

Title: Observing sub-nanohertz gravitational waves with pulsar parameter drift

Speakers: William DeRocco

Series: Particle Physics

Date: January 31, 2023 - 1:00 PM

URL: <https://pirsa.org/23010117>

Abstract: Gravitational waves with frequencies below 1 nHz are notoriously difficult to detect. With periods exceeding current experimental lifetimes, they induce slow drifts in observables rather than periodic correlations. Observables with well-known intrinsic contributions provide a means to probe this regime. In this talk, I will demonstrate the viability of using observed pulsar timing parameters to discover such ultralow frequency gravitational waves, presenting two complementary observables for which the systematic shift induced by ultralow-frequency gravitational waves can be extracted. I will then show the results of searches for both continuous and stochastic signals from supermassive black hole binaries using existing data for these observables, and demonstrate that this technique has the power to probe astrophysically-interesting strains.

Zoom Link: <https://pitp.zoom.us/j/95734210379?pwd=Wnp1cHR2QW93bksxaGlCWEZVSjRsUT09>

2212.09571
2302.XXXXX

Observing sub-nHz gravitational waves with pulsar parameter drift

William DeRocco


(with Jeff Dror)

University of California, Santa Cruz

Perimeter Theory Seminar

January 31st, 2023


Motivation



William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

2


Motivation



William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

3

Motivation



William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

4

Outline



- **Part I:** PTA analysis
- **Part II:** Extracting sub-period signal
- **Part III:** Results

Outline

- **Part I:** PTA analysis
- **Part II:** Extracting sub-period signal
- **Part III:** Results

Pulsars

- Rapidly-rotating neutron star with directional beam of radiation
- Periods of \sim millisecond
- Pulse arrival = very precise clock




William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

7


Pulsar timing

- Pulses **emitted** at fixed rate by pulsar




Pulsar timing

- Pulses **emitted** at fixed rate by pulsar
- GWs cause variation in light-travel time \Rightarrow variation in **arrival** times at Earth




Pulsar timing array

- GWs are quadrupolar
- Variations in arrival times are **correlated across sky**
- Correlations help discriminate GWs from other sources of variation




Pulsar timing

- Pulses **emitted** at fixed rate by pulsar
- GWs cause variation in light-travel time \Rightarrow variation in **arrival** times at Earth



PTA band

astrophysical signals!




William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

12

SMBH binaries

- $10^6 - 10^9 M_\odot$ black holes in the center of galaxies
- Merging galaxies produce stochastic background of gravitational waves
- Expected discovery soon! (NANOGrav 2023 DR?)




SMBH evolution

SMBH binary evolution




SMBH evolution



William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

15


SMBH evolution



William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

16


SMBH evolution



William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

17


SMBH evolution



William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

18


SMBH evolution



William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

19

SMBH evolution




William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

20


PTA Recipe

- **Step 1:** Pulses → **TOAs**




PTA Recipe

- **Step 1:** Pulses → **TOAs**
- **Step 2:** Fit **model** to TOAs
 - Model includes parameters such as \dot{P} , \ddot{P} , ...




PTA Recipe

- **Step 1:** Pulses → **TOAs**
- **Step 2:** Fit **model** to TOAs
- **Step 3:** Subtract model from TOAs to produce **residuals**



PTA Recipe


- **Step 1:** Pulses → **TOAs**
- **Step 2:** Fit **model** to TOAs
- **Step 3:** Subtract model from TOAs to produce **residuals**
- **Step 4:** Test for **correlations** in residuals



PTA Recipe

- Step 1: Pulses → TOAs
- Step 2: Fit **model** to TOAs
- Step 3: Subtract model from TOAs to produce **residuals**
- Step 4: Test for **correlations** in residuals

Correlations only observable for $f > 1/T$!



PTA Recipe


- **Step 1:** Pulses → **TOAs**
- **Step 2:** Fit **model** to TOAs
- **Step 3:** Subtract model from TOAs to produce **residuals**
- **Step 4:** Test for **correlations** in residuals
- **Step 5:** Nobel Prize(?)



PTA Recipe

***Correlations only observable for
 $f > 1/T!$***


- For $f < 1/T$, signal is “fit away”
- No sensitivity to ultralow-frequency gravitational waves



PTA Recipe

***Correlations only observable for
 $f > 1/T!$***

- For $f < 1/T$, signal is “fit away”
- No sensitivity to ultralow-frequency gravitational waves




William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

28

Fit parameters

Key Insight: “Fitting away” just means low-frequency information is stored in parameter fits!




