Title: Quantum Field Theory for Cosmology - Lecture 9

Speakers: Achim Kempf

Collection: Quantum Field Theory for Cosmology (Kempf)

Date: February 04, 2020 - 4:00 PM

URL: http://pirsa.org/20020000

QFT for Cosmology, Achim Kempf, Lecture 9

Note Title

Mathematical preparations for QFT in curved space:

Plan today:

- Functional derivatives $\frac{\delta F[g]}{\delta g(x)} = ?$
- Example use 1: to make the QFT Schrödinger equation well defined.
- Example use 2: to define the

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

Plan today:

- Functional derivatives $\frac{\delta F[g]}{\delta g(x)} = ?$
- Example use 1: to make the QFT Schrödinger equation well defined.
- Example use 2: to define the Functional Legendre transform.
- Use both to obtain the Lagrangian formulation of QFT - which will be starting point for QFT on curved space.

Type here to search
ENG 4:05 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

Functional differentiation

Recall:

a.) Differentiation of functions of one variable, $F(u)$:

$$\frac{dF(u)}{du} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{F(u+\varepsilon) - F(u)}{\varepsilon}$$

b.) Differentiation of functions of countably many variables, $F(\{u_j\}_{j=1,2,3,\dots})$:

$$\frac{\partial F(\{u_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots})}{\partial u_i} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{F(u_1, \dots, u_i + \varepsilon, \dots) - F(u_1, \dots, u_i, \dots)}{\varepsilon}$$

Type here to search
ENG 4:09 PM 2020-02-04

a.) Differentiation of functions of one variable, $F(u)$:

$$\frac{dF(u)}{du} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{F(u+\varepsilon) - F(u)}{\varepsilon}$$

b.) Differentiation of functions of countably many variables, $F(\{u_j\}_{j=1,2,3,\dots})$:

$$\frac{\partial F(\{u_j\}_{j=1,2,\dots})}{\partial u_i} := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{F(u_1, \dots, u_i+\varepsilon, \dots) - F(u_1, \dots, u_i, \dots)}{\varepsilon}$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{F(\{u_j + \varepsilon \delta_{ij}\}_{j=1\dots}) - F(\{u_j\}_{j=1,\dots})}{\varepsilon}$$

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

Definition:

c.) Differentiation of functions of uncountably many variables, $F(\{u(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n})$:

Note: Since the Dirac delta is not a function but a distribution, which is only defined relative to an integral, the full definition is more technical.

$$\frac{\delta F(\{u(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n})}{\delta u(y)} := \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{F(\{u(x)+\varepsilon\delta(x-y)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}) - F(\{u(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n})}{\varepsilon}$$

→ Since F is a "functional", i.e., is mapping functions to numbers

$$F: u \to F[u] \in \mathbb{C}$$

(u: function; $F[u]$: short for $\{u(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}$)

$$\frac{\delta F}{\ldots}$$

Type here to search
ENG 4:13 PM 2020-02-04

$$\frac{\delta F(\{u(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n})}{\delta u(y)} := \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} \frac{F(\{u(x)+\varepsilon\delta(x-y)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}) - F(\{u(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n})}{\varepsilon}$$

→ Since F is a "functional", i.e., is mapping functions to numbers

$$F: u \to F[u] \in \mathbb{C}$$

(u: function; $F[u]$: short for $\{u(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}$)

we call $\frac{\delta F}{\delta u(x)}$ a **functional derivative**.

Example:

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

Example:

$$F[u] := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(x)\, u(x)^2\, dx$$

Then:

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta u(y)} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(x) \left(u(x) + \varepsilon \delta(x-y) \right)^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(x)\, u(x)^2\, dx \right]$$

Distribution theory would be needed. But it drops out anyway

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(x) \left(u(x)^2 + \varepsilon 2 u(x) \delta(x-y) + \varepsilon^2 \delta^2(x-y) - u(x)^2 \right) dx$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2 u(x)\, \delta(x-y) \cos(x)\, dx$$

Type here to search
ENG 4:17 PM 2020-02-04

Then:

$$\frac{\delta F}{\delta u(y)} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(x) \left(u(x) + \varepsilon \delta(x-y) \right)^2 dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(x)\, u(x)^2 dx \right]$$

Distribution theory would be needed. But it drops out anyway

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \cos(x) \left(\cancel{u(x)^2} + \varepsilon 2 u(x) \delta(x-y) + \varepsilon^2 \overbrace{\delta^2(x-y)} - \cancel{u(x)^2} \right) dx$$

$$= \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}} 2 u(x)\, \delta(x-y) \cos(x)\, dx$$

$$= 2 \cos(y)\, u(y)$$

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

Similarly, one obtains: $\frac{\delta}{\delta u(y)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x)\, u(x)^n dx = f(y)\, n\, u(y)^{n-1}$

$\Rightarrow$ Functional derivatives act on polynomials (and suitable power series) in u by removing the integral and reducing the power in u by one, as expected from ordinary derivatives.

