x) \omega_\nu(x)$$

In this way,  $g, g^{-1}$  can lower or raise any

tensor index, e.g.:  $g: \xi^i{}^j{}_k \rightarrow \xi_i{}^j{}_k = g_{ij} \xi^i{}^j{}_k$

and:  $g: \tau^i{}_j \rightarrow \tau^{ij} = g^{ik} \tau^i{}_j$

- Strategy:**
- A) Use  $g$  for a covariant  $\leftrightarrow$  contravariant tensors relation
  - B) Define a map "Hodge"  $*$ :  $\Lambda_r \rightarrow \Lambda_{n-r}$
  - C) Define the "Covderivative":  $\delta: \Lambda_r \rightarrow \Lambda_{r-1}$
  - D) Define "Laplacian/d'Alembertian":  $\square = d\delta + \delta d$

Then, e.g., the Klein Gordon equation reads:

$$(\square + m^2)\phi = 0$$

$\Rightarrow$  For the coefficient functions we have:  $g: \xi^i(x) \rightarrow \omega_j(x) = g_{ij}(x)\xi^j(x)$  (relative to bases  $\theta^i, e_j$ )

$\square$  Conversely,  $g^{-1}$  acts as:

$$g^{-1}(v): T_x(M) \rightarrow T_x(M)$$

$$g^{-1}(u): \omega_p(x) \rightarrow \xi^i(x) = g^{ip}(x)\omega_p(x)$$

$\square$  In this way,  $g, g^{-1}$  can lower or raise any tensor index, e.g.:

$$g: t^i{}_k \rightarrow t_i{}_k = g_{ij}t^j{}_k$$

$$\text{and: } g: \tau^i{}_k \rightarrow \tau^{ij}{}_k = g^{ij}\tau^j{}_k$$

**B) The Hodge  $*$  map:  $\Lambda_p \rightarrow \Lambda_{n-p}$**  (Recall:  $\dim(\Lambda_p) = \binom{n}{p} = \binom{n}{n-p} = \dim(\Lambda_{n-p})$ )

- Idea:
- $\square$  each  $v \in \Lambda_p$  is a covariant tensor
  - $\square$  through  $g$  it is equivalent to a contravariant tensor  $\tilde{v}$
  - $\square$  can feed  $\Omega$  with  $\tilde{v}$  to obtain  $*v \in \Lambda_{n-p}$ .

Concretely:

anything totally antisymmetric  $\rightarrow$  one could choose other bases.

$\square$  Consider any  $v := \frac{1}{p!} v_{i_1 \dots i_p} dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_p} \in \Lambda_p$

coefficients as a covariant tensor

$$= v_{i_1 \dots i_p} dx^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_p}$$

convenient to write down

$\square$  Use  $g^{-1}$  to define a contravariant image of  $v$ :

$$\tilde{v} = \tilde{v}^{i_1 \dots i_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_p}}$$

where  $\tilde{v}^{i_1 \dots i_p} := g^{i_1 j_1} g^{i_2 j_2} \dots g^{i_p j_p} v_{j_1 \dots j_p}$

$\square$  Apply  $\Omega$  on  $\tilde{v}$ :

$$\Omega(\tilde{v}) = \Omega_{i_1 \dots i_p} \tilde{v}^{i_1 \dots i_p} dx^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_p} \in \Lambda_{n-p}$$

$(\tilde{v})_{i_1, \dots, i_p, i_{p+1}, \dots, i_n}$

$n-p$  factors

$\square$  Define  $*v := \Omega(\tilde{v})$ , i.e.:

$$*v = (\tilde{v})_{i_1 \dots i_p} dx^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_p}$$

$$*v = \frac{1}{(n-p)!} (\tilde{v})_{i_1 \dots i_p} dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_p}$$

Proposition:

