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# A minimal SM/LCDM cosmology 

## Neil Turok

University of Edinburgh and<br>Perimeter Institute<br>with Latham Boyle (PI+Ed),<br>Sam Bateman and Kostas Tsanavaris

## current consensus


inflation was a groundbreaking idea but

1. So far, all we see is vanilla LCDM cf. landscape of inflation models
2. No sign of a multiverse
3. Upper bound on tensor modes steadily falling; $\phi^{2}$ inflation ruled out. (Current bound is r<.03; CMB experimenters project r<. 003 by 2027)
it is important to develop alternatives
no sign of inflationary tensors

BICEP/Keck
Collaboration
2203.16556 [astro-ph]
anticipated limit $r<.003$
using SPT for "delensing" (2027)


## vanilla LCDM:

just 5 fundamental physics parameters matter/energy content

1. $\rho_{\Lambda}$ cosmological constant
2. $\rho_{D M} / \rho_{B} \mathrm{DM} /$ baryon density
3. $n_{B} / n_{Y}$ baryons per photon

Newtonian potential fluctuations $\left.\left.\langle | \Phi_{k}\right|^{2}\right\rangle=A\left(\frac{k}{k_{*}}\right)^{n_{s}-1}$ 4. $\Phi_{r m s}=\sqrt{A} \approx 3 \times 10^{-5}\left(k,=0.05 \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}\right)$ Sachs-Wolfe $\delta T / T \approx \Phi / 3 \approx 10^{-5}$
5. $n_{s}-1 \approx 0.96$ red tilt
many quantities consistent with zero

## Looking back to the bang



ESA Planck satellite

## Large scale perturbations



ESA Planck satellite
this talk:
a new framework connecting SM\&LCDM (all 5 parameters)
no new particles except RH $v^{\prime} s$ inflation not required


## CPT symmetry and the singularity

Conformal symmetry $T_{\alpha}^{\alpha}=0 \quad\left(P=\frac{1}{3} \rho\right)$ Analyticity
conformal zero

A general class of solutions to Einstein-radiation fluid equations:

$$
d s^{2}=t^{2}\left(-d t^{2}+h_{i j}(t, x) d x^{i} d x^{j}\right) ; h_{i j}(t, x)=h_{i j}^{0}(x)+t^{2} h_{i j}^{2}(x)+. .
$$

regular 3-metric
singularity is purely conformal: extended spacetime symmetric under $t \Rightarrow-t$

## Gravitational path integral with CPT symmetric boundary conditions

$\Sigma_{f}=\Sigma_{i}$

$$
\Sigma_{f}=\Sigma_{i}
$$

$$
a_{f}=+a_{i}
$$

Present

Penrose's "Weyl curvature hypothesis" (late '70's) follows from the path integral for gravity with CPT symmetric boundary conditions

Start from homogeneous, isotropic backgrounds and extend to more generic metrics in (cosmological) perturbation theory

## the puzzling large-scale geometry of the cosmos



Penrose

## Path integrals and gravity





## de Sitter

## gravitational entropy from the Euclidean path integral


$c f$. entropy of radiation in our Hubble volume $\sim 10^{90}$

## realistic cosmology:

$$
d s^{2}=a(t)^{\substack{\text { scale } \\ \text { factor }}} \underset{\substack{\text { coniomal } \\ \text { time }}}{-d t^{2}}+\underset{\substack{\text { symmetric space } \\ i j \\ \text { comoning spacee } \\ \text { (assume compact) }}}{ } d R^{(3)}=6 \kappa
$$

radiation matter space curvature Lambda
Friedmann $\dot{a}^{2}=r+\mu a-\kappa a^{2}+\lambda a^{4}$
(ignoring numerical factors)
conformal symmetry $T^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}=\underset{\text { Einstein eq }}{0 \Rightarrow R}=0 \Longrightarrow a(t)$ analytic at $t=0$
general solution has remarkable analytical properties

$a(t)$ is single-valued and doubly periodic in the complex $t$-plane its only singularities are simple poles
$a(t)$ is periodic in imaginary time. The period and the action computed over a period determine $T_{H}$ and the gravitational entropy $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{g}}$ for realistic cosmologies.



