

Title: Probing quantum nature of Newtonian gravity with optomechanics

Speakers: Haixing Miao

Series: Strong Gravity

Date: March 04, 2021 - 1:00 PM

URL: <http://pirsa.org/21030020>

Abstract: Motivated by Feynman's early proposal, several schemes have been proposed recently to explore the quantum nature of gravity using table-top experiments. The key idea behind them is to study whether gravity can lead to non-classical quantum correlations between two objects. These experiments, if successful, can test different models of quantum gravity in the Newtonian limit. In this talk, I will discuss one such scheme based upon optomechanics that couples light to mechanical oscillators mediated by quantum radiation pressure. The non-classicality of gravity is witnessed by the quantum squeezing of light.



Probing quantum nature of Newtonian gravity with optomechanics



Haixing Miao
University of Birmingham


In collaboration with Animesh Datta, Denis Martynov, and Huan Yang

PI Strong Gravity Seminar 2021.03.04

1

Why quantum gravity? mundane motivations

Single object in a superposition:



Will there be multiple superposed spacetime?


What is the causal structure?

2



Why quantum gravity? mundane motivations

Multiple objects interacting through gravity:




How does such a self-gravitating quantum system evolve?

3




A simplified overview



“General” consensus

$$-\frac{Gm_A m_B}{|\hat{\mathbf{x}}_A - \hat{\mathbf{x}}_B|} ?$$



Quantum



Two objects interacting via gravity

Entanglement


Classical



No entanglement



Experimental proposals with matter-wave interferometers



- [1] S. Bose, A. Mazumdar, G. Moley, H. Ulbricht, M. Toros, M. Paternostro, A. Geraci, P. Barker, M. Kim, and G. Milburn, *Spin Entanglement Witness for Quantum Gravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 240401 (2017).
- [2] C. Marletto, and V. Vedral, *Gravitationally Induced Entanglement between Two Massive Particles is Sufficient Evidence of Quantum Effects in Gravity*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 240402 (2017).

6




How difficult is it to observe
gravity-mediated entanglement?

7




General condition for entanglement (using two pendula)



Gravitational interaction (steady-state) in the presence of **thermal decoherence**

8

General condition for entanglement




$$\hat{H}_{\text{tot}} = \frac{p_A^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_m^2 x_A^2 + \frac{p_B^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_m^2 x_B^2 + \hat{H}_{AB} + \hat{H}_{\text{th}}$$

$$\hat{H}_{AB} = -\frac{Gm^2}{\hat{x}_A - \hat{x}_B + d} \approx -\frac{Gm^2}{d} + \frac{Gm^2}{d^2}(\hat{x}_A - \hat{x}_B) - \frac{Gm^2}{d^3}(\hat{x}_A - \hat{x}_B)^2$$

Only affects the differential mode

9

General condition for entanglement



$$\hat{H}_{\text{tot}} = \frac{p_A^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_m^2 x_A^2 + \frac{p_B^2}{2m} + \frac{1}{2}m\omega_m^2 x_B^2 + \hat{H}_{AB} + \hat{H}_{\text{th}}$$

$$\hat{H}_{AB} = -\frac{Gm^2}{\hat{x}_A - \hat{x}_B + d} \approx -\frac{Gm^2}{d} + \frac{Gm^2}{d^2}(\hat{x}_A - \hat{x}_B) - \frac{Gm^2}{d^3}(\hat{x}_A - \hat{x}_B)^2$$

Common mode:

$$\omega_m$$

Only affects the differential mode


Differential mode:

$$\omega'_m \approx \omega_m - \frac{\omega_g^2}{2\omega_m} \quad (\text{frequency becomes lower}) \quad \omega_g \equiv \sqrt{2Gm/d^3}$$

10



General condition for entanglement




Common mode: ω_m

Differential mode: $\omega'_m \approx \omega_m - \frac{\omega_g^2}{2\omega_m}$


For disk-shape objects (radius R , thickness h)

$$\omega_g = \sqrt{\Lambda G \rho} \quad (\text{typically } 0.1 \text{ mHz})$$

Λ (form factor) ρ (material density)



General condition for entanglement



$$n_{\text{th}}(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \coth\left(\frac{\hbar\omega}{2k_B T}\right)$$