Assume  $v \in \Lambda_p$ . Then

$$**v = (-1)^{p(n-p)+s} v$$

E.g.  $s=1$  for space-time

What is  $s$ ? The "signature" of  $g$  is  $\text{sgn}(g) = (r, s)$ , where in diagonal form:  $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & -1 \end{pmatrix}$



concretely:

Consider any  $v := \frac{1}{p!} v_{i_1, \dots, i_p} dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_p} \in \Lambda_p$   
one could choose other bases, coefficients as a covariant tensor  
 $= v_{i_1, \dots, i_p} dx^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_p}$   
can read because drops out here

Use  $g^{-1}$  to define a contravariant image of  $v$ :

$$\tilde{v} = \tilde{v}^{i_1, \dots, i_p} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_1}} \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i_p}}$$

where  $\tilde{v}^{i_1, \dots, i_p} := g^{i_1 j_1} g^{i_2 j_2} \dots g^{i_p j_p} v_{j_1, \dots, j_p}$

$$*v = (*v)_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-p}} dx^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes dx^{i_{n-p}}$$

$$*v = \frac{1}{(n-p)!} (*v)_{i_1, \dots, i_{n-p}} dx^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dx^{i_{n-p}}$$

Proposition:

Assume  $v \in \Lambda_p$ . Then

$$**v = (-1)^{p(n-p)+s} v$$

E.g.  $s=1$  for space-time

What is  $s$ ? The "signature" of  $g$  is  $\text{sgn}(g) = (r, s)$ , where in diagonal form:  $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & \dots & & \\ & & -1 & \\ & & & \dots \end{pmatrix}$

Use  $*$  to turn  $\Lambda(M)$  into an "Inner Product Space":

Definition:

The Hodge  $*$  provides a "scalar" (or also called "inner") product for  $\Lambda(M)$ :

Exercises:

- Write  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in coordinates
- Show that  $(,)$  is always positive definite on  $\Lambda_0$ , i.e.,  $(\alpha, \alpha) > 0 \forall \alpha \in \Lambda_0, \alpha \neq 0$ .

$$(\alpha, \beta) := \int_M \underbrace{\alpha \wedge * \beta}_{\text{p-form}}$$

$\alpha$  is p-form,  $\beta$  is p-form

This definition is extended linearly to forms that are lin. comb. of forms of orb. degree,  $p$ .

Notes:  $\square$  If  $g$  is indefinite, then also  $(,)$  is indefinite.

$\square$  If  $g$  is positive definite, i.e., if  $M$  is Riemannian, then  $(,)$  is positive definite and  $\Lambda$  becomes a Hilbert space.

$d(,)$  yields an adjoint for  $d$ , the Co-derivative  $\delta$ :

Recall:

For any operator  $A: D_A \subset X \rightarrow X$  (with  $D_A$  dense, i.e.,  $\overline{D_A} = X$ ), its adjoint  $A^*$  is defined to have the domain

$$D_{A^*} := \{v \in X \mid \exists w \in X \forall z \in D_A: \langle v, Az \rangle = \langle w, z \rangle\}$$

and this action:  $A^*v = w$ . We then have:

$$\langle A^*v, z \rangle = \langle v, Az \rangle \quad \forall z \in D_A, v \in D_{A^*}$$

Definition:

The co-derivative,  $\delta$ , is the (anti-)adjoint of  $d$  with respect to the inner product  $(,)$  on  $\Lambda(M)$ :

$$(\delta \alpha, \beta) := -(\alpha, d\beta) \quad \forall \alpha \in D_\delta, \beta \in D_d$$

- 1) Write  $(\alpha, \beta)$  in coordinates
- 2) Show that  $(,)$  is always positive definite on  $\Lambda^p(M)$ , i.e.,  $(\alpha, \alpha) > 0 \forall \alpha \in \Lambda^p(M), \alpha \neq 0$ .

$$(\alpha, \beta) := \int_M \alpha \wedge * \beta$$

$\uparrow$  p-form     $\uparrow$  p-form     $\int_M$      $\leftarrow$  form

This definition is extended linearly to forms that are lin. comb. of forms of orb. degree,  $p$ .

