

Title: Synthesis of many-body quantum states using group-IV (Ge/Si) quantum devices

Speakers: Joe Salfi




Collection: Quantum Matter Workshop

Date: November 15, 2022 - 11:30 AM

URL: <https://pirsa.org/22110071>

Abstract: Quantum dot arrays are an emerging system to synthesize controlled many-body quantum states for quantum simulation and computation. When cooled to a low temperature, each quantum dot acts as a site on which the number of half-integer spin particles can be controlled using voltages applied to gates, not unlike the gates on classical transistors. Moreover, the spin can be controlled and measured with the help of patterns of gates. It has recently been shown that tunnel couplings between individual sites can be controlled using the same gates to emulate a Hubbard model (unlike other systems i.e., superconductors, trapped ions, Rydberg atoms, etc), making it possible to program a many-body system using only voltages applied to gates, and that the spin can be initialized, controlled and read out on arrays of 4 to 6 quantum dots like a conventional quantum computer (unlike cold atoms in optical lattices). It has also recently been shown that the coherence times of the spin degree of freedom can be as long as 10 ms in this material system, and that the quantum dots can be proximized to superconductors. In this talk I will describe our efforts towards synthesis of interesting quantum states using this platform, at our lab in University of British Columbia.

Spin Qubits in Quantum Dots: Emerging Few-Body Quantum System



	Ion trap	Superconducting	Spins Qubits
			
Coherence	✓	Noisey	✓
Qubit type	Spin	Anharmonic oscillator	Spinful Fermion
Number of Qubits	44 [1]	53 [2]	6 [3]
Qubit area A	(1 mm) ²	(1 mm) ²	< (1 um) ²

[1] Pagano QST 2018 [2] Arute Nature 2019 [3] Philips Nature 2022

Quantum Dots

Key Idea

- Confine individual carriers in a material
- Accomplished by applying voltages to gates near a reservoir



SEM Image of hole spin qubit device from UBC Nanofab

Spin based quantum computation in QD
Proposal: Loss & DiVincenzo 1998

Quantum Dots

Frozen out orbital excitation

- No excitation to higher orbitals
- $\delta_s \sim 1$ to 10 meV (QD size dependent)


Can freeze out electron number fluctuations

- $E_C = e^2/2C_\Sigma + \delta_s \approx 5$ to 50 meV
- Can control charge # (1,2,3,...)

Applied magnetic field

Takes properties of solid host


- e.g., band
 - CB electrons ($S=1/2$), VB holes ($J=3/2$)
- e.g., bath
 - Nuclear bath, phonons, etc




QD Array as Analog Fermionic Simulator

Fermions

- Spin-1/2 particles on a lattice
- Experimental knobs
 - Tunnel couplings t_{ij} , energy levels ϵ_i
 - Tuned by voltages $V_{PL}, V_{BM}, V_{BR}, \dots$
- Fabrication control
 - On-site (U_i) Coulomb repulsion
 - Nearest-neighbour (W_{ij}) Coulomb repulsion
- Note: control coupling to reservoirs
 - $V_{BL}, V_{BR}, V_{BLS}, V_{BRS}$



SEM image of hole spin qubit device from UBC Nanofab





QD Array as Analog Fermionic Simulator

Article


Nagaoka ferromagnetism observed in a quantum dot plaquette

<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2051-0> J. P. Dehollain^{1,2*}, U. Mukhopadhyay^{1,2,3}, V. P. Michal^{1,2}, Y. Wang¹, B. Wunisch¹, C. Reich¹, W. Wegscheider⁴, M. S. Rudner^{1,2}, E. Demler¹ & L. M. K. Vandersypen^{1,2,3}



This paper

- cross-over from ferro state to anti-ferro state
- Controlled by gate!



Comparison to cold atoms

- Pure state initialisation
- Fewer sites
- Universal control


QDs as Conventional Qubits

Clean Spin Qubits

- Long coherence times > 10 ms
- Eliminate nuclear spins \mathbf{I}_k

$$H_C = \sum_k A_k \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{I}_k$$


Image credit: Coish et al PSS 2009



High Fidelity Single Qubit Gates

e.g., $\Omega_R = g\mu_B B_1 / \hbar$

99.9%+ fidelity, 1 microsecond




Pla Nature 2013

QDs as Conventional Qubits

High Fidelity Two-qubit Gates

e.g., exchange $H = JS_1 \cdot S_2$
99%+ fidelity, 100 ns

Xue Nature 2022




High fidelity measurement

99% fidelity, 1 microsecond

- Co-planar waveguide resonator
- Spin-dependent motion

Borjans Phys. Rev. Applied 2021



Hybrid analog/digital with QDs?