Euclidean instanton for a closed universe with matter, radiation, Lambda

## We recently calculated $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{g}}$ and found that it favours:

1. a homogeneous, isotropic, spatially flat universe
2. a small, positive cosmological constant
(echoing earlier arguments of Baum, Hawking, Coleman...)
$\kappa>0$
$\kappa<0$
$\kappa>0$
$\kappa<0$





$$
\tilde{r} \equiv \frac{r}{\lambda} ; \tilde{\mu} \equiv \frac{\mu}{\lambda} ; \tilde{\kappa} \equiv \frac{\kappa}{\lambda} ; \quad S_{\lambda}=\frac{24 \pi^{2}}{L_{P l}^{2} \lambda}
$$

## understanding in terms of horizons

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 \dot{a}^{2}=-3 \kappa a^{2}+r+\lambda a^{4} ; \text { equal } \Lambda \text {, radiation density at } a_{e q}=(r / \lambda)^{1 / 4} \\
& \text {,,----- but for } a<a_{e q}, a \sim r^{1 / 2} t \text { so } t_{e q \sim 1 /(r \lambda)^{1 / 4}} \\
& \text { number of horizon volumes at equality } \\
& N_{\text {hor }} \sim\left(\lambda r / \kappa^{2}\right)^{3 / 4} \\
& \text { multiply by de Sitter entropy } \lambda^{-1} \\
& N_{h o r} \lambda^{-1} \sim\left(r / \kappa^{2}\right)^{3 / 4} \lambda^{-1 / 4} \sim S_{r} S_{\Lambda}^{1 / 4}
\end{aligned}
$$



Quanta Magazine, Nov 17, 2022; WIRED, Jan 22, 2023

## Quantum fields and gravity

vacuum energy and pressure are divergent,

simple physical regularizations such as point splitting give (for, e.g., Maxwell):
$\Rightarrow\left\langle T^{\mu \nu}\right\rangle_{v a c} \sim \frac{3}{\pi^{2} \Delta t^{4}}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{3}\end{array}\right)$, where $\Delta t^{2}=$ invart time-like separation
Breaks Lorentz invariance! Can be renormalized away but leaves us without a physical understanding of the QFT vacuum.

Even worse are Weyl anomalies where quantum divergences spoil the local scale invariance of Maxwell and Dirac fields: violations cannot be renormalized away
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## Dimension zero scalars

Have a four-derivative, locally scale-invariant action

$$
S_{4}=-\frac{1}{2} \int d^{4} x \sqrt{-g}(\square \varphi)^{2} \quad \varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x)
$$

- the "dipole ghost"
a very interesting theory It has an infinite dimensional symmetry: $\varphi(x) \rightarrow \varphi(x)+\alpha(x)$ with $\square \alpha=0$ Reminiscent of the residual gauge symmetry in covariant gauges in QED

In this case, the only physical state is the vacuum: there are no excited states The vacuum fluctuations are scale-invariant

$$
\langle\varphi(0, x) \varphi(0, y)\rangle=\int \frac{d^{3} k}{(2 \pi)^{3}} \frac{e^{i k \cdot(x-y)}}{4 k^{3}}
$$

## Vacuum energy and conformal anomalies

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{k}=\frac{1}{2} \hbar k\left(n_{s, 1}-2 n_{F}+2 n_{A}+2 n_{s, 0}\right) \quad \text { per mode } \boldsymbol{k} \\
& C^{2}=C^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} C^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} \text {; } \\
& \left\langle T^{\mu}{ }_{\mu}\right\rangle=-a E+c C^{2}: \quad E=R_{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} R^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}-4 R_{\alpha \beta} R^{\alpha \beta}+R^{2} \\
& a=\frac{1}{360(4 \pi)^{2}}\left[n_{s, 1}+\frac{11}{2} n_{F}+62 n_{A}-28 n_{s, 0}\right] \\
& c=\frac{1}{120(4 \pi)^{2}}\left[n_{s, 1}+3 n_{F}+12 n_{A}-8 n_{s, 0}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Given the SM gauge group $\operatorname{SU} 3 \times S U 2 \times U 1$, all three cancel iff

$$
n_{F}=4 n_{A}=48 ; n_{s, 0}=3 n_{A}=36 ; n_{s, 1}=0 .
$$

Requires precisely three generations, each with a RH neutrino Also that there are no fundamental dim-1 scalars so Higgs must be composite
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## Graviton propagator with 1 loop SM corrections

Tomboulis 70's
Han Willenbrook

## Donoghue Menezes


Loop is given by the Fourier transform of the stress-energy correlator: for a CFT,

$$
\underset{\mu \nu}{x} \bigodot_{\rho \lambda}^{y}=\left\langle T^{\mu \nu}(x) T^{\rho \lambda}(y)\right\rangle=C^{T} \frac{1}{4 \pi^{4} x^{8}} I^{\mu \nu, \rho \lambda}(x-y)
$$

where $I^{\mu \nu, \rho \lambda}=\frac{1}{2}\left(I^{\mu \rho} I^{v \lambda}+I^{\mu \lambda} I^{\rho v}\right)-\frac{1}{4} \eta^{\mu \nu} \eta^{\rho \lambda}$ and $I^{\mu \nu}(x)=\eta^{\mu \nu}-2 \frac{(x-y)^{\mu}(x-y)^{v}}{(x-y)^{2}}$ $C^{T}=\frac{4}{3}\left[n_{s, 1}+3 n_{F}+12 n_{A}-8 n_{s, 0}\right]=\frac{4}{3} n_{e f f}$ ( $\propto$ coefft of Weyl squared in the trace anomaly)

