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Operators and their duals in AdS/CFT

- AdS/CFT relates
$\left.\partial\right|_{C F T} \longleftrightarrow$ Excitation in AdS
- $\Delta \sim O(i) \rightarrow$ graviton, light matter
$\Delta \sim D\left(\lambda^{1_{4}}, \lambda^{1_{2}}\right) \rightarrow$ Stringy states


$\Delta \sim D(N) \rightarrow$ D-branes
$\Delta \sim \partial\left(N^{2}\right) \rightarrow$ deformed geometry $\langle L M$, fuzzballs

- Operators with large $\Delta$ often come with
other large quantum numbers $(V(1)(R-)$ charge $J$, Spin $S$ )

$$
\Delta \gtrsim J, S
$$

Lange charge Expausion in CFT
Hellerman, Orland, Reffert, watanabe Monin, Pirtskhalava, Rattazzi, Sé pold

- (Semi-) universal prediction of CFT data @ lage chaye $\Delta \sim J^{\frac{d}{d-1}}$ for $C F T_{d}$
- CFT on $R_{t} \times S^{d-1}$ with radius $R$

$$
\leadsto \text { Energy }=\Delta / R \quad \text {, clarge } \sim J
$$

$\square$

- Assume that, in $R \rightarrow \infty$ himit, the lange change state becomes a state on $R^{1, d-1}$ with finite energy \& change densities $\leadsto$ Energy $\stackrel{R \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} \varepsilon \times R^{d-1}$, clange $\stackrel{R \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} j \times R^{d-1} \rightarrow \Delta \sim R^{d} \sim J^{d / d-1}$
- 1/J corrections can be compured by EFT methods

Large Charge in SCFT
$-\Delta \sim J^{d / d-1}$ is incorrect for superconformal theonies. BPS states: $\triangle x \#^{i} \mathrm{~J}<J^{d / d-1}$

- BPS state in SCFT $\xrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty}$ a state on $R^{1, d-1}$ with zero energy
- For $\mu=2$ SCFT in 4d, [Hellerman, Maeda]
(large charge expansion) $\leftarrow$ (Coulomb-brauch EFT)
$\operatorname{In}\left[F^{\prime \prime}\right] \times \int d^{4} x d_{\mu} A d^{\mu} \bar{A}+$ ligher der.
- For rank $1 \quad N=2 \operatorname{SCFT}(\sim 1$ 1-dimensional $C B$ moduti)
$\left\langle\partial_{J} \overline{\partial J}\right\rangle \sim J!(\#)^{2 J} J^{\alpha}$ en Agnemment with localization $\left(\alpha \sim a_{u v}-a_{I R}\right)$ [Gerchkowitz, Gomis. Intiaque, Karasik Komardolsta, Pafu]

Large Charge S CFT with Higher Rank

- Analysis is more complicated for theories w/. higher rank [1. More possibilities of symmetry breaking patterns. EFT $\left[\begin{array}{r}S U(2) \rightarrow U(1) \times U(1) \\ \text { 2. Classification of } S U(3) \rightarrow(U(1))^{3}, U(1) \times S U(2) \\ \text { SUSie higher derivative terms (F-terns) }\end{array}\right.$

3. Degeneracy of BPS opS.

SU(2): $\left.\operatorname{Tr}\left[z^{2 J}\right]=\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[z^{2}\right]\right)^{J}, \quad \operatorname{sulN}\right): \operatorname{Tr}\left[z^{J J}\right] \neq\left(\operatorname{Tr}\left[z^{2}\right]\right)^{J}$
4. "Gram-Sehmidtting" of localization results is more complicated for SULN)

- 1 \& 3 are related.

Toy Model : Complex Matrix Model.
$Z, \bar{Z}: N \times N$ complex matrices.

$$
\langle\partial \bar{\partial}\rangle=\int d z d \bar{z} \quad \frac{\hat{\partial}}{\partial} e^{-N \operatorname{Tr}[z \bar{z}]}
$$

- Using the result by Ginibre, this reduces to eigenvalues.

$$
\int \prod_{j} d^{2} z_{j} \prod_{j<k}\left|z_{j}-z_{k}\right|^{2} \times \nu(z) \times \bar{\partial}(\bar{z}) \times \exp \left[-N \sum_{k}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}\right]
$$

