Title: Efficient simulatability of continuous-variable circuits with large Wigner negativity - Laura GarcÃ-a-Õlvarez

Speakers:

Series: Perimeter Institute Quantum Discussions

Date: March 17, 2021 - 4:00 PM

URL: http://pirsa.org/21030030

Abstract: Discriminating between quantum computing architectures that can provide quantum advantage from those that cannot is of crucial importance. From the fundamental point of view, establishing such a boundary is akin to pinpointing the resources for quantum advantage; from the technological point of view, it is essential for the design of non-trivial quantum computing architectures. Wigner negativity is known to be a necessary resource for computational advantage in several quantum-computing architectures, including those based on continuous variables (CVs). However, it is not a sufficient resource, and it is an open question under which conditions CV circuits displaying Wigner negativity offer the potential for quantum advantage. In this work, we identify vast families of circuits that display large Wigner negativity, and yet are classically efficiently simulatable, although they are not recognized as such by previously available theorems. These families of circuits employ bosonic codes based on either translational or rotational symmetries (e.g., Gottesman-Kitaev-Preskill or cat codes), and can include both Gaussian and non-Gaussian gates and measurements. Crucially, within these encodings, the computational basis states are described by intrinsically negative Wigner functions, even though they are stabilizer states if considered as codewords belonging to a finite-dimensional Hilbert space. We derive our results by establishing a link between the simulatability of high-dimensional discrete-variable quantum circuits and bosonic codes.



Efficient simulatability of continuous-variable circuits with large Wigner negativity

Physical Review Research 2, 043322 (2020)

Laura García-Álvarez | Perimeter Institute, March 2021

Co-authors



CHALMERS



Cameron Calcluth



Giulia Ferrini

Chalmers University of Technology Wallenberg Centre for Quantum Technology (WACQT)



Alessandro Ferraro

Queen's University Belfast

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Main results
- 3. Discrete-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 4. DV systems: qubits into qudits
- 5. Efficient simulation of DV systems
- 6. Continuous-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 7. CV systems: qubits into qudits
- 8. Efficient simulation of CV systems
- 9. Summary
- 10. Limitations and future work



- Context: Resources for continuous-variable quantum computation
- Resource: Wigner negativity

• Wigner function:
$$W_{\hat{
ho}}(q,p) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}x \, e^{ipx} \left\langle q + \frac{x}{2} \left| \hat{
ho} \right| q - \frac{x}{2} \right\rangle$$

• Wigner logarithmic negativity:
$$\mathcal{W}(\hat{\rho}) \equiv \log_2 \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}q \,\mathrm{d}p \,|W_{\hat{\rho}}(q,p)| \right)$$

Also evolution and measurements: $\hat{\rho} \rightarrow \hat{O}, \hat{\Pi}$

7



• Wigner function:

h:
$$W_{\hat{
ho}}(q,p)\equivrac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}x\,e^{ipx}\left\langle q+rac{x}{2}ig|\hat{
ho}ig|q-rac{x}{2}
ight
angle$$



Coherent state $|\alpha\rangle$



Squeezed state $|\xi angle$



Single photon state $|1\rangle$





• No-go theorem: if all Wigner functions of the quantum circuit (input state, gates, measurements) are positive, there is no quantum advantage

 Wigner negativity is a necessary resource to implement non-trivial quantum computations

S. D. Bartlett et al, PRL 88, 097904 (2002)
A. Mari, J. Eisert, PRL 109, 230503 (2012)
V. Veitch et al, New J. Phys. 14, 113011 (2012)

9



 Classical algorithms for CV: exponential scaling with the Wigner logarithmic negativity

H. Pashayan, J. Wallman, S. Bartlett PRL 115, 070501 (2015)

 Quantum circuits: instances with negative Wigner elements that yield hardness of sampling

 Quantum circuits: instances of negative Wigner elements that yield hardness of sampling

Boson sampling + heterodyne $|1\rangle$ $|1\rangle$ $|1\rangle$ $U(\hat{q}, \hat{p})$ het het het het