Common mode: ω_m

Differential mode: $\omega'_m \approx \omega_m - \frac{\omega_g^2}{2\omega_m}$

Common and differential modes @ thermal equilibrium

$$\langle x^2 \rangle = x_q^2 [2n_{\text{th}}(\omega) + 1]$$

$$x_q^2 \equiv \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}$$

$$\langle p^2 \rangle = p_q^2 [2n_{\text{th}}(\omega) + 1]$$


$$p_q^2 \equiv \frac{\hbar m \omega}{2}$$

$$(\omega = \omega_m \text{ or } \omega'_m)$$

12



General condition for entanglement



Common mode: ω_m


Differential mode: $\omega'_m \approx \omega_m - \frac{\omega_g^2}{2\omega_m}$

[Quantum optics analogy]

Common and differential modes @ thermal equilibrium	$\langle x^2 \rangle = x_q^2 [2n_{\text{th}}(\omega) + 1]$	$x_q^2 \equiv \frac{\hbar}{2m\omega}$	$(\omega = \omega_m \text{ or } \omega'_m)$
	$\langle p^2 \rangle = p_q^2 [2n_{\text{th}}(\omega) + 1]$	$p_q^2 \equiv \frac{\hbar m \omega}{2}$	

13

General condition for entanglement



Common mode: ω_m

Differential mode: $\omega'_m \approx \omega_m - \frac{\omega_g^2}{2\omega_m}$

[Quantum optics analogy]


Entanglement condition:

$$n_{\text{th}} \leq \frac{\omega_g^2}{\omega_m^2} = \frac{2G\rho}{\omega_m^2} \approx 6.4 \times 10^{-8} \left(\frac{1\text{Hz}}{\omega_m/2\pi} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\rho}{19\text{g/cm}^3} \right)$$

($\Lambda = 2$)

14

General condition for entanglement



Common mode: ω_m

Differential mode: $\omega'_m \approx \omega_m - \frac{\omega_g^2}{2\omega_m}$

[Quantum optics analogy]


Entanglement condition:

$$n_{\text{th}} \leq \frac{\omega_g^2}{\omega_m^2} = \frac{2G\rho}{\omega_m^2} \approx 6.4 \times 10^{-8} \left(\frac{1\text{Hz}}{\omega_m/2\pi} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\rho}{19\text{g/cm}^3} \right)$$

$$(\Lambda = 2) \quad n_{\text{th}} \sim 6.3 \times 10^{12} \left(\frac{T}{300\text{K}} \right) \left(\frac{1\text{Hz}}{\omega_m/2\pi} \right)$$

15

General condition for entanglement (between optical fields)




Information near ω_m is accumulated at the outgoing fields

Consider optical modes near ω_m



General condition for entanglement (between optical fields)



Information near ω_m is accumulated at the outgoing fields

Consider optical modes near ω_m


Entanglement condition for the outgoing fields:

$$n_{\text{th}} \leq Q_m \frac{\omega_g^2}{\omega_m^2} \quad \frac{T}{Q_m} \leq 1.5 \times 10^{-18} \text{ K} \left(\frac{1 \text{ Hz}}{\omega_m/2\pi} \right) \left(\frac{\rho}{19 \text{ g/cm}^3} \right)$$

17

“Less quantum” figures of merit
(not quantumless:-)


- ❖ Cross correlation
- ❖ Quantum discord
- ❖ Conditional squeezing



18




An optomechanical scheme



19



Quantum Optomechanics



Optomechanics

Mechanics modulates the optical phase;
Radiation pressure affects the mechanics.




From nano-gram to kilogram



[1] Y. Chen, *MQM: theory and experimental concepts of optomechanics*, J. Phys. B: AMO Phys. **46** 104001 (2013).
[2] M. Aspelmeyer *et al.*, *Cavity optomechanics*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **86**, 1391 (2014).

Quantum Optomechanics



Optomechanics

Mechanics modulates the optical phase;
Radiation pressure affects the mechanics.



Characteristic dimensionless parameters:

Optomechanical cooperativity: $C \propto \frac{P_{\text{cav}} \mathcal{F} Q_m}{m \omega_m}$

Thermal occupation number: $n_{\text{th}} \sim \frac{k_B T}{\hbar \omega_m}$


Quantum radiation pressure dominant regime [1-3]:

$$C \geq n_{\text{th}}$$

- [1] T. Purdy, R. Peterson, and C. Regal, *Science* **339**, 801 (2013).
- [2] C. B. Møller, R. A. Thomas, G. Vasilakis *et al.*, *Nature* **547**, 191 (2017).
- [3] M. Rossi, D. Mason, J. Chen, Y. Tsaturyan, and A. Schliesser, *Nature* **563**, 53 (2018).