- Take "single trace op" $0=\operatorname{Tr}\left[z^{\top}\right]$

$$
\leadsto \sum_{k} z_{k}^{J} \cdots \quad \sum_{\text {permutation }} \cdots N \times z_{1}^{J} \cdots
$$

$\rightarrow$ source term only for $z_{1} \rightarrow U(N) \rightarrow U(1) \times U(\mu-1)$

Toy Model: Complex Matrix Model.

$$
\int \prod_{j} d^{2} z_{j} \prod_{j<k}\left|z_{j}-z_{k}\right|^{2} \times \partial(z) \times \bar{\partial}(\bar{z}) \times \exp \left[-N \sum_{k}\left|z_{i}\right|^{2}\right]
$$

- "Doubl e-trace operator" " $O=\operatorname{Tr}\left[Z^{J_{1}}\right] \operatorname{Tr}\left[Z^{J_{2}}\right]$

$$
\leadsto \sum_{n, m}\left(z_{n}\right)^{J_{1}}\left(z_{m}\right)^{J_{2}}=\sum_{n \neq m} \underbrace{\left(z_{n}\right)^{J_{1}}\left(z_{m}\right)^{J_{2}}+\sum_{n} \underbrace{}_{l} \underbrace{\left(z_{n}\right)^{J_{1}+J_{2}}}_{l}}_{l} \begin{aligned}
& U(N) \rightarrow U(1) \times U(1) \times U(N-2) \quad U(N) \rightarrow U(1) \times U(N-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\leadsto$ Mixture of sym breaking patterns

- Cowect op. : $\operatorname{Tr}\left[z^{J_{1}}\right] \operatorname{Tr}\left[z^{J_{2}}\right]-\operatorname{Tr}\left[z^{J_{1}+J_{2}}\right]$
- Can be generalized to other sym breaking patterns (shh similar should work for general $N=2 S C F T$ ) But general expressions are rather complicated...

Good Basis @ Large N

- @ large $N$, there exist a nice basis of $D^{\prime}$ 's.

- $\stackrel{l_{1}}{\ldots 1} \quad l_{k}$ = magnitude of source term for $k-t h$ eigenvalue.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \leadsto U(1) \times U_{(1)} \times U_{(1)} \times U(N-3) \\
- & J
\end{aligned}
$$

- They also have nice holographic descriptions.

Holography for Schur polynomials

- In $\mu=4$ syM, $X_{\text {M }}(Z)(V(1) \times v(v-1))$ is dual to. dual grant graviton

- spherical D3 brave
$R_{t} \times S^{3} \subset A d S_{5}$
- size $\sim$ change
- In the lane charge limit, dual giant graviton approaches AdS bdy and can be approximated by a $\underset{N=4 \text { STH in } C B}{f l a t} D 3$-brave dAdS $_{\text {action }}$ as large charge expansion.

Giant Gravitons.

- Interestingly, AdS/CFT provides an EFT description also in the "opposite" limit

$$
x_{月}(z) \sim \epsilon^{a_{1} \cdots a_{J} c_{1} \cdots c_{N-J}} \epsilon_{b_{1}-\cdots b_{J} c_{1} \cdots c_{N-J}} z_{a_{1}}^{b_{1}} \cdots z_{a_{J}}^{b_{J}}
$$

sub-determinant operation (expansion of $\operatorname{det}[I+Z]$ )


D3-brave : pointike in $A d S_{5}$
extended in $S^{5}$
(radius $\left.\cos ^{2} \theta_{0}=J / N\right)$

- Would be nice to develop field theory understanding...
II. Correlators of Dtranes.

Review \& Puzzles

Three-point functions of Giant Gravitons

- Consider $\left\langle D_{J}\left(x_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \quad D_{J+k}\left(x_{2}, Y_{2}\right) \quad D_{L}\left(x_{3}, Y_{3}\right)\right\rangle$ in $N=4$ SYM $\quad O(\hat{N}){ }^{\tilde{N}}{ }_{O(1)}$

$$
D_{J}(x, Y) \equiv X_{\AA_{J}(Y \cdot \Phi)}, \quad \partial_{L}(2, Y) \equiv \operatorname{Tr}\left[(Y \cdot \Phi)^{L}\right]
$$

-Y.I $\equiv \sum_{I=1}^{6} Y_{I} \Phi_{I}, \quad Y_{I} Y^{I}=0 \leadsto$ All ops are $1 / 2 B P S$

- Thanks to SUSY, $\left\langle D_{J} D_{J t k} D_{L}\right\rangle$ is independent of $\rho_{M M}^{2}$ [Baggie, de Boer, Papadodimass]
- we can directly compare field theory \& holography.