Fit parameters

Key Insight: “Fitting away” just means low-frequency information is stored in parameter fits!

- Example: \dot{P}
 - Contains information about GWs!
 - Also pulsar spin down, etc.

$$\dot{P}_{obs} = \dot{P}_{other} + \dot{P}_{GW}$$




Fit parameters

Key Insight: “Fitting away” just means low-frequency information is stored in parameter fits!

- Example: \dot{P}
 - Contains information about GWs!
 - Also pulsar spin down, etc.

$$\dot{P}_{\text{obs}} = \dot{P}_{\text{other}} + \dot{P}_{\text{GW}}$$

- Intrinsic contributions to \dot{P} unpredictable \Rightarrow GW signal cannot be extracted





Sensitive parameters

- Non-GW contributions to some parameters are known and can be subtracted away!

- **Best parameters:**


- \dot{P}_b : change in *binary* period
- \ddot{P} : second derivative of *spin* period



Binary spin-down (\dot{P}_b)

$$\frac{\dot{P}_{b,\text{obs}}}{P_b} = \frac{\dot{P}_{b,\text{GR}}}{P_b} + \frac{\dot{P}_{b,\text{Shk}}}{P_b} + a_{\text{MW}} + a_{\text{GW}}$$


- Change in binary period
- Induces apparent line-of-sight **acceleration**
- Three relevant non-GW contributions



Contribution #1: Gravitational radiation

$$\frac{\dot{P}_{b,\text{obs}}}{P_b} = \frac{\dot{P}_{b,\text{GR}}}{P_b} + \frac{\dot{P}_{b,\text{Shk}}}{P_b} + a_{\text{MW}} + a_{\text{GW}}$$

- Binary systems emit gravitational radiation of their own
- This decreases energy, causing orbit to slow
- Mass + eccentricity are independently measured \Rightarrow contribution can be subtracted




$$\begin{aligned}\dot{P}_b^{\text{GR}} = & -\frac{192\pi G^{5/3}}{5c^5} \left(\frac{P_b}{2\pi}\right)^{-5/3} (1-e^2)^{-7/2} \\ & \times \left(1 + \frac{73}{24}e^2 + \frac{37}{96}e^4\right) \frac{m_p m_c}{(m_p + m_c)^{1/3}}\end{aligned}$$

Contribution #2: Shklovskii Effect

$$\frac{\dot{P}_{b,\text{obs}}}{P_b} = \frac{\dot{P}_{b,\text{GR}}}{P_b} + \frac{\dot{P}_{b,\text{Shk}}}{P_b} + a_{\text{MW}} + a_{\text{GW}}$$

[]


- Proper motion on sky induces shift in residuals
- Distance + proper motion are independently measured \Rightarrow contribution can be subtracted



Contribution #2: Galactic acceleration

$$\frac{\dot{P}_{b,\text{obs}}}{P_b} = \frac{\dot{P}_{b,\text{GR}}}{P_b} + \frac{\dot{P}_{b,\text{Shk}}}{P_b} + a_{\text{MW}} + a_{\text{GW}}$$


- Galactic potential tugs on pulsar
- Pulsar location independently measured
⇒ contribution can be subtracted
- Highly subdominant in most cases
 - *But that hasn't stopped people from trying...
(Chakrabarti et al. 2021)*



Second derivative of pulsar spin-down (\ddot{P})

$$\frac{\ddot{P}_{\text{obs}}}{P} = j_{\text{GW}}$$

- Change in *pulsar* period
- Induces apparent line-of-sight **jerk**
- All non-GW contributions negligible →



Spin-down: 10^{-35} s^{-2}
Shklovskii: 10^{-32} s^{-2}
Galactic: 10^{-33} s^{-2}

Observational uncertainty: $>10^{-30} \text{ s}^{-2}$

Historical aside

Bertotti et al. point out the potential in same paper as they describe what would become the current PTA program

1983

First millisecond pulsar observed

EPTA project begins

1996

1997-2004

Kopeikin notes that constraints could be set using the uncertainty on observed pulsar parameters

Kumamoto et al.
use statistical
distribution of \dot{P}

2019-2021

2022


First analysis to use sensitive pulsar parameters to reach astrophysically-interesting strains!