Chabaud et al, PRA 062307 (2017) Chakhmakhchyan, PRA 032326 (2017) Lund et al, PRA 022301 (2017) CV Instantaneous Quantum Computing



Douce et al, PRL 118 070503 (2017) Douce et al, PRA 99, 012344 (2019)

Gaussian Boson Sampling



CHALMERS

Hamilton et al, PRL 119, 170501 (2017)





- Main motivation: What are the conditions for quantum advantage in CV?
- Is the Wigner negativity a sufficient condition?
 No.
- Immediate counter-examples: Qubits, qudits and logical gates in CV
 - Bosonic codes: Gottesman-Kitaev-Preskill (GKP) encoding and rotationally symmetric bosonic (RSB) codes

- Wigner negativity is not a sufficient condition
- Counter-example: GKP encoding

Encoded Pauli eigenstates: negative Wigner function

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{0_2} & \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{C_Z} \ \mathbf{0_2} & \mathbf{0_2} & \mathbf{Z_2} & \mathbf{Z_2} = e^{i\hat{q}\sqrt{\pi}} \ \mathbf{X_2} = e^{-i\hat{p}\sqrt{\pi}} \ \mathbf{X_2} = e^{-i\hat{p}\sqrt{\pi}} \end{aligned}$$

Encoded Clifford operations: positive Wigner function



CHALMERS

- Wigner negativity is not a sufficient condition
- Counter-example: GKP encoding

Encoded Pauli eigenstates: negative Wigner function

$$egin{aligned} \mathbf{0_2} & \mathbf{F}, \mathbf{S}, \mathbf{C_Z} \ \mathbf{0_2} & \mathbf{0_2} & \mathbf{Z_2} = e^{i\hat{q}\sqrt{\pi}} \ \mathbf{Z_2} = e^{-i\hat{p}\sqrt{\pi}} & \hat{p} \ \mathbf{X_2} = e^{-i\hat{p}\sqrt{\pi}} & \hat{p} \end{aligned}$$

Encoded Clifford operations: positive Wigner function



Pauli measurements: positive Wigner function

- Wigner negativity is not a sufficient condition
- Counter-example: GKP encoding

Encoded Pauli eigenstates: negative Wigner function

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{0_2} \\ \mathbf{0_$$

Encoded Clifford operations: positive Wigner function

• This circuit can be simulated efficiently: Gottesman-Knill theorem





CHALMERS

Pauli measurements:

positive Wigner function



• Research question: Can we simulate this circuit efficiently?

Encoded Pauli eigenstates: negative Wigner function



 \hat{p} positive Wigner function \hat{p}

Pauli measurements:

General Gaussian operations: positive Wigner function

• General Gaussian operations are not logical qubit gates: Gottesmark Knill theorem



• Research question: Can we simulate this circuit efficiently?

Encoded Pauli eigenstates: negative Wigner function

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{2} \\ |\mathbf{0}_{2} \rangle \\ |\mathbf{0}_{2} \rangle \\ |\mathbf{0}_{2} \rangle \end{vmatrix} \mathbf{F}, e^{i\hat{q}^{2}s_{3}}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{p} \hat{p} \\ e^{i\hat{q}s_{1}} \\ e^{-i\hat{p}s_{2}} \mathbf{p} \hat{p}$$

Pauli measurements: positive Wigner function

General Gaussian operations: positive Wigner function

- General Gaussian operations are not logical qubit gates: Gottesmark (nill theorem)
- Wigner function is negative: Mari-Eisektheorem

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Main results
- 3. Discrete-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 4. DV systems: qubits into qudits
- 5. Efficient simulation of DV systems
- 6. Continuous-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 7. CV systems: qubits into qudits
- 8. Efficient simulation of CV systems
- 9. Summary
- 10. Limitations and future work





- Basic idea:
 - (i) Encode qubits into qudits \rightarrow extended set of logical gates in CV
 - (ii) Identify families of circuits as Clifford circuits
 - (iii) Use existing no-go theorems of DV quantum computation



• Results: Translation-symmetric codes

Encoded Pauli eigenstates: GKP qudit dimension d_1

 $j=0,\ldots,d_1-1$



Pauli measurements: Homodyne measurements (HM)