- Notes:
- If  $g$  is indefinite, then also  $(,)$  is indefinite.
  - If  $g$  is positive definite, i.e., if  $M$  is Riemannian, then  $(,)$  is positive definite and  $\Lambda$  becomes a Hilbert space.

and this action:  $A^*v := w$ . We then have:

$$\langle A^*v, z \rangle = \langle v, Az \rangle \quad \forall z \in D_A, v \in D_{A^*}$$

Definition:

The co-derivative,  $\delta$ , is the (anti-)adjoint of  $d$  with respect to the inner product  $(,)$  on  $\Lambda(M)$ :

$$(\delta \alpha, \beta) := -(\alpha, d\beta) \quad \forall \alpha \in D_\delta, \beta \in D_d$$

c) The Codifferential  $\delta$  explicitly

Clearly:  $\delta : \Lambda^p(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^{p-1}(M)$

Proposition:  $\delta : \nu \rightarrow (-1)^{p+1} * d * \nu$  (Some authors define  $\delta$  as the negative of this)

Properties: □  $\delta^2 = 0$

□ In coordinates:

$$(\delta \omega)^{i_1 \dots i_{p-1}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \left( \nabla_j \omega^{i_1 \dots i_{p-1} j} \right)_{,k}$$

□ If  $M$  is contractible (and in every contractible part):

$$\delta \nu = 0 \Rightarrow \exists \omega : \nu = \delta \omega$$

Exercises: □ Show the above.

□ Determine whether or not  $\delta$  is a derivation.

Use  $d$  and  $\delta$  to obtain the Maxwell equations on  $M$

□ Define:

"Field strength":  $F_{\mu\nu}(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_1 & -E_2 & -E_3 \\ E_1 & 0 & B_3 & -B_2 \\ E_2 & -B_3 & 0 & B_1 \\ E_3 & B_2 & -B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $F = F_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$

$\uparrow$  electric field     $\uparrow$  "field strength" 2-form  
 $\uparrow$  mag. field

"Current 3-form"  $\rightarrow j(x) := \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda\sigma} j^\sigma dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu \wedge dx^\lambda$

$\leftarrow$  "current 4-vector"

□ Then: The Maxwell Eqs read:

"Homogeneous Maxwell equations" (indep. of metric)

$$dF = 0, \delta F = *j$$

"Inhomogeneous Maxwell equations" (dependent on the metric)

Current 1-form, i.e., cotangent vector field

Proposition:

Properties:  $\delta^2 = 0$

In coordinates:

$$(\delta w)^{\dots i_1 \dots i_p} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \left( \nabla_j w^{\dots i_1 \dots i_p} \right)_{,k}$$

If  $M$  is contractible (and in every contractible part):

$$\delta v = 0 \Rightarrow \exists w: v = \delta w$$

Exercises: Show the above.

Determine whether or not  $\delta$  is a derivation.

field strength:

$$F_{\mu\nu}(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -E_1 & -E_2 & -E_3 \\ E_1 & 0 & B_3 & -B_2 \\ E_2 & B_3 & 0 & B_1 \\ E_3 & -B_2 & -B_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad F = F_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu \wedge dx^\nu$$

↑ "field strength" 2-form  
↑ "magn. field"

"current" 3-form  $\rightarrow j(x) := \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} j^\mu dx^\nu \wedge dx^\lambda \wedge dx^\sigma$   
↑ "current" 4-vector

Then: The Maxwell Eqs read:

"Homogeneous Maxwell equations" (indep. of metric)

$$\delta F = 0, \quad \delta F = *j$$

"Inhomogeneous Maxwell equations" (dependent on the metric)

↑ "current" 1-form, i.e., cotangent vector field

Remarks:

$F$  is assumed to be an exact 2-form, i.e.:

$$F = dA$$

(the 1-form  $A$  is called the 4-potential)

This already implies the homogeneous Maxwell equations:

$$dF = d^2 A = 0 !$$

→ One calls them "structure equations".

