

Title: Gravitational Physics Lecture - 230109

Speakers: Ruth Gregory

Collection: Gravitational Physics (2022/2023)


Date: January 09, 2023 - 11:30 AM

URL: <https://pirsa.org/23010030>


Lecture1.pdf
Page 1 of 20

Review of Gravitational Physics

Ruth Gregory



Ruth Gregory Lecture 1 1/20



ROADMAP

Today: Review of Manifold Basics. *It is possible I will go a bit faster with slides, so please take a look at <https://pirsa.org/20010045> to review the material if you need.*

Friday and Next Week: More on Manifolds – Forms, Lie Derivative, Covariant Derivative, Curvature and Cartan.

Down the Road: Black Holes, Causal Structure, Actions & Thermodynamics

Advanced Topics: Submanifolds, Walls & Branes, Perturbation Theory, Analog Gravity.



Ruth Gregory

Conventions

A trap for the unwary!

Signature $+, -, -, -$ [hep-ph] $\hbar = c = 1$ [relativist's]

Curvature:

$$R^a{}_{bcd} = 2\Gamma^a{}_{b[d,c]}$$

$$R_{ab} = R^c{}_{acb}$$

So the Einstein equations are:

$$R_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}Rg_{ab} = 8\pi GT_{ab}$$


Typically $a, b..$ are spacetime indices, but need not be a co-ordinate basis, $\mu, \nu..$ will usually be a co-ordinate basis, $i, j..$ are usually space indices, and $A, B...$ submanifold indices.



Ruth Gregory

Manifolds-1

A manifold \mathcal{M} (i.e. spacetime) is a set of events that looks locally like \mathbb{R}^n .




i.e. we can cover \mathcal{M} with a collection of *charts* (open sets together with a map to flat \mathbb{R}^n).

$$\mathcal{M} = \cup \mathcal{U}_i$$

Ruth Gregory

Manifolds-2

These maps label the points locally - co-ordinates - and where different charts overlap we ask that the transformation between the two sets of co-ordinates is infinitely differentiable.



This gives a C^∞ manifold, and the set of charts is called an **ATLAS**. We transport structure from \mathbb{R}^n to the manifold, building up our understanding of geometry.




Functions

A C^∞ function on \mathcal{M} is a map

$$f : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

that is locally C^∞ in all charts. The set of all C^∞ functions is denoted $C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$.




Ruth Gregory

Curves

A C^∞ curve is a map

$$\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$$

such that the image in a local chart is infinitely differentiable.




Ruth Gregory

Curves

Examples are the worldline of an observer,


$$X^\mu(t) = (t, \mathbf{x}(t))$$



Or the circle:

$$S^1 : [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$$

$$t \mapsto (\cos t, \sin t)$$



Note that the curve is the *path-plus-parametrisation*, so the same path traversed at a different rate is a different curve.

Ruth Gregory

Tangent Vectors

A vector is defined as a linear operator interpreted as the tangent to a curve at a point P

$$\mathbf{T} : C^\infty(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$$
$$f \mapsto \frac{df}{dt} \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$$

Here, for $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$, $f(t) = f \circ \gamma(t)$ is a real function, so is differentiable



Ruth Gregory

Tangent Space

These operators form a vector space at P , the *Tangent Space* $T_P(\mathcal{M})$.

We can rescale vectors by changing the parametrisation of the curve


$$\gamma_\lambda(t) = \gamma(t/\lambda) \quad \text{takes} \quad \mathbf{T} \rightarrow \lambda \mathbf{T}$$

and to add we go to a local chart and construct a “composite curve”:

$$X_3^\mu = X_1^\mu + X_2^\mu$$

$$\mathbf{T} + \mathbf{S} : f \mapsto \left. \frac{df}{dt} \right|_{\gamma_1} + \left. \frac{df}{ds} \right|_{\gamma_2}$$

$$\forall f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{M})$$




Ruth Gregory

Tangent Bundle

Note that $T_P(\mathcal{M})$ is a distinct space from \mathcal{M} , and is only defined at P . We can define tangent spaces at all points of \mathcal{M} , and the collection of these is called the *Tangent Bundle* $T(\mathcal{M})$.

For example, the tangent plane to the North Pole of the sphere is a *plane*, \mathbb{R}^2 , and we can directly visualise this as a plane sitting on top of the sphere.



Covectors

These are defined via maps from the tangent space to the reals (think of the dot-product).

$$\begin{aligned}\omega &: T_P(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \mathbf{v} &\mapsto \omega(\mathbf{v}) \quad \text{or} \quad \langle \omega | \mathbf{v} \rangle\end{aligned}$$

The set of all such ω also forms a vector space at P and is called the *cotangent* or *dual space* at P , $T_P^*(\mathcal{M})$

Ruth Gregory

Bases

$T_P(\mathcal{M})$ and $T_P^*(\mathcal{M})$ are vector spaces, so we can choose a basis for each. The most common basis is the *co-ordinate basis*

$$\begin{aligned} I f &= \frac{df}{dt} \text{ at } P \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{dX^M}{dt}}_{\substack{\text{tangent} \\ \text{to } X^M(t)}} \underbrace{\frac{\partial f}{\partial X^M}}_{\substack{\text{deriv of} \\ f \text{ in coord} \\ \text{basis.}}} \end{aligned}$$

Here, the operators $\partial/\partial X^\mu$ are the co-ordinate basis vectors.