GKP Clifford group dimension d_2

$$\{e^{i\hat{q}_k^2/2}, e^{-i\alpha\hat{p}_k}, e^{i\alpha\hat{q}_k}, e^{i\hat{q}_k\hat{q}_l}, e^{rac{i\pi}{4}(\hat{p}_k^2 + \hat{q}_k^2)}, \mathrm{HM}\}$$
 $lpha = \sqrt{2\pi/d_1}/a$

17



• Results: N-fold rotation-symmetric codes (i)

Pauli eigenstates: RSB qudit dimension d_1

 $b=0,\ldots,d_1-1$



RSB Clifford group dimension d_2

$$\{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{ad_1N}\hat{n}}, e^{i\frac{\pi}{d_1}\left(\frac{\hat{n}^2}{N^2} - \beta\frac{\hat{n}}{aN}\right)}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{d_1N^2}\hat{n}_k\hat{n}_l}, \text{PM}\} \qquad \beta = \begin{cases} 0 & :d_1a^2 \text{ even} \\ 1 & :d_1a^2 \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

18

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Main results
- 3. Discrete-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 4. DV systems: qubits into qudits
- 5. Efficient simulation of DV systems
- 6. Continuous-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 7. CV systems: qubits into qudits
- 8. Efficient simulation of CV systems
- 9. Summary
- 10. Limitations and future work



Discrete-variable systems: qubits and qudits

- Qubits: $\left|\psi\right\rangle = \alpha \left|0\right\rangle + \beta \left|1\right\rangle$
 - Pauli group single qubit: $\mathcal{P}_2 = \{\pm i^u X^v \overline{Z^w} : u, v, w \in \mathbb{Z}_2\}$
 - Pauli group for *n* qubits: $\mathcal{P}_2^n = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_2$
 - Clifford group: $C_2^n = \{Q : QUQ^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{P}_2^n \quad \forall \quad U \in \mathcal{P}_2^n\}$

$$C_2^n = \langle H, S, \text{CNOT} \rangle$$

21



rcía-Álva

Discrete-variable systems: qubits and qudits

• Qudits: $\ket{\psi} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} lpha_j \ket{j}$

• Pauli group single qudit: $\mathcal{P}_d = \{\omega_D^u X_d^v Z_d^w : v, w \in \mathbb{Z}_d, u \in \mathbb{Z}_D\}$

$$\omega_d = e^{2\pi i/d}$$
 $D = \begin{cases} d, & \text{for odd } d \\ 2d, & \text{for even } d \end{cases}$

$$X_d = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty-1} |j+1 \mod d
angle \langle j$$
 $Z_d = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \omega_d^j |j
angle \langle j|$

22



Discrete-variable systems: qubits and qudits

• Qudits:

• Clifford group: $\mathcal{C}_d^n = \{Q : QUQ^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{P}_d^n \quad \forall \quad U \in \mathcal{P}_d^n\}$

$$\mathcal{C}_d^n = \langle F_d, S_d, \mathrm{SUM}_d \rangle$$

 \sim • (

$$F_{d} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{j,k=0}^{d-1} \omega_{d}^{jk} |k\rangle \langle j|$$

$$S_{d} = \sum_{j=0}^{d-1} \omega_{d}^{j^{2}/2} \eta_{d}^{-j} |j\rangle \langle j| \qquad \text{SUM}_{d}^{(k,l)} = \sum_{i,j=0}^{d-1} |i\rangle^{(k)} \langle i| \otimes |i+j\rangle^{(l)} \langle j| \langle j| \otimes \eta_{d} = \omega_{D} \omega_{2d}^{-1}$$

23



Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Main results
- 3. Discrete-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 4. DV systems: qubits into qudits
- 5. Efficient simulation of DV systems
- 6. Continuous-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 7. CV systems: qubits into qudits
- 8. Efficient simulation of CV systems
- 9. Summary
- 10. Limitations and future work