General relativity also possesses structure equations.

Remark:

The gauge principle of electrodynamics is the observation that, for any  $w \in \Lambda_0$ :

$$A \text{ and } \tilde{A} := A + dw$$

describe the same physics.

↑ The Aharonov-Bohm effect and topological phases in general, can make  $A$  itself visible when fermions become deuterons.

They do because the (classically) observable fields are only the  $E$  and  $B$  fields in  $F$  and since  $d^2 = 0$ :

observable  $E$  and  $B$  fields  $\rightarrow F = dA = d\tilde{A}$

(the 1-form  $A$  is called the  $+$ -potential)

□ This already implies the homogeneous Maxwell equations:

$$dF = d^2A = 0 !$$

→ One calls them "structure equations".

□ General relativity also possesses structure equations.

$A$  and  $\tilde{A} := A + dw$

describe the same physics.

The Aharonov-Bohm effect and topological phases in general, can make  $A$  itself visible when fermions come down to Earth.

They do because the (classically) observable fields are only the  $E$  and  $B$  fields in  $F$  and since  $d^2=0$ :

observable  $E$  and  $B$  fields →  $F = dA = d\tilde{A}$

D The Laplacian/d'Alembertian,  $\Delta, \square$ :

□ Definition of the Laplacian:

$$\Delta := \delta d + d\delta$$

Some authors define  $\Delta$  as the negative of this and let  $\delta$  be the adjoint of  $d$ .

□ Clear:  $\Delta : \Lambda^p(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^p(M)$

□ If signature  $s=1$ : Then also called d'Alembertian and denoted  $\square := d\delta + \delta d$ .

□ Action on, e.g.,  $f \in \Lambda_0(M)$  in a chart: Exercise: verify

$$\square f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \left( \sqrt{|g|} g^{\mu\nu} f_{,\mu} \right)_{,\nu} = \left( -\frac{\partial}{\partial x^0} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^1{}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2{}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^3{}^2} \right) f$$

if  $g = \eta$

Properties of the d'Alembertian,  $\square$  in the Hilbert space  $\Lambda(M)$ : if  $\Lambda$  is a Hilbert space

\* Defined:  $\square : \Lambda_r(M) \rightarrow \Lambda_r(M)$

$$\square : \psi \rightarrow (\delta d + d\delta)\psi$$

\* In the Hilbert space  $\Lambda(M)$ :

$$\square = \delta d + d\delta \text{ obeys } (d, \square \beta) = (\square d, \beta)$$

\*  $\square$  is self-adjoint,  $\square = \square^*$ , for suitable boundary conditions, or if  $\partial M = \emptyset$  and assuming  $(,)$  is positive definite.

\* Exercises: □ Verify  $\square = \square^*$  formally, using only  $\delta = -d^*$ .

□ Verify that  $\square * = * \square$ ,  $\square d = d \square$ ,  $\square \delta = \delta \square$ .



Clear:  $\Delta : \Lambda^p(M) \rightarrow \Lambda^p(M)$

If signature  $s=1$ : Then also called d'Alembertian and denoted  $\square := d\delta + \delta d$ .

Action on, e.g.,  $f \in \Lambda_0(M)$  in a chart: Exercise: verify

$$\square f = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \left( \sqrt{|g|} g^{\mu\nu} f_{,\mu} \right)_{,\nu} = \left( -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^0{}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^1{}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2{}^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^3{}^2} \right) f$$

$\downarrow$   
 $g = \eta$

\* In the Hilbert space  $\Lambda(M)$ :

$$\square = \delta d + d\delta \text{ obeys } (d, \square \beta) = (\square d, \beta)$$

\*  $\square$  is self-adjoint,  $\square = \square^*$ , for suitable boundary conditions, or if  $\partial M = \emptyset$  and assuming  $(,)$  is positive definite.