Projector onto spin 2 component

- gauge invariant
$\operatorname{Dim}$ reg and min sub $\Rightarrow D^{\alpha \beta, \mu \nu}(k)=\frac{P^{\alpha \beta, \mu v_{(k)}}}{k^{2}\left(\left(1-\frac{n_{e f f}}{240 \pi} G k^{2} \ln \left(-\frac{k^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)\right)\right.}$

These SM corrections to the graviton propagator are problematic:

1. Inconsistent with Källén-Lehmann repn. $D(k)=\int_{0}^{\infty} d m^{2} \rho\left(m^{2}\right)_{\frac{1}{k^{2}-m^{2}+i \varepsilon}}$
(follows from Poincare invariance and positivity of the physical Hilbert space)
2. Specifically, $D(k)$ (i) falls off as $|k|^{-4}$ at large $|k|$
(ii) has complex (acausal) poles on physical sheet

Similarly, Dim-0 scalar loops alone violate K-L: (i) $|k|^{-4}$ fall off; (ii) a tachyonic pole

BUT SM + Dim-0 combination is consistent with Poincaré, causality and positivity
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# A Minimal Explanation of the Primordial Cosmological Perturbations 

Neil Turok ${ }^{1,2, *}$ and Latham Boyle ${ }^{2, \dagger}$<br>${ }^{1}$ Higgs Centre for Theoretical Physics, James Clerk Maxwell Building, Edinburgh EH9 SFD, UK<br>${ }^{2}$ Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario, Canada, N2L $2 Y 5$

We outline a new explanation for the primordial density perturbations in cosmology. Dimension zero fields are a minimal addition to the Standard Model of particle physics: if the Higgs doublet is emergent, they cancel the vacuum energy and both Weyl anomalies without introducing any new particles. Furthermore, the cancellation explains why there are three generations of elementary particles, including RH neutrinos. We show how quantum zero point fluctuations of dimension zero fields seed nearly scale-invariant, Gaussian, adiabatic density perturbations. We determine their amplitude in terms of Standard Model couplings and find it is consistent with observation. Subject to two simple theoretical assumptions, both the amplitude and the tilt we compute ab initio agree with the measured values inferred from large scale structure observations, with no free parameters.

## primordial perturbations from Dim-0 fields and the SM

Running couplings violate scale symmetry: at high temperature,

$$
T_{\beta}^{S M} \equiv\left\langle T_{\mu}^{S M \mu}\right\rangle_{\beta}=3 P-\rho \approx \sum c_{i} \alpha_{i}^{2} T^{4} \equiv c_{\beta}^{S M} T^{4}
$$

This anomalous trace can be cancelled by introducing a coupling in the effective action,

$$
\Gamma^{\varphi}=\sum_{j=1}^{n_{S, 0}} \frac{1}{2} \int-a \varphi_{j} \Delta_{4} \varphi_{j}+\left[a\left(E-\frac{2}{3} \boxtimes R\right)+c C^{2}-n_{s, 0}^{-1} T_{\beta}^{S M}\right] \varphi_{j}
$$

(generalizing sigma models in string theory viewed as a model of 2d gravity)
The last term corrects the Einstein-fluid equations, converting quantum correlations in the 36 dim- 0 fields into large scale curvature fluctuations: Friedmann equation becomes
$\dot{a}^{2}=\frac{8 \pi G}{3} \rho_{r} a^{4}\left(1+c_{\chi} \chi(x)\right)$ with $\chi(x)=n_{s, 0}^{-1} \sum \varphi_{j}(x), c_{\chi}=c_{\beta}^{S M} /\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{30} \mathcal{N}_{e f f}\right), \mathcal{N}_{e f f} \approx 106 \frac{1}{4}$
Conformal factor translates directly into "comoving curvature perturbation" $\mathcal{R}(x)=\frac{1}{4} c_{\chi} \bar{\varphi}(x)$ (adiabatic, Gaussian, scalar: no primordial tensors)

## Spectral tilt

Dominated by QCD: asymptotic freedom $\Rightarrow$ red tilt!