• Logical qubit encoded in a physical qudit

• States:
$$|m{j}_{d_1}
angle = rac{1}{\sqrt{a_2}}\sum_{k=0}^{a_2-1} |(kd_1+j)a_1
angle_{d_2} \qquad d_2 = d_1a_1a_2$$

• Operators: $egin{array}{lll} X_{d_1} = X_{d_2}^{a_1} & ext{ In our work:} \ Z_{d_1} = Z_{d_2}^{a_2} & ext{ symmetric encodings} \end{array}$

• Qubit example:
$$|\mathbf{0}_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_8 + |4\rangle_8)$$
 $d = 8$
 $a_1 = a_2 = 2$



• Logical qubit encoded in a physical qudit

• States:
$$|j_{d_1}
angle = rac{1}{\sqrt{a_2}}\sum_{k=0}^{a_2-1} |(kd_1+j)a_1
angle_{d_2}$$
 $d_2 = d_1a_1a_2$
, RHS: Stabilizer state in d_2

• Operators: $X_{d_1} = X_{d_2}^{a_1}$ In our work: $Z_{d_1} = Z_{d_2}^{a_2}$ symmetric encodings

• Qubit example:
$$|0_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_8 + |4\rangle_8)$$
 $d = 8$
 $a_1 = a_2 = 2$





Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Main results
- 3. Discrete-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 4. DV systems: qubits into qudits
- 5. Efficient simulation of DV systems
- 6. Continuous-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 7. CV systems: qubits into qudits
- 8. Efficient simulation of CV systems
- 9. Summary
- 10. Limitations and future work



Efficient simulation of DV systems

- Gottesman-Knill theorem:
- A quantum circuit based only on:
 - (i) Qubits initialised in a Pauli eigenstate
 - (ii) Clifford group operations
 - (iii) Pauli measurements
- can be simulated efficiently with a classical computer
- Extensions to odd prime dimension d
- Extensions to any dimension

N. de Beaudrap, Quantum Information & Computation 13, 73 (2013)





Pirsa: 21030030

 $C_2^n = \langle H, S, \text{CNOT} \rangle$

$$\mathcal{C}_d^n = \langle F_d, S_d, \mathrm{SUM}_d \rangle$$

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Main results
- 3. Discrete-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 4. DV systems: qubits into qudits
- 5. Efficient simulation of DV systems
- 6. Continuous-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 7. CV systems: qubits into qudits
- 8. Efficient simulation of CV systems
- 9. Summary
- 10. Limitations and future work



- Bosonic codes: storing error-correctable quantum information in bosonic modes
 - Translation-symmetric codes: GKP codes
 - Rotation-symmetric bosonic codes: RSB codes

• Hilbert space of infinite dimension







CHALMERS

- Translation-symmetric codes: GKP codes
 - States (ideal):

$$|m{j_2}
angle = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{Z}} |\sqrt{\pi}(j+2s)
angle_{m{q}}$$

$$ert oldsymbol{j_d} = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}} ert lpha (j+ds)
angle_{\hat{q}}$$
 $lpha = \sqrt{rac{2\pi}{d}} \qquad j = 0, \dots, d-1$



30 D. Gottesman, et al, PRA 64, 012310 (2001)



- Translation-symmetric codes: GKP codes
 - Operations:

$$egin{aligned} m{F} &= e^{irac{\pi}{4}\left(\hat{p}^2 + \hat{q}^2
ight)} \ m{S} &= e^{irac{\hat{q}^2}{2}} \ m{C}_{m{Z}}^{(k,l)} &= e^{-i\hat{q}_k\hat{q}_l} \ m{Z}_{m{2}} &= e^{i\hat{q}\sqrt{\pi}} \end{aligned}$$

³¹ D. Gottesman, et al, PRA 64, 012310 (2001)

 $oldsymbol{X_2}=e^{-i\hat{p}\sqrt{\pi}}$



CHALMERS



$$\hat{R}_N = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}t}$$

• States (ideal):

$$| \boldsymbol{j_{2}}_{;N, arphi}
angle = rac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_{j}}} \sum_{m=0}^{2N-1} (-1)^{jm} e^{i rac{m\pi}{N} \hat{n}} | arphi
angle$$

$$\boldsymbol{Z_2} = \hat{R}_{2N} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{N}\hat{n}}$$