Outline

- **Part I:** PTA analysis
- **Part II:** Extracting sub-period signal
- **Part III:** Results


Continuous SMBH source

- Measured in terms of *strain* h
 - Relative change in path length
 - $h \sim v_{LOS}$
- Approximately sinusoidal at ultralow frequencies
- SMBH merger = single coherent source




Stochastic signal

- **High frequencies ($f > 1/T$):**
incoherent sum of sources \Rightarrow
stochastic noise




Stochastic signal

- **High frequencies ($f > 1/T$):**
incoherent sum of sources \Rightarrow
stochastic noise
- **Ultralow frequencies ($f < 1/T$):**
incoherent sum \Rightarrow sinusoid with
random phase and amplitude





Stochastic signal

- **High frequencies ($f > 1/T$):**
incoherent sum of sources \Rightarrow
stochastic noise
- **Ultralow frequencies ($f < 1/T$):**
incoherent sum \Rightarrow sinusoid with
random phase and amplitude
- Each polarization is separate
random draw \Rightarrow **polarized** signal



Angular correlations

- **Ultralow frequency ($f < 1/T$):**
 - Quadrupolar pattern function
- **Higher frequency ($f > 1/T$):**
 - Hellings and Down curve




Data

- \ddot{P} : Liu et al. (2018)
 - jerk $\sim 10^{-31} \text{ s}^{-2}$

- \dot{P}_b : Chakrabarti et al. (2020)
 - acceleration $\sim 10^{-19} \text{ s}^{-1}$

- Pulsars are not in star clusters, no wide binaries, etc.



Scaling

- Line-of-sight velocity induced by GW:


$$\dot{x}_{LOS} \sim h_0 (\cos 2\pi f t_{\text{qm}} - \cos 2\pi f t_{\text{obs}})$$

- Wavelength < distance to pulsar:

- Acceleration: $\dot{P}_b \propto a_{LOS} = \ddot{x}_{LOS} \sim h_0 f$
- Jerk: $\ddot{P} \propto j_{LOS} = \dddot{x}_{LOS} \sim h_0 f^2$

- Wavelength > distance to pulsar:

- Additional f from expansion of cosine
- Acceleration: $\dot{P}_b \propto a_{LOS} = \ddot{x}_{LOS} \sim h_0 f^2$
- Jerk: $\ddot{P} \propto j_{LOS} = \dddot{x}_{LOS} \sim h_0 f^3$




Back-of-the-envelope estimate

- Jerk $\sim 10^{-31} \text{ s}^{-2}$

$$j_{LOS} \sim h_0(\pi f)^2 \Rightarrow h_0 \sim 10^{-14} \left(\frac{f}{\text{nHz}} \right)^2$$

- Acceleration $\sim 10^{-19} \text{ s}^{-1}$


$$a_{LOS} \sim h_0(\pi f) \Rightarrow h_0 \sim 10^{-11} \left(\frac{f}{\text{nHz}} \right)$$



Results: continuous source

2212.09571

- Target: SMBH binaries
- Log-likelihood ratio test for averaged all-sky search




William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

49

Results: continuous source

2212.09571

- Target: SMBH binaries
- Log-likelihood ratio test for averaged all-sky search
- Follows frequency scalings



William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

50

More to come!

- Our data were exclusively EPTA and PPTA...
- Currently working with NANOGrav to implement this in their analysis pipeline!
- Observation of ultralow-frequency signal in next data release?




William DeRocco (UC Santa Cruz)

52

Outline

- **Part I:** PTA analysis
 - **Takeaway I:** Standard PTA analysis “fits away” secular variation.
- **Part II:** Extracting sub-period signal
 - **Takeaway II:** Low-frequency behavior is stored in parameter estimates.
- **Part III:** Results
 - **Takeaway III:** Certain parameters offer sensitivity to gravitational waves at sub-nanohertz frequencies.

A vibrant, multi-colored nebula dominates the background, featuring swirling patterns of green, blue, orange, and red. Two black holes are visible; one on the left is surrounded by a bright, glowing accretion disk, while another on the right has a similar disk extending towards the top right. A bright, white star is positioned near the bottom right edge of the nebula.

Thank you!