\* Exercises:  $\square$  Verify  $\square = \square^*$  formally, using only  $\delta = -d^*$ .  
 $\square^* = * \square$ ,  $\square d = d \square$ ,  $\square \delta = \delta \square$ .

Consequences of the self-adjointness of  $\square$ : - if  $\Lambda$  is a Hilbert space

- A) The operators  $\Delta$  and  $\square$  can be diagonalized, with real spectrum.
- B) For Riemannian manifolds,  $\text{spec}(\Delta) \subset [0, \infty)$ .
- C) For compact Riem. manifolds (of finite volume):  $\text{spec}(\Delta)$  is discrete.
- D) Then,  $\text{spec}(\Delta)$  is carrying a lot of information about  $(M, g)$ !  
Still the finite volume Riemannian case.

Remark: There exists a related mathematical discipline, called "Spectral Geometry", combining differential geometry with functional analysis, i.e., the languages of general relativity and quantum theory!

of Riemannian manifolds  $\rightarrow$

Application: Klein-Gordon "action":

$$S[\phi] := \frac{1}{2} \int_M \underbrace{g^{\mu\nu}}_{\substack{\in T^2 \\ \uparrow \\ \text{Klein-Gordon field } \phi \in F(M)}} \underbrace{\phi_{,\mu}}_{\substack{\in T_1 \\ \uparrow \\ \text{Klein-Gordon field } \phi \in F(M)}} \underbrace{\phi_{,\nu}}_{\substack{\in T_1 \\ \uparrow \\ \text{Klein-Gordon field } \phi \in F(M)}} \underbrace{\Omega}_{\substack{\in T_1 \\ \uparrow \\ \text{Klein-Gordon field } \phi \in F(M)}} \quad \left( \text{Recall special relativity: } S[\phi] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^4} \eta^{\mu\nu} \phi_{,\mu} \phi_{,\nu} d^4x \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_M g^{\mu\nu}(x) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \phi \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \phi \right) \sqrt{|g(x)|} d^4x$$

$\uparrow$  next: integrate by parts!

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_M -\phi \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \left( \sqrt{|g|} g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \phi \right)}_{=\square\phi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \sqrt{|g|} d^4x$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \int \phi(\square\phi) \Omega$$



C) For compact Riem. manifolds (of finite volume):  $\text{spec}(\Delta)$  is *discrete*.

Still the finite volume Riemannian case.

D) Then,  $\text{spec}(\Delta)$  is carrying a lot of information about  $(M, g)$ !

Remark: There exists a related mathematical discipline, called "Spectral Geometry", combining differential geometry with functional analysis, i.e., the languages of general relativity and quantum theory!

of Riemannian manifolds →

Klein Gordon field  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}(M)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M g^{\mu\nu}(x) \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\mu} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\nu} \right) \sqrt{|g(x)|} d^m x \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} \int_M -\phi \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left( \sqrt{|g|} g^{\mu\nu} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x^\nu} \right)}_{=\square\phi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \underbrace{\sqrt{|g|}}_{=\Omega} d^m x \\
 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int \phi (\square\phi) \Omega
 \end{aligned}$$

next: integrate by parts!

Obtain the Klein Gordon wave equation:

□ Recall: Euler Lagrange equation  $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi_{,\mu}}$

□ Here:  $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \phi \square \phi$  (the 0-form that we are integrating:  $S = \int \mathcal{L} \Omega$ )

□ Obtain Klein Gordon equation:  
 $\square \phi = 0$  (with mass:  $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \phi (\square + m^2) \phi$  yielding  $(\square + m^2) \phi = 0$ )

Q: Which physical fields are described by K-G fields?

there are many sorts of mesons. Most important mesons: "Pions". They transmit the nuclear force among protons & neutrons

A: □ Meson fields

□ Higgs field (Gives all particles their mass. Found at LHC. Nobel to Higgs, Englert & Brout) 2013)

□ Inflaton field (crucial ingredient in modern cosmology → see later)