32 A. Grimsmo, J. Combes, B. Baragiola, PRX 10, 011058 (2020)



CHALMERS



Rotation-symmetric codes: RSB codes

 $\hat{R}_M = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{M}\hat{n}}$

• States (ideal):

$$\begin{split} |\boldsymbol{j_d}_{;M,\varphi}\rangle = & \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{N}_j}} \sum_{m=0}^{dM-1} \omega_d^{-jm} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{dM}m\hat{n}} |\varphi\rangle \\ & \boldsymbol{Z_d} = \hat{R}_{dM} = e^{i\frac{2\pi}{dM}\hat{n}} \end{split}$$



- Rotation-symmetric codes: RSB codes
 - Operations:

$$\boldsymbol{S_d} = e^{i\frac{\pi}{d}\left(\frac{\hat{n}^2}{M^2} - \beta\frac{\hat{n}}{M}\right)} \qquad \beta = \begin{cases} 0 & :d \text{ even} \\ 1 & :d \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

$$oldsymbol{Cz}^{(k_N,s_M)}=e^{irac{2\pi}{dNM}\hat{n}_k\hat{n}_s}$$

$$|oldsymbol{\psi_d}
angle = \sum_{k=0} lpha_k |oldsymbol{k_d}
angle$$

Derivation: Action of operations on the logical encoded states expressed in the Fock basis



- Rotation-symmetric codes: RSB codes
 - Operations:

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{S_d} &= e^{i rac{\pi}{d} \left(rac{\hat{n}^2}{M^2} - eta rac{\hat{n}}{M}
ight)} & eta &= egin{cases} 0 &: d ext{ even} \ 1 &: d ext{ odd} \end{aligned}$$

$$oldsymbol{Cz}^{(k_N,s_M)}=e^{irac{2\pi}{dNM}\hat{n}_k\hat{n}_s}$$

$$egin{aligned} egin{aligned} egi$$

$$|oldsymbol{\psi_d}
angle = \sum_{k=0} lpha_k |oldsymbol{k}_d|$$

Wigner negative operations

Derivation: Action of operations on the logical encoded states expressed in the Fock basis



Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Main results
- 3. Discrete-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 4. DV systems: qubits into qudits
- 5. Efficient simulation of DV systems
- 6. Continuous-variable systems: qubits and qudits
- 7. CV systems: qubits into qudits
- 8. Efficient simulation of CV systems
- 9. Summary
- 10. Limitations and future work



• Recall: DV qubits into qudits

$$|\boldsymbol{j_{d_1}}
angle = rac{1}{\sqrt{a_2}}\sum_{k=0}^{a_2-1} |(kd_1+j)a_1
angle_{d_2} \qquad d_2 = d_1a_1a_2$$

- CV ideal cases:
 - GKP: Infinite energy ideal GKP states
 - RSB: Orthogonal rotated primitive states

$$\langle \varphi | \boldsymbol{Z}_{\boldsymbol{d}}^{s} | \varphi \rangle = 0$$







CHALMERS

• GKP: Identification based on the wavefunctions

$$egin{aligned} |m{j_{d_1}}
angle = \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} |(am{j}+am{d_1}k)_{m{d_2}}
angle \ d_2 = d_1 a^2 \end{aligned}$$

• Qubit:

$$|0_2
angle = |0_8
angle + |4_8
angle$$





CHALMERS

• GKP: Identification based on the wavefunctions

$$egin{aligned} |m{j_{d_1}}
angle = \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} |(am{j}+am{d_1}k)_{d_2}
angle \ d_2 = d_1 a^2 \end{aligned}$$

• Qubit:

 $|0_2
angle = |0_8
angle + |4_8
angle$

Larger set of displacements:

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{Z_8} &= e^{i \hat{q} \sqrt{rac{2\pi}{8}}}, \ oldsymbol{X_8} &= e^{-i \hat{p} \sqrt{rac{2\pi}{8}}} \end{aligned}$$