To understand quantitatively, consider the trace anomaly (for QCD)
$S=-\int \frac{1}{4} F^{2} \Rightarrow-\int \frac{1}{4 g^{2}} F^{2} . \alpha \equiv \frac{g^{2}}{4 \pi} ; \mu \partial_{\mu} \alpha \equiv \beta_{\alpha} ; \mu \partial_{\mu} S=\int \frac{\beta \alpha 1}{\alpha 4 g^{2}} F^{2} \Rightarrow \int \frac{\beta \alpha}{4 \alpha} F^{2}$
$\Rightarrow T_{\lambda}^{\lambda}=\frac{\beta_{\alpha}}{4 \alpha} F^{2} ; \quad \frac{\beta_{\alpha}}{\alpha}=-\left(11-\frac{2}{3} n_{f}\right) \frac{\alpha}{2 \pi} T^{4} ; \quad\left\langle F^{2}\right\rangle_{\beta}=\frac{2 \pi \alpha}{9}\left(12+5 n_{f}\right) T^{4}$
running coupling: energy scale of $\varphi$ plasma interactions: energy scale of $T$
Thus, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k)$ scales with $k$ as $\alpha^{2}(k) ; n_{s}-1 \equiv \frac{d \ln \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k)}{d \ln k}=2 \frac{\beta_{\alpha}}{\alpha}=-\frac{7}{\pi} \alpha_{Q C D}\left(M_{P}\right)$

The red tilt is an critical exponent which can be computed perturbatively

If so, we can extrapolate over 30 orders of magnitude in length scale...


Buttazzo et al 1307.3536 [hep-ph]


## Comparison with observation

$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k)=\frac{3^{2} 5^{2}}{7(2 \pi)^{4}}\left(\frac{c_{\beta}^{S M}}{\mathcal{N}_{e f f}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{k}{k_{P}}\right)^{-\frac{7 \alpha_{3}}{\pi}} ; \quad k_{P}=$ comoving Planck wavenumber
with $c_{\beta}^{S M} \equiv \frac{125}{108} \alpha_{Y}^{2}-\frac{95}{72} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{49}{6} \alpha_{3}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{e f f}=106 \frac{1}{4}$ (to lowest order, neglect Higgs)
Now use $\left(k_{P} / k_{*}\right)^{1-n_{s}}=14.8 \pm 5.1, \quad k_{*} \equiv 0.05 \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$

Thus, we find $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}=A\left(\frac{k}{k_{*}}\right)^{n_{s}-1}, \quad A=(13 \pm 5) \times 10^{-10} ; n_{s}=0.958$
cf. Planck satellite: $\quad A=(21 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-10} ; n_{s}=0.959 \pm 0.006$
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## Comparison with observation

$$
-11-\frac{2}{3} n_{f}
$$

$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}(k)=\frac{3^{2} 5^{2}}{7(2 \pi)^{4}}\left(\frac{c_{\beta}^{S M}}{\mathcal{N}_{e f f}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{k}{k_{P}}\right)^{-\frac{7 \alpha_{3}}{\pi}} ; \quad k_{P}=$ comoving Planck wavenumber
with $c_{\beta}^{s M} \equiv \frac{125}{108} \alpha_{Y}^{2}-\frac{95}{72} \alpha_{2}^{2}-\frac{49}{6} \alpha_{3}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{e f f}=106 \frac{1}{4}$ (to lowest order, neglect Higgs)
Now use $\left(k_{*} / k_{P}\right)^{n_{s}-1}=14.8 \pm 5.1, \quad k_{*}=0.05 \mathrm{Mpc}^{-1}$

Thus, we predict $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}=A\left(\frac{k}{k_{*}}\right)^{n_{S^{-1}}}, A=(13 \pm 5) \times 10^{-10} ; n_{s}=0.958$
cf. Planck satellite: $\quad A=(21 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-10} ; n_{s}=0.959 \pm 0.006$ predictions testable as observations and theory are improved

## summary

analytic extension of cosmological solutions of the Einstein equations lead to

- a new picture of the big bang singularity as a CPT "mirror"
- a calculation of the gravitational entropy for cosmological spacetimes
new explanations and predictions for
- the large-scale homogeneity, isotropy and flatness of the cosmos (and a hint about Lambda)
- the dark matter
- the arrow of time and the strong CP problem

Dimension zero scalars

- cancel the vacuum energy and both Weyl anomalies at leading order
- explain why there are 3 generations of SM fermions, including RH neutrinos
- explain the amplitude, tilt and character of the primordial perturbations
- require the Higgs to be emergent; suggest new approach to the gauge-gravity hierarchy

All without adding any new propagating degrees of freedom
These are encouraging signs but much remains to be understood