Ruth Gregory

Components

We call the general derivatives of the coordinates of γ (the curve defining \mathbf{T}) the *components* of \mathbf{T} .

$$\underline{T} = \frac{dx^M}{dt} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^M} = T^M \frac{\partial}{\partial x^M}$$

\uparrow VECTOR (geometric) \uparrow COMPONENTS (scalars) \uparrow OPERATOR: BASIS VECTOR

Similarly, we can define the *covector co-ordinate basis* and covector components:

$$\underline{\omega} = \omega_\mu dx^\mu$$

\uparrow components \uparrow basis covector



Ruth Gregory

General Basis

It is often useful to use non-coordinate bases, in which case, the components of a vector/covector may not be directly related to a particular direction. A general basis can be written in terms of the coordinate basis:

$$\underline{e}_a = e_a^M \frac{\partial}{\partial x^M}$$

\uparrow $a=1 \dots n.$ \uparrow M ∂x^M
 vier or viel bein

the most common of which is the *orthonormal* basis (which requires a metric!) when

$$\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{e}_a, \mathbf{e}_b) = \eta_{ab}$$

(geometric)

(scalars)



Abstract Index Notation

Introduced by Penrose, to “legitimise” the working methods of physicists!

We often write T^μ to denote a vector, and then execute geometric operations, such as covariant derivative, on this “vector”:


$$\nabla_\mu T^\nu = \partial_\mu T^\nu + \Gamma_{\mu\lambda}^\nu T^\lambda$$

However, strictly, T^μ are the *components* of the (geometric) vector \mathbf{T} , and are therefore *scalars*.

The *vector* is the geometric \mathbf{T} , and the correct expression is:

$$\nabla \mathbf{T} = \nabla(T^a \mathbf{e}_a) = (\nabla T^a) \mathbf{e}_a + T^a (\nabla \mathbf{e}_a)$$

Partial Derivatives Connection



Ruth Gregory

This method of using the index notation for meaning a geometric object is the *Abstract Index Notation*. Once we start to do calculations in gravity, we will revert to this, but for the first part of the course we will be building our differential geometry toolkit, so will be using geometric notation.

$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{T} \\ T^a \end{array} \right.$ The geometric object
Components - scalars!

BE CAREFUL!



Ruth Gregory

Co- and Contra-variant

Vectors and co-vector components transform in the opposite way:

$$T^{\nu'} = \frac{\partial X^{\nu'}}{\partial X^{\mu}} T^{\mu} \quad \text{CONTRAVARIANT}$$
$$\omega_{\nu'} = \frac{\partial X^{\mu}}{\partial X^{\nu'}} \omega_{\mu} \quad \text{COVARIANT}$$

wherein we quickly see the problem of differentiating vectors:

$$\frac{\partial T^{\mu}}{\partial X^{\nu}} = \frac{\partial X^{\nu'}}{\partial X^{\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^{\nu'}} \left[\frac{\partial X^{\mu}}{\partial X^{\nu'}} T^{\nu'} \right]$$
$$= \underbrace{\frac{\partial X^{\nu'}}{\partial X^{\nu}} \frac{\partial X^{\mu}}{\partial X^{\nu'}} \frac{\partial T^{\nu'}}{\partial X^{\nu'}}}_{\checkmark} + \underbrace{\frac{\partial X^{\nu'}}{\partial X^{\nu}} \frac{\partial^2 X^{\mu}}{\partial X^{\nu'} \partial X^{\nu'}}}_{\times}$$

Ruth Gregory

Lie Bracket


Consider the commutator of two vectors:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \underbrace{(\mathbf{u}\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}\mathbf{u})}_{\text{VECTOR}} &= u^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left(v^\nu \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\mu} \right) - v^\mu \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} \left(u^\nu \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\mu} \right) \\
 &= \left(u^\mu \frac{\partial v^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} - v^\mu \frac{\partial u^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} \right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\nu} = \underbrace{([\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}])^\nu}_{\text{LIE BRACKET}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^\nu}
 \end{aligned}$$

EX: Check what happens under a coordinate transformation

$$u^\mu \frac{\partial v^\nu}{\partial x^\mu} \rightarrow u^{\mu'} \frac{\partial v^{\nu'}}{\partial x^{\mu'}} \frac{\partial x^\nu}{\partial x^{\mu'}} + u^{\mu'} v^{\nu'} \frac{\partial^2 x^\nu}{\partial x^{\mu'} \partial x^{\mu'}}$$

and confirm that the bracket is indeed covariant.



Ruth Gregory