Title: What does the Path Integral imply for Quantizing Time?

Speakers: Kenneth Wharton

Collection: Quantizing Time

Date: June 18, 2021 - 10:40 AM

URL: http://pirsa.org/21060116

Abstract: "Even though path-integral formulations of quantum theory are thought to be equivalent to state-based approaches, path-integrals are rarely used to motivate answers to foundational questions. This talk will summarize a number of implications concerning time and time-symmetry which result from the path-integral viewpoint. Such a perspective sheds serious doubt on dynamical collapse theories, and also pushes against efforts to extend configuration space to include multiple time dimensions. A recently-developed map between all possible two-qubit entangled states and spacetime-based path-integrals sheds further doubt on any need to extend spacetime to a large ontological configuration space.

(References include arXiv:2103.02425, 1512.00740, 1103.2492.)"

# What does the Path Integral imply for "quantizing time"?

Ken Wharton Dept. of Physics and Astronomy San José State University



## Few physicists look to path integrals for interpretational questions of QM/QFT.



🖍 📖 💮 🏟

## Path Integrals are best fit for Spacetime Issues

- Spacelike Foliations are irrelevant histories vs. states
- Time-Symmetries far more evident
- Classical action well-defined in curved spacetime

## So why is the path integral neglected?

- "Equivalent" to canonical QM/QFT ?
- No clear way to analyze entanglement ?
- Counter-intuitive "all at once" analysis ? (vs. Universe as a Computer)

#### Quick Outline:

- 1) Propagators vs. Full Path Integrals (Dynamics vs. "all at once")
- 2) New Toolbox for Path Integral account of entangled states
- 3) Application: The role of temporal order in Entanglement Swapping

#### The Propagator

(unitary dynamics for states)

#### The Path Integral (testable probabilities)



Sum over all  $x_i$  to  $x_f$  paths

### Explicitly Time-Symmetric Single-Particle Probabilities

$$P(\psi_i, \psi_f) \approx \left| \int \int \psi_i(x_i) \ \psi_f^*(x_f) \left[ \sum_{\uparrow} exp(iS/\hbar) \right] dx_i dx_f \right|^2$$

The Single-Particle Path Integral

Sum over all  $x_i$  to  $x_f$  paths

- Can turn joint probabilities into conditional probabilities with usual "fixing" of  $\psi_i$
- Symmetry only results when combining Dynamics + Born rule into one expression.
  - Separating out the usual dynamics breaks this path integral symmetry!
- Unobserved  $\psi_{calc}$  has disappeared! (Why demand integration in some particular order?)
- No need to integrate over paths with no support on measured  $\psi_f$  . (No sudden collapse)  $\swarrow \odot \odot$

## Implications and References

#### • If $\psi_{calc}$ isn't ontic, it is epistemic (state of knowledge, not reality)

- Spekkens, R.W., 2007. Evidence for the epistemic view of quantum states: A toy theory. *Physical Review A*, *75*(3), p.032110. arXiv:quant-ph/**0401052** 

- Wharton, K., 2014. Quantum states as ordinary information. *Information*, 5(1), pp.190-208. arXiv:**1403.2374** 

#### • So what \*is\* going on? Can look to the full path integral for promising clues.

- Wharton, K., 2016. Towards a Realistic Parsing of the Feynman Path Integral. *Quanta*, 5(1), pp.1-11. arXiv:**1512.00740** 

#### What about multiple particles / entanglement?

- Sinha, S. and Sorkin, R.D., 1991. A Sum-over-histories Account of an EPR (B) Experiment. *Foundations of Physics Letters*, 4(4), pp.303-335.

- Wharton, K.B., Miller, D.J. and Price, H., 2011. Action duality: a constructive principle for quantum foundations. *Symmetry*, *3*(3), pp.524-540. arXiv: **1103.2492** 



#### New result: Convert any two-qubit experiment into a Path Integral-friendly geometry!

(Work with Narayani Tyagi; arXiv:2103.02425)

Step 1: Rotate Coordinates of generic 2-qubit state into a Schmidt Basis:

$$|\psi
angle = A |0
angle \otimes |1
angle + Be^{i\delta} |1
angle \otimes |0
angle = egin{pmatrix} 0 \\ A \\ B e^{i\delta} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Step 2: Convert to which-way entanglement: Imagine two-photon source that emits photons in opposite directions, w/ different probabilities. (If measured directly, one direction is found with probability A<sup>2</sup>, another is found with probability B<sup>2</sup>.)

Step 3: Implement controllable parameters (basis choice, etc) with adjustable phase plates and beamsplitters.





$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{A} = A\left(e^{i\phi_{1}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{++}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{+}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{+}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{+}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{+}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{+}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{+}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{+}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{+}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{+}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{+}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{+}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{+}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i\phi_{2}} i \cos \frac{\theta_{2}}{2}\right)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{+}^{B} = B\left(i \sin \frac{\theta_{1}}{2}\right)\left(e^{i\delta} e^{i$$

## Features of Path Integral Entanglement

- All histories live in spacetime, on usual lightlike-trajectories.
  - -- No evidence of usual QM configuration space
- No unusual connection between Alice and Bob's wings of experiment.
- Alice and Bob's local settings can influence the amplitude of each entire history.
- No "Collapse" in the relevant histories
- Path Integral works the same regardless of Alice-Bob measurement order (regardless of reference frame!).

- See "Feynman Integral Symmetry Hypothesis" (FISH), in Wharton, Miller, Price (2011); arXiv: **1103.2492** 

#### Application: Entanglement Swapping



Nonlocality via Entanglement-Swapping--a Bridge Too Far? Huw Price and Ken Wharton - arXiv:**2101.05370**.



(New unpublished work with Raylor Liu extends Path integral framework to arbitrary two-qubit \*measurements\* -- such as at point C. Email for details.)

> FISH + Path Integral framework Concludes: All three of these work exactly the same way!



Many think this is not "True" entanglement between A+B, despite same correlations. – Just "postselection"?



## Take-Home Messages

- A good way to examine your biases is to consider alternate viewpoints of the same phenomena.
- You can now translate all your favorite entanglement experiments into path integrals: Tyagi and Wharton (2021), arXiv:**2103.02425**
- While analyzing dynamics, don't ignore the eventual measurement! (Don't mistake your lack of knowledge about the future for evidence that the future doesn't matter.)
- There's lots of low-hanging fruit to be discovered in the field of "all-at-once" quantum foundations!