Prediction from field theory [sk, Mag, wu, Jana]

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\underset{\text { Cincture cost }}{C_{J} D_{j+k} D_{L}}=}-\frac{\sqrt{L}\left(i^{L-k}+(-i)^{L-k}\right) \quad\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{0} \equiv J / N\right)}{2} & \times \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{L+k}{2}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta_{0} \sin ^{2} \theta_{0}}{\Gamma(1+k) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{L-k}{2}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{2 k-L}{2}, \frac{2+k+L}{2}, 1+k ; \sin ^{2} \theta_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Complicated result owing to the combinatovics of wick contractions. (Nobody amputed it before...)
- Our goal is to reproduce it from holography.

Holographic Description

- DJ : giant graviton D3-brave
$\partial_{L}$ : supergravity mode with KK momentum $L$

- We need to compute how the D-brane gets perturbed by a SUGRA mode.

Approaches the the literature
[Bissi, Kristansen, Youg, Zoubas 2011]
$\left\langle D_{J} D_{J+k} \partial_{L}\right\rangle$

- DJ : dual to D-brave in Ad $A^{i} d S \quad S_{\text {oII }} \sim N \int d^{4} \sigma \sqrt{\operatorname{let}\left[S_{\mu \nu} \text { do } X^{\mu} d o X^{\nu}\right]}$ $\leadsto$ worbl volume is descibed by $D B I+W Z$
- $D_{L}$ sources quantum fluctuations of metric etc. $\partial_{L}\left(x_{3}\right) \mid \leadsto$
$\delta g_{\mu v} \sim\left(\frac{2 z}{z^{2}+\left(2-x_{3}\right)^{2}}\right)^{L}:$ Bulk-to-bdy propagator
$s g_{A B} \sim Y_{L}(\Omega)$ : Spherial hammonics
- Perturb $S_{D B I}+S_{W z}$ by $\delta g \leadsto \delta S_{D B T}+\delta S_{W z} \sim\left\langle D_{J} D_{J+k} D_{L}\right\rangle$
- Justification : $\int \boxplus x \quad e^{-S_{D B T}+S_{\omega z}} \xrightarrow[\rightarrow]{\delta} \int \boxplus x \quad \delta\left(S_{D B I}+S_{\omega z}\right) e^{-S_{D B I}+S_{\omega z}}$ $\left.\begin{aligned} & \text { path integral } \\ & \text { of D-brane }\end{aligned} \quad \stackrel{\text { Saddle }}{\longrightarrow} \delta\left(S_{\text {PBI }}+S_{\omega Z}\right)\right|_{x^{*}} e^{-S_{D B I}^{*}+S_{\omega Z}^{*}}$

$$
\left\langle D_{J} D_{J+k} D_{L}\right\rangle \sim \delta S_{D B I}+\delta S_{W Z}
$$

- Result is insensitive to $k$ since the classical sol. is determined only by $J$ \& " $\delta$ " is determined by $L$
- Result for $k=L$ (extremal correlator) does not match the field theory result [Bissi, Kistjansen, Yong, Zoubos]
- Latin a "reguluization" presumption that nquatuces field-thery answer was proposed. [Kistjausen, Mani, Young] But the puscaiption seems seff-monsstert.
- Some results for non-erthemal comelator $(k \neq L)$ were found to agree [Capita, de Hello Koch, Zounds]. But in general they disagree. (even for $k=0$ )

Our Conclusion

- Previous approaches miss 2 important effects.

1. Orbit Average
2. Wave functions of heavy states

- Once these are included, the results agree with results from field theory.