“Less quantum” figures of merit (not quantumless:-)


- ❖ Cross correlation
- ❖ Quantum discord
- ❖ Conditional squeezing



22




Cross-correlation measurement




$$\begin{matrix}
 & \begin{matrix} X_A & Y_A & X_B & Y_B \end{matrix} \\
 \begin{matrix} X_A \\ Y_A \\ X_B \\ Y_B \end{matrix} & \begin{pmatrix}
 \mathbf{V}_A & \mathbf{V}_{AB} \\
 \mathbf{V}'_{AB} & \mathbf{V}_B
 \end{pmatrix}
 \end{matrix}$$

$$\mathbf{V}_{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{G}^* \\ \mathcal{G} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Measure the off-diagonal term in the covariance matrix



Cross-correlation measurement




$$\mathbf{V}_{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{G}^* \\ \mathcal{G} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad |\mathcal{G}| = 2 \sqrt{C_A C_B} Q_m \left(\frac{\omega_g}{\omega_m} \right)^2$$

Optomechanical cooperativity: $C \propto \frac{P_{\text{cav}} \mathcal{F} Q_m}{m}$

Signal-to-Noise Ratio

$$\text{SNR} \propto \tau_{\text{int}}^{1/2} \left(\frac{C_A}{\bar{n}_{\text{th}}^B} \right)^{1/2}$$


increases as the integration time



24



Cross-correlation measurement



Reaching SNR ~ 1 at room temperature:

$$\tau_{\text{int}} \approx 1.0 \text{ year} \left(\frac{\bar{n}_{\text{th}}/\mathcal{C}}{0.4} \right) \left(\frac{\omega_m/2\pi}{1 \text{ Hz}} \right)^3 \left(\frac{10^6}{Q_m} \right) \left(\frac{19 \text{ g/cm}^3}{\rho} \right)^2$$

$$\frac{\bar{n}_{\text{th}}}{\mathcal{C}} \approx 0.4 \left(\frac{m}{1 \text{ g}} \right) \left(\frac{2 \text{ kW}}{P_{\text{cav}}} \right) \left(\frac{6000}{\text{Finesse}} \right) \left(\frac{T}{300 \text{ K}} \right)$$


Close to MIT one-gram experiment

m	$\omega_m/2\pi$	Q_m	P_{cav}
1 g	1 Hz	10^6	2 kW
1 mg	10 Hz	10^9	2 W
1 μg	100 Hz	10^{12}	2 mW



The need of a better figure of merit


Cross-correlation increases as the classical amplitude noise!



26

“Less quantum” figures of merit (not quantumless:-)

- ❖ Cross correlation
- ❖ **Quantum discord**
- ❖ Conditional squeezing



27



Quantum discord

$$\begin{array}{c} X_A \\ Y_A \\ X_B \\ Y_B \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} X_A & Y_A & X_B & Y_B \\ \hline & \mathbf{V}_A & & \mathbf{V}_{AB} \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & \mathbf{V}'_{AB} & & \mathbf{V}_B \\ \hline & & & \end{array} \right) \quad \mathbf{V}_{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{G}^* \\ \mathcal{G} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Quantum discord [1, 2, 3]:

$$\mathcal{D} \equiv \mathcal{S}(\hat{\rho}_A | \hat{\rho}_B) - [\mathcal{S}(\hat{\rho}_{AB}) - \mathcal{S}(\hat{\rho}_A)] = f(\mathbf{V}_A, \mathbf{V}_B, \mathbf{V}_{AB})$$

- [1] H. Ollivier and W. H. Zurek, Phys. Rev. Lett. **88**, 017901 (2001).
- [2] G. Adesso and A. Datta, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 030501 (2010).
- [3] P. Giorda and M. G. A. Paris, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 020503 (2010).

28



Quantum discord

$$\begin{array}{c} X_A \\ Y_A \\ X_B \\ Y_B \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} X_A & Y_A & X_B & Y_B \\ \hline & \mathbf{V}_A & & \mathbf{V}_{AB} \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & \mathbf{V}'_{AB} & & \mathbf{V}_B \\ \hline & & & \end{array} \right) \quad \mathbf{V}_{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{G}^* \\ \mathcal{G} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Quantum discord ($n_{\text{th}}\mathcal{C} \gg 1$):

$$\mathcal{D} \approx \frac{\hbar G \rho}{2\gamma_m k_B T} = 1.0 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{1 \text{ Hz}}{\omega_m/2\pi} \right) \left(\frac{Q_m}{10^9} \right) \left(\frac{\rho}{19 \text{ g/cm}^3} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ K}}{T} \right)$$