CHALMERS

• GKP: Identification based on the wavefunctions

$$egin{aligned} |m{j_{d_1}}
angle = \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} |(am{j}+am{d_1}m{k})_{m{d_2}}
angle \ d_2 = d_1 a^2 \end{aligned}$$

• Qubit:

$$|0_2
angle=|0_8
angle+|4_8
angle$$





CHALMERS

• GKP: Identification based on the wavefunctions

$$\ket{j_{d_1}} = \sum_{k=0}^{a-1} \ket{(aj+ad_1k)_{d_2}} \ d_2 = d_1 a^2$$

• Qubit:

 $|0_2
angle = |0_8
angle + |4_8
angle$

Larger set of displacements:

$$oldsymbol{Z_8}=e^{i\hat{q}\sqrt{rac{2\pi}{8}}},
onumber \ oldsymbol{X_8}=e^{-i\hat{p}\sqrt{rac{2\pi}{8}}}$$



• RSB (i): Identification based on the wavefunctions

• Qubit:
$$|\mathbf{0_{2;4}}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}|\mathbf{0_{8;2}}\rangle + |\mathbf{4_{8;2}}\rangle$$





CHALMERS

38

• RSB (i):

$$oldsymbol{j_{d_1}}_{;N}
angle = rac{1}{\sqrt{a}}\sum_{t=0}^{a-1} |(oldsymbol{aj_1} + oldsymbol{ad_1}t)_{oldsymbol{d_2}}, rac{N}{a}
angle \qquad d_2 = d_1 a^2 \qquad egin{cases} N \geq a \ M = N/a \end{cases}$$

• RSB (ii):

$$|\boldsymbol{u_{d_2;M,\varphi}^k}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-1} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{d_2M}(\ell d_2 - k)\hat{n}} |\varphi\rangle$$

$$egin{aligned} &oldsymbol{X_d} |oldsymbol{u_d^k}
angle = \omega_d^k |oldsymbol{u_d^k}
angle \ &|oldsymbol{u_d^0}
angle = |oldsymbol{+_d}
angle \end{aligned}$$





• RSB (i):

$$oldsymbol{j_{d_1}}_{;N}
angle = rac{1}{\sqrt{a}}\sum_{t=0}^{a-1} |(oldsymbol{aj_1} a oldsymbol{d_1}_{t})_{oldsymbol{d_2}}, rac{N}{a}
angle \qquad d_2 = d_1 a^2 \qquad egin{cases} N \geq a \ M = N/a \end{array}$$



$$|\boldsymbol{u_{d_2;M,\varphi}^k}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{M}} \sum_{\ell=0}^{M-1} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{d_2M}(\ell d_2 - k)\hat{n}} |\varphi\rangle$$

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{X_d} |oldsymbol{u_d^k}
angle &= \omega_d^k |oldsymbol{u_d^k}
angle \ |oldsymbol{u_d^0}
angle &= |+_d
angle \end{aligned}$$



39

_	Codespace of dimension d_1	Codespace of dimension d_2	Conditions
GKP	$ j_{d_1} angle$	$\sum\limits_{k=0}^{a-1} \ket{(aj+ad_1k)_{d_2}}$	$d_2 = d_1 a^2$
RSB	$ oldsymbol{j}{a_1}_{;N} angle$	$rac{1}{\sqrt{a}}\sum\limits_{t=0}^{a-1} (oldsymbol{aj+ad_1t})_{oldsymbol{d_2};M} angle$	$d_2 = d_1 a^2$ a M = N/a
RSB	$ \mathbf{0_{d_1}}_{;N} angle$	$\ket{+_{m{a_2};M}}$	$orall d_1, d_2$
			$M = d_1 N$



Efficient simulation of CV systems



• Basic idea:

(i) Encode qubits into qudits → extended set of logical gates in CV
(ii) Identify families of circuits as Clifford circuits
(iii) Use existing no-go theorems of DV quantum computation