Quantum Mechanical Toy Model.
[Monin, Pirtskhalana, Rattozzi, Seipold]

- Consider $Q M$ on $S^{\prime}(\theta \in[0,2 \pi]) \omega / . U(1)$ sym

$$
\theta \rightarrow \quad \theta+c
$$

- Compute $\langle J+k| \underset{\text { light }}{\partial(t=0)} \mid \underset{\text { heavy } \omega / \text { in } U(1) \text { change }}{\mid J}$ th $\quad$ limit light Theory $\omega / . U(1)$ change $J$
$J \rightarrow \infty, \quad \hbar \rightarrow 0, \quad \hbar J:$ fixed.

$$
-\langle J+k| \partial(t=0)|J\rangle=\int \nabla \theta e^{-i(J+k) \theta(t=+\varepsilon)} \partial[\theta(t=0)] e^{i J \theta(t-\varepsilon)} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S(\theta]}
$$

$\downarrow$ Saddle put approx. $\theta=\theta_{0}^{*}\binom{$ sol. of saddle eq. }{ with }

$$
\sim e^{-i(J+k) \theta_{0}^{*}} \partial\left[\theta_{0}^{*}(t-0)\right] e^{i J \theta_{0}^{*}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S\left[\theta_{0}^{*}\right]}
$$

Quantum Mechanical Toy Model.

$$
-\langle J+k| \partial|J\rangle \sim e^{-i(J+k) \theta_{0}^{*}} \partial\left[\theta_{0}^{*}(t-0)\right] e^{i J \theta_{0}^{*}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S\left[\theta_{0}^{*}\right]}
$$

- This is incorrect. Because of $U(1)$ sym. $(\theta \rightarrow \theta+c)$ we can construct a family of saddle-put sol.

$$
\theta_{c}^{*} \equiv \theta_{0}^{*}+c
$$

- The correct formula is "average over c"

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle J+k| \partial(J\rangle & \sim \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d c}{2 \pi} e^{-i(J+k) \theta_{c}^{*}} \partial\left[\theta_{c}^{*}(t=0)\right] e^{i J \theta_{c}^{*}} e^{\frac{i}{t} S\left[\theta_{c}^{*}\right]} \\
& =e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S\left[\theta_{0}^{*}\right]} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d c}{2 \pi} e^{-i k c} \partial\left[\theta_{c}^{*}(t=0)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Quantum Mechanical Toy Model.
$-\langle J+k| \partial|J\rangle \sim e^{\frac{i}{t} S\left(\theta_{0}^{*}\right]} \int_{\Delta \Delta}^{\frac{2 \pi}{2 \pi}} \frac{d c}{e_{\text {wave function }}^{-i k c} \partial\left[\theta_{c}^{*}(0)\right]}$
obit avenge [Bajnok, Joni] for HHL sting collators.

- For $\partial_{p} \sim e^{i p \theta}$ (charge $p$ operator), we get

$$
\langle J+k| \partial_{p}|J\rangle \times \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d c}{2 \pi} e^{-i k c} e^{i p c}=\delta_{k, p}
$$

- Orbit average \& wave functions are crucial for reproducing the charge conservation.

Orbit Average and Symmetry Breaking

- Generalization: If $\exists$ multiple commuting charges $\rightarrow$ average over multi-dimensional moduli of sols.
- Extreme case: In integrable theories, we have m many charges.
$\leadsto D_{0}$ we need to $\infty$-dim integral ? $\rightarrow N_{0}$.
- Classical ( $=$ saddle) sols. are invariant under most of those symmetries. We only need syms broken by solus.

$$
\left(x^{*} \underset{\text { burbengen. }}{\text { gen }} \text { solution }\right)
$$

- Empirical Rule: (dim. of moduli) $=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\# & \text { of nonzero } \\ \text { commuting charges }\end{array}\right)$

Quantum Mechanical Toy Model.

$$
-\langle J+k| \partial|J\rangle \sim e^{-i(J+k) \theta_{0}^{*}} \partial\left[\theta_{0}^{*}(t-0)\right] e^{i J \theta_{0}^{*}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S\left[\theta_{0}^{*}\right]}
$$

- This is incorrect. Because of $U(1)$ sym. $(\theta \rightarrow \theta+c)$ we can construct a family of saddle-put sol.

$$
\theta_{c}^{*} \equiv \theta_{0}^{*}+c
$$

- The correct formula is "average over $c$ "

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle J+k| \partial(J\rangle & \sim \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d c}{2 \pi} e^{-i(J+k) \theta_{c}^{*}} \partial\left[\theta_{c}^{*}(t=0)\right] e^{i J \theta_{c}^{*}} e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S\left[\theta_{c}^{*}\right]} \\
& =e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} S\left[\theta_{0}^{*}\right]} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{d c}{2 \pi} e^{-i k c} \partial\left[\theta_{c}^{*}(t=0)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Orbit Average and Symmetry Breaking