$$(\omega_m \equiv \omega_m/Q_m)$$

29



Quantum discord

$$\begin{array}{c} X_A \\ Y_A \\ X_B \\ Y_B \end{array} \left(\begin{array}{cc|cc} X_A & Y_A & X_B & Y_B \\ \hline & \mathbf{V}_A & & \mathbf{V}_{AB} \\ \hline & & & \\ \hline & \mathbf{V}'_{AB} & & \mathbf{V}_B \\ \hline & & & \end{array} \right) \quad \mathbf{V}_{AB} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \mathcal{G}^* \\ \mathcal{G} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Quantum discord ($n_{\text{th}}\mathcal{C} \gg 1$):

$$\mathcal{D} \approx \frac{\hbar G \rho}{2\gamma_m k_B T} = 1.0 \times 10^{-9} \left(\frac{1 \text{ Hz}}{\omega_m/2\pi} \right) \left(\frac{Q_m}{10^9} \right) \left(\frac{\rho}{19 \text{ g/cm}^3} \right) \left(\frac{1 \text{ K}}{T} \right)$$


Very sensitive to measurement errors :-)

30



“Less quantum” figures of merit (not quantumless:-)


- ❖ Cross correlation
- ❖ Quantum discord
- ❖ **Conditional squeezing**



31




Conditional squeezing



H. Miao, D. Martynov, H. Yang, and A. Datta, Phys. Rev. A **101**, 063804 (2020).

32

Conditional squeezing




In the quantum-radiation-pressure dominant regime:

$$\text{SQZ} = 10 \log_{10} \left[1 + \left(\frac{2Q_m G \rho}{\omega_m^2} \right)^2 \right] \approx 2 \text{ dB} \left(\frac{0.5 \text{ Hz}}{\omega_m/2\pi} \right)^4 \left(\frac{Q_m}{3 \times 10^6} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\rho}{19 \text{ g/cm}^3} \right)^2$$

$$\tau \approx 1 \text{ year} \left(\frac{\omega_m/2\pi}{0.5 \text{ Hz}} \right)^3 \left(\frac{3 \times 10^6}{Q_m} \right) \left(\frac{19 \text{ g/cm}^3}{\rho} \right)^2$$

33

Conditional squeezing



$$\text{SQZ} = 10 \log_{10} \left[1 + \left(\frac{2Q_m G \rho}{\omega_m^2} \right)^2 \right] \approx 2 \text{ dB} \left(\frac{0.5 \text{ Hz}}{\omega_m / 2\pi} \right)^4 \left(\frac{Q_m}{3 \times 10^6} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\rho}{19 \text{ g/cm}^3} \right)^2$$

$$\tau \approx 1 \text{ year} \left(\frac{\omega_m / 2\pi}{0.5 \text{ Hz}} \right)^3 \left(\frac{3 \times 10^6}{Q_m} \right) \left(\frac{19 \text{ g/cm}^3}{\rho} \right)^2$$

34



Predictions of Some Classical Gravity Models

Semi-classical Gravity (Schrodinger-Newton [1]) :

$$\frac{1}{2}m\omega_g^2[(\hat{x}_A - \langle \hat{x}_B \rangle)^2 + (\langle \hat{x}_A \rangle - \hat{x}_B)^2] \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Zero conditional squeezing}$$

Emergent gravity [2, 3, 4]:

$$H_{AB}^? = TS(\langle \hat{x}_A \rangle - \langle \hat{x}_B \rangle) \quad (\text{not sure if this is what the model predicts})$$

$$m\omega_g^2(\langle \hat{x}_A \rangle - \langle \hat{x}_B \rangle)^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \text{Similar to Schrodinger-Newton}$$


- [1] H. Yang, H. Miao, D. Lee, B. Helou, and Y. Chen, Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 170401 (2013).
- [2] T. Jacobson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75**, 1260 (1995).
- [3] E. Verlinde, Journal of High Energy Physics **2011**, 29 (2011).
- [4] T. Padmanabhan, Modern Physics Letters A **30**, 1540007 (2015).

35



Conclusions

- ❖ Squeezing can be served as an intermediate step towards entanglement.
- ❖ Low-frequency, high-quality factor oscillators are required.
- ❖ Semi-classical model of gravity predicts testable levels of squeezing.
- ❖ Studying predictions of other gravity models (e.g. emergent gravity) is needed.



Thank you!

36