• Results: Translation-symmetric codes

Encoded Pauli eigenstates: GKP qudit dimension d_1

 $j=0,\ldots,d_1-1$



Pauli measurements: Homodyne measurements (HM)

GKP Clifford group dimension d_2

$$\{e^{i\hat{q}_k^2/2}, e^{-i\alpha\hat{p}_k}, e^{i\alpha\hat{q}_k}, e^{i\hat{q}_k\hat{q}_l}, e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}(\hat{p}_k^2 + \hat{q}_k^2)}, \text{HM}\} \qquad \alpha = \sqrt{2\pi/d_1}/a$$



even

odd

• Results: N-fold rotation-symmetric codes (i)

Pauli eigenstates: RSB qudit dimension d_1

 $b=0,\ldots,d_1-1$



RSB Clifford group dimension d_2

$$\{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{ad_1N}\hat{n}}, e^{i\frac{\pi}{d_1}\left(\frac{\hat{n}^2}{N^2} - \beta\frac{\hat{n}}{aN}\right)}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{d_1N^2}\hat{n}_k\hat{n}_l}, \text{PM}\} \qquad \beta = \begin{cases} 0 & :d_1a^2\\ 1 & :d_1a^2 \end{cases}$$



• Results: N-fold rotation-symmetric codes (ii)

Pauli eigenstates: RSB qudit dimension d_1

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{D}_{d_{1};N,\varphi} \\ \mathbf{D}_{d_{1};N,\varphi} \\ \mathbf{D}_{d_{1};N,\varphi} \\ \mathbf{D}_{d_{1};N,\varphi} \\ \mathbf{D}_{d_{1};N,\varphi} \\ \end{array} \right) = \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{M}_{Z} \\ \mathcal{M}_{Z} \\ \mathcal{M}_{Z} \\ \mathbf{M}_{Z} \end{array} Pauli \text{ measurements:} \\ Phase \text{ measurements (PN)} \\ \mathcal{M}_{Z} \\ \mathcal{M}_{Z} \end{array}$$

RSB Clifford group dimension d_2

$$\{e^{i\frac{2\pi}{d_2d_1N}\hat{n}}, e^{i\frac{\pi}{d_2d_1}\left(\frac{\hat{n}^2}{d_1N^2} - \beta\frac{\hat{n}}{N}\right)}, e^{i\frac{2\pi}{d_2d_1^2N^2}\hat{n}_k\hat{n}_l}, \text{PM}\} \qquad \beta = \begin{cases} 0 & :d_2 \text{ even} \\ 1 & :d_2 \text{ odd} \end{cases}$$

46

Summary

- CHALMERS
- Wigner negativity is a necessary but not sufficient resource for quantum advantage
- Identify as Clifford circuits Wigner negative CV architectures
 - Review and derive qudit operations for GKP and RSB codes
 - Encode qubits into qudits by comparing wavefunctions
- Discrete families of CV architectures with large Wigner negativity can be efficiently simulated

Limitations and future work



• Research question: Can we simulate this circuit efficiently?

Encoded Pauli eigenstates: negative Wigner function

$$\begin{vmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{2} \\ |\mathbf{0}_{2} \rangle \\ |\mathbf{0}_{2} \rangle \\ |\mathbf{0}_{2} \rangle \end{vmatrix} \mathbf{F}, e^{i\hat{q}^{2}s_{3}}, \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{p} \hat{p} \\ e^{i\hat{q}s_{1}} \\ e^{-i\hat{p}s_{2}} \mathbf{p} \hat{p}$$

General Gaussian operations: positive Wigner function

Pauli measurements: positive Wigner function

Limitations and future work



Pauli measurements:

positive Wigner function

• Research question: Can we simulate this circuit efficiently?

Encoded Pauli eigenstates: negative Wigner function



General Gaussian operations: positive Wigner function

- Question still open for general Gaussian circuits
- Results limited to ideal GKP and RSB codes: finite energy?

Co-authors





CHALMERS



Cameron Calcluth



Giulia Ferrini

Chalmers University of Technology Wallenberg Centre for Quantum Technology (WACQT)



Alessandro Ferraro

Queen's University Belfast

.