- Generalization: If $\exists$ multiple commuting charges $\rightarrow$ average over multidimensional moduli of sols.
- Extreme case: In integrable theories, we have m many charges.
$\leadsto D_{0}$ we need to $\infty$-dim integral ? $\rightarrow N_{0}$.
- Classical ( $=$ saddle $)$ sols. are invariant under most of those symmetries. We only need syms broben by solus.

$$
\left(x^{*} \underset{\text { brobengen. }}{\vec{~}} \text { new solution }\right)
$$

- Empirical Rule: (dim. of moduli) $=\left(\begin{array}{ll}\# & \text { of nonzero } \\ \text { commuting charges }\end{array}\right)$

Application to grant gravitons.

- To apply the previous arguments, it's better to consider 3pt as a matrix element $\left\langle D_{J+k}\right| \partial_{L}(t=0)\left|D_{J}\right\rangle$

* we gauge-fix

$$
\sigma^{\circ}=t
$$

- $D_{L}(t=0) \leadsto$ sources quantum fluctuations ( $\delta g$ etc)
$\leadsto$ Operator on the worldvolume of $D$-brave $@ \sigma^{\circ}=0$

$$
\hat{O}_{L}=\int d^{3} \sigma \delta \mathscr{L}_{D B I}+\delta \mathcal{L}_{W Z} \mid \delta S_{D B I}=\int d^{4} \sigma \delta \mathscr{L}_{D B I}
$$

$$
-\underbrace{\left\langle D_{J+k}\right| D_{L}(t=0)\left|D_{J}\right\rangle}_{\text {defined in } N=4 S T M}=\langle\underbrace{\left\langle\hat{D}_{J+k}\right| \hat{D}_{L}\left(\sigma^{0}, 0\right)\left|\hat{D}_{J}\right\rangle}_{\text {defined on w.V. of } D \text {-brine }}
$$

Application to giant gravitons

- we next evaluate $\left\langle\hat{D}_{J+k}\right| \hat{D}_{L}\left|\hat{D}_{J}\right\rangle$ semiclassically.
- Orbit average \& wavefunction are important.
- Orbit average: Giant graviton $\rightarrow 2$ charges $\triangle, J$ $\leadsto$ Average over 2 divections
$\Delta \longleftrightarrow \tau_{0}$ : Conjugate to dilatation (EARS time)
$J \longleftrightarrow \varphi_{0}: S^{5}$ angle (Conjugate to R-sym rotation)
- Wancfunction

$$
\Psi=e^{-\Delta \tau_{0}} \times e^{i J \varphi_{0}}
$$



- In [Bissi etal.], we have $\int d^{4} \sigma(\ldots)$. This splits into $d \tau_{0}$ \& $d^{3} \sigma$.
- Result consistent with charge conservation.
- Result sensitive to $k$
- Result fully agrees with fielal theory

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{P_{J} D_{J+k} O_{L}}= & -\frac{\sqrt{L}}{2}\left(i^{L-k}+(-i)^{L-k}\right) \quad\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{0} \equiv J / N\right) \\
& \times \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{L+k}{2}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta_{0} \sin ^{2} \theta_{0}}{\Gamma(1+k) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{L-k}{2}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{2+k-L}{2}, \frac{2+k+L}{2}, 1+k ; \sin ^{2} \theta_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Computation can be genevalzed to ABJM (both in IIA limit \& M-theory limit)

Some subtleties about extremal limit

- In the extremal limit $(k=L)$, we have

$$
\sim \underbrace{(k-L)}_{0} \times \underbrace{}_{\infty} d t_{0}(\cdots)+(\text { finite })
$$

- Setting $0 \times \infty$ to zero $\rightarrow$ result does n't agree with Schur-pol. operators or single-particle basis [Aprite et al]
- Analytic continuation from $k-L \neq 0 \leadsto$ Reproduce result for Schur pol.
- But analytic contin. is NOT unique.
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- In [Bissi et al.], we have $\int d^{4} \sigma(\ldots)$. This splits into $d \tau_{0}$ \& $d^{3} \sigma$.
- Result consistent with charge conservation.
- Result sensitive to $k$
- Result fully agrees with field theory

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{P_{J} D_{J+k} O_{L}}= & -\frac{\sqrt{L}}{2}\left(i^{L-k}+(-i)^{L-k}\right) \quad\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{0} \equiv J / N\right) \\
& \times \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{L+k}{2}\right) \cos ^{2} \theta_{0} \sin ^{2} \theta_{0}}{\Gamma(1+k) \Gamma\left(1+\frac{L-k}{2}\right)}{ }_{2} F_{1}\left(\frac{2+k-L}{2}, \frac{2+k+L}{2}, 1+k ; \sin ^{2} \theta_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Computation can be genevalzed to ABJM (both in IIA limit \& M-theory limit)


So, what are lessons ... ?