Aim

- Combine fermionic simulation with measurement & gates
- Half-filling: Heisenberg magnet, away from half-filling: Hubbard
- Starting with small and interesting systems (3-4 sites)

Quantum matter?

- Go beyond traditional condensed matter experiments (e.g., measure correlation functions)
- More control over types of states

Quantum computation?

- Avoid discretization errors in simulation tasks?
- ...
- Avoid fermion-to-spin mappings?

Quantum Dots

Frozen out orbital excitation

- No excitation to higher orbitals
- $\delta_s \sim 1$ to 10 meV (QD size dependent)


Can freeze out electron number fluctuations

- $E_C = e^2/2C_\Sigma + \delta_s \approx 5$ to 50 meV
- Can control charge # (1,2,3,...)

Applied magnetic field


Takes properties of solid host

- e.g., band
 - CB electrons ($S=1/2$), VB holes ($J=3/2$)
- e.g., bath
 - Nuclear bath, phonons, etc



The trouble with electron spin in Si

Valleys




6 degenerate minima of CB



Issues

1. Extra states in Hilbert space (QD)
2. Randomizes exchange (QD, donor)
"Valley interference"
3. Large CB effective mass $m^* \sim m_0$

Quantum dot (QD)



Single donor



JS, Mol, Rahman et al Nature Mat 2014

The Opportunity of Hole Spin Qubits

Keeping the benefits of electrons





- Small, coherent, transistor-like design

Avoiding weaknesses of electrons

- Electric drive, no valleys

Can even keep the robustness to electric noise

- Electric clock transition (10 ms coherence, $10^4\times$ improvement)



Kobayashi, JS et al, Nature Materials (2021)



Outline

Si-integrated Ge quantum well QDs

- Basic process: single QD
- QD molecules, occupation & tunneling control

High-magnetic field superconducting electronics?



- Can superconducting electronics survive high magnetic fields?
- Yes: Phase sensitive and phase-insensitive gain from para-amp

Computer-aided qubit design

- Connect QD design to qubit properties (optimization?)

Si-integrated Ge QD devices



Ge QW features



Hendrickx et al Nature Comms (2018)

- Small effective mass (larger devices!) $m^* \sim 0.04m_0$
- Quasi two-dimensional system w strong spin-orbit coupling
- Valence band degeneracies lifted by confinement and strain

Si-integrated Ge QD devices



Dr. Ebrahim Sajadi



Mukhlasur Tanvir

Device components (UBC)

- Ohmic contacts
- Gate Dielectric
- Nanoscale top gates
- Large gates to bond pads

Simplified architecture




- c.f. Hendrickx 2019, 2021
- Single gate layer
- Accumulated reservoir

Question?

- Control down to last hole?
- Tunnel coupling control?


Si-integrated Ge QD devices

Coulomb Blockade Demonstration: Single hole control (100 mK)





Si-integrated Ge QD devices

Coulomb Blockade Demonstration



Basic results



- Addition energy $U \sim 1.5$ meV
- Coupling QD energy to gate
 - $\alpha_P = d(qe_i)/dV_{Pi} \sim 0.3$
- Coupling QD energy to barrier $\alpha_B/\alpha_P \sim 1/10$



In prep

Si-integrated Ge double QD


Transport spectroscopy: Two-site occupation control



In prep


Si-integrated Ge double QD

Transport spectroscopy: Two-site occupation control



Demonstration



- Load left QD



In prep

Si-integrated Ge double QD

Transport spectroscopy: Two-site occupation control





Demonstration

- Load left QD
- Load right QD

In prep

Si-integrated Ge double QD

Transport spectroscopy: Two-site occupation control





Demonstration

- Load left QD
- Load right QD
- Electrostatic repulsion

In prep

Si-integrated Ge double QD

Transport spectroscopy: Two-site occupation control




Demonstration

- Load left QD
- Load right QD
- Electrostatic repulsion
- Tunnel coupling

In prep

Si-integrated Ge double QD

Transport spectroscopy: Two-site occupation control



Demonstration


- Current flowing through **single-electron transistor QD**

In prep



Si-integrated Ge double QD

Transport spectroscopy: Two-site occupation control




Demonstration

- Current flowing through **single-electron transistor QD**

In prep

Si-integrated Ge double QD

Transport spectroscopy: Two-site occupation control




Demonstration

- Current flowing through **single-electron transistor QD**
- Shifts in the lines are loading of left QD

In prep

Si-integrated Ge double QD

Transport spectroscopy: Two-site occupation control



Demonstration



- Current flowing through single-electron transistor
- Shifts in the lines are loading of left quantum dot
- Last shift indicates emptying of QD (log scale of current confirms)