- Another (highly nontrivial) precision test of AdS /CFT. But we believe AdS/CFT anyway, don't we ..?
- But ifs worth empasizing that we reproduced "off-diagonal" 3pt $\left\langle D_{J+k}\right| D_{L}\left|D_{J}\right\rangle$ by semiclassical D-branes.
One might say semiclassical computation is insensitive to microscopic (th) difference. But that is false!
$\underset{310 r 38}{\longrightarrow}$ Can we do this for BHt s?
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What we expect for $\mathrm{BHs} . .-$ ?

- States dual to Bits come with exponential degeneracy ( $\sim e^{N^{2}}$ )
- Conservative viewpoint : Computation of semiclassical BH captures average or universal part of such states
$-\left\langle E_{m}\right| O\left|E_{n}\right\rangle=\partial_{\operatorname{taman}}\left(E_{m}\right) \delta_{n m}+e^{-S(\bar{E}) / 2} f_{0}(\bar{E}, \Delta E) r_{m n}$
$\bar{E}=\frac{E_{n}+E_{n}}{2}, \Delta E=\frac{E_{n}-E_{n}}{2}$ Eigenstate thermalization $\left\langle r_{\text {un }}\right\rangle=0,\left\langle r_{\text {min }}^{2}\right\rangle=1$
- Average of off-diagonal part $6\left\langle r_{m n}\right\rangle=0 \ldots$

Some remarts on ETH

$$
\left\langle E_{m}\right| O\left|E_{n}\right\rangle=\partial_{\text {thanal }}\left(E_{m}\right) \delta_{n m}+e^{-S(\bar{E}) / 2} f_{0}(\bar{E}, \Delta E) r_{m n}
$$

- ETH predicts of diagoual pait is suppressed by

$$
e^{-S / 2} \sim e^{-N^{2} / 2}
$$

- But we need to be caveful ab-1 for which states this holds
- In 2d CFT, if $\left|E_{m}\right\rangle \&\left|E_{n}\right\rangle$ are in the same Verma module $\leadsto 1 /(S(E))^{\#} \quad$ [Besten, Datta, Kraus]
- Average over heary plimalies $\rightarrow e^{-S(x) / 2}$ Brehm, Das, Datta....

Simpler setups

- I states heavy enough to deform geometry but in the same "universality class" as giant gravitons
$\leadsto 1 / 2$ BPS LLM geometry in $N=4$ SYM
- 1/4 BPS fuzz ball geometry in D1-D5 CFT (elliptic geans counts $1 / 8$ BPS)
- LLM geometry: AdS 2 $\times S_{1}^{3} \times S_{2}^{3}$ factor.

(4) $S_{1}^{3}$ shininks
- $S_{2}^{3}$ slininks

Simpler Setups

- Non-rotationally sym pattern

$\leadsto$ time independent $=$ breaks dilatation $\leadsto$ we ned to orbit average!

- Rotationally sym pattern


$$
\begin{aligned}
\leadsto \text { time independent }= & \text { does not break } \\
& \text { dilatation }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\leadsto$ Important difference from D-brane.

- Many to 1 comespondence...?

Gainly seems overcomplete...?

What would be nice to achieve...

- For BHt, we first identify the "orbit" over which we integrate...
- One candidate is horizon soft hair
[Hawking, Perry, Stromiger]
- Ideally, we want to have

7 wave functions
$\left\langle B H^{\prime}\right| D_{\text {light }}|B H\rangle \sim \int d \underset{\text { horizon sym group }}{[H S G]} \Psi_{1}^{*} \partial \Psi_{2}^{\text {ware for sift hair }}$
more dynamical question than reproducing entropy

- Perhaps progress can be made in $A d S_{3} / C F T_{2} \ldots$ ?

Questions, Coments,
Move Speculations ... ?