In prep

Si-integrated Ge double QD

Conclusions: (Sept 2022) Single-layer device functionality

- Control of single hole at a time (on-site Coulomb repulsion) by gate
- Control of adjacent QDs by adjacent gates (**to last hole**)
- Tunnel coupling (and coupling control) by gates
- Nearest-neighbour Coulomb (**and charge sensing**)



Next steps: Small devices


- 2-QD + charge sensor
 - Fast spin readout
- 3-QD + charge sensor
 - Triplet GS (2 or 4 fermions)
 - Dynamics (driven system)

In prep

Qubit readout: First stage amp


First-stage amp: quantum limited amp


- Function: amplify readout signal adding smallest amount of noise (Caves PRL)
- NL: typ. Josephson Junctions Al/AIOx



Mohammad Khalifa

Our approach

- Spin qubits need B-fields 
- Kinetic inductance (KI) nanowire
 - High-Q in B-field is known (e.g., Samkharadze 2016)
 - Suppresses formation of vortices (maintain Q)




NL of KI device in B-field?: Totally unexplored: we built a para-amp

Qubit readout: First stage amp


First-stage amp: quantum limited amp



- Function: amplify readout signal adding smallest amount of noise (Caves PRL)
- NL: typ. Josephson Junctions Al/AIOx



Mohammad Khalifa

Our approach

- Spin qubits need B-fields 
- Kinetic inductance (KI) nanowire
 - High-Q in B-field is known (e.g., Samkharadze 2016)
 - Suppresses formation of vortices (maintain Q)




NL of KI device in B-field?: Totally unexplored: we built a para-amp



Khalifa and JS, under review



Superconducting Nano-Devices



Nanowire

- Thickness: 10 nm (cal.)
- Width: 100 nm (meas.)

Small cross-sectional area


- Kinetic inductance \gg magnetic inductance

Concept: Simple resonator based PA

- Pump tone and signal
- Pump tone amplifies signal
- 4WM (3WM also possible)
- Measure this at 8 mK in a dilution fridge

$$H = \sum_n \hbar \omega_n \left(a_n^\dagger a_n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sum_n \frac{\hbar}{2} K_n (a_n^\dagger a_n)^2 + \sum_{n,m \neq n} \hbar K_{mn} (a_m^\dagger a_m a_n^\dagger a_n)$$

Superconducting Nano-Devices



Nanowire

- Thickness: 10 nm (cal.)
- Width: 100 nm (meas.)

Small cross-sectional area

- Kinetic inductance \gg magnetic inductance

$$E_k = \frac{1}{2} L_k I^2$$


$$E_B = \frac{1}{2} L_m I^2$$

Concept: Simple resonator based PA

- Pump tone and signal
- Pump tone amplifies signal
- 4WM (3WM also possible)
- Measure this at 8 mK in a dilution fridge

$$H = \sum_n \hbar \omega_n \left(a_n^\dagger a_n + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sum_n \frac{\hbar}{2} K_n (a_n^\dagger a_n)^2 + \sum_{n,m \neq n} \hbar K_{mn} (a_m^\dagger a_m a_n^\dagger a_n)$$

Superconducting Nano-Devices




Power Dependence, Kerr Nonlinearity, B-field dependence Nonlinearity

- Resonance shift, change in line-shape
- Self-Kerr, Cross-Kerr non-linearity increases as W decreases (lower I_c)
- Magnetic field slightly increases K (lower I_c)

Superconducting Nano-Devices

Degenerate Parametric Amplification

- Feature: Phase-Sensitive Gain
 - Up to 17 dB of amplification at optimum pump power
 - Optimized devices (new) have 30 dB amplification
- The KI nonlinearity is highly robust to B-field for spin qubit devices
- No degradation of SNR (not shown)



Towards Qubit-CAD

Classical transistors, and now superconducting circuits

- Simplified models extracted from device-level sim

Hole spin qubits:


- Realistic description of states, or device, not both
- What is the role of device on qubit properties?



Ana Ciocoiu



Mohammad Khalifa



Key findings

- Rashba spin-orbit coupling is not the only physics (this is asymp 2D)
- Hole occupation
- Qubit drive in real devices

$$H_{LK} = \begin{bmatrix} P+Q & 0 & L & M \\ 0 & P+Q & M^* & -L^* \\ L^* & M & P-Q & 0 \\ M^* & -L & 0 & P-Q \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathcal{H} = (\hbar\omega + \chi \cdot \delta\mathbf{E})\sigma_z + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{E})\sigma_x$$

Thank you!

UBC Experiments

Dr. Ebrahim Sajadi
Mukhlasur Tanvir
Mohammad Khalifa
Hanieh Rad
Ana Ciocoiu

Theory

Dimi Culcer (UNSW)
Bill Coish (hyperfine) (McGill)



Australian Government
Australian Research Council

Materials

TU Delft Giordano Scappucci
Menno Veldhorst