Remark:

* Worked with $u(x)$.
* Would obtain same result if we used any other continuous or discrete basis of L^2.
* E.g. other basis (continuous): e^{ixp}, i.e. use $\tilde{u}(p)$
* E.g. other basis (countable): $H_n(x)e^{-x^2}$, i.e. use $\check{u}_n$

↑ Hermite polynomials

Type here to search
ENG 4:24 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

Example application 1:

Schrödinger equation of QFT now well defined:

QM: $\hat{q}_i$ $\hat{p}_i$ i t

QFT: $\hat{\phi}(x)$ $\hat{\pi}(x)$ x t

QM: $\hat{H}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\hat{p}_i^2}{2} + V(\hat{q},t)$ Σ all $\hat{q}_i$

Plays rôle of $V(\hat{q},t)$ although the first term is usually not considered to be part of the QFT's potential.

QFT: $\hat{H}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\hat{\pi}(x)^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\hat{\phi}(x)(m^2 - \Delta)\hat{\phi}(x) + W(\hat{\phi},t)\, d^3x$

Σ Example: $W(\hat{\phi}) = \frac{1}{4!}\hat{\phi}^4(x,t)$

In general: $W(\hat{\phi})$ also contains other fields

QM: Example [illegible]

Type here to search ENG 4:40 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

QM: The joint eigenbasis's $\{|\{q_j\}_{j=1}^n\rangle\}$ of the $\{\hat{q}_j\}_{j=1}^n$ obeys:

$$\hat{q}_i\,|\{q_j\}_{j=1}^n\rangle = q_i\,|\{q_j\}_{j=1}^n\rangle$$

QFT: The joint eigenbasis's $\{|\{\phi(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3}\rangle\}$ of the $\{\hat{\phi}(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3}$ obeys:

$$\hat{\phi}(y)\,|\{\phi(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3}\rangle = \phi(y)\,|\{\phi(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^3}\rangle$$


QM: Wave function of a state $|\psi(t)\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ in position eigenbasis's:

$$\psi(\{q_j\}_{j=1}^n, t) = \langle\{q_j\}_{j=1}^n\,|\,\psi(t)\rangle \quad (\text{like } \psi(q) = \langle q|\psi\rangle)$$

QFT: Wave functional of a state $|\Psi(t)\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ in field eigenbasis's:

($\mathcal{H}$ ← Hilbert space of QFT, of course)

Type here to search
ENG 4:43 PM 2020-02-04


ψ(x) = ⟨x|ψ⟩
ψ_n = ⟨n|ψ⟩
|ψ⟩

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

$\Psi(\{q_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}, t) = \langle \{q_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} | \Psi(t) \rangle$ (like $\psi(q) = \langle q | \psi \rangle$)

QFT: Wave functional of a state $|\Psi(t)\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ in field eigenbasis:

($\mathcal{H}$: Hilbert space of QFT, of course)

$$\Psi[\{\phi(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3}, t] = \langle \{\phi(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}^3} | \Psi(t) \rangle$$

↑ Probability amplitude for finding function $\phi(x)$ when measuring $\hat{\phi}(x)$ at t.

Simplified notation:

QM: $\Psi(q,t) = \langle q | \Psi(t) \rangle$

QFT: $\Psi[\phi, t] = \langle \phi | \Psi(t) \rangle$

Type here to search
ENG 4:49 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

QFT: $\Psi[\phi, t] = \langle \phi | \Psi(t) \rangle$

QM: Representation of $\hat{q}_i, \hat{p}_i$ obeying $[\hat{q}_i, \hat{p}_j] = i\delta_{ij}$ in $\hat{q}$ eigenbasis:

$$\hat{q}_j : \quad \Psi(q,t) \to q_j \Psi(q,t)$$

$$\hat{p}_j : \quad \Psi(q,t) \to -i\frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \Psi(q,t)$$

QFT: Representation of $\hat{\phi}(x), \hat{\pi}(y)$ obeying $[\hat{\phi}(x), \hat{\pi}(y)] = i\delta^3(x-y)$ in $\hat{\phi}$ eigenbasis:

$$\hat{\phi}(x): \quad \Psi[\phi, t] \to \phi(x)\, \Psi[\phi, t]$$

$$\hat{\pi}(x): \quad \Psi[\phi, t] \to -i\frac{\delta}{\delta \phi(x)} \Psi[\phi, t]$$

Exercise:
Verify that $\hat{\phi}(x), \hat{\pi}(y)$ obey the CCRs.

Type here to search
ENG 4:52 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

QM: Schrödinger equation:

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\Psi(q,t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_j^2}\Psi(q,t) + V(q,t)\Psi(q,t)$$

Recall: It is to be solved for all q

QFT: Schrödinger equation:

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\Psi[\phi,t] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\phi(x)} + \frac{1}{2}\phi(x)(m^2-\Delta)\phi(x) + W(\phi(x),t)d^3x\right)\Psi[\phi,t]$$

Recall: It is to be solved for all ϕ

Remark: With W it can be solved only perturbatively.

Exercise: Set $W=0$. Fourier transform to k variables in box

Type here to search
ENG 4:54 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

$$i\frac{d}{dt}\Psi[\phi,t] = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\delta^2}{\delta\phi(x)} + \frac{1}{2}\phi(x)(m^2-\Delta)\phi(x) + W(\phi(x),t)\right)d^3x\ \Psi[\phi,t]$$

Recall: It is to be solved for all ϕ

Remark: With W it can be solved only perturbatively.

Exercise: Set $W=0$. Fourier transform to k variables in box regularization. Verify that the wave functional Ψ_0 of the vacuum state obtained before does obey the Schr. eqn.

Example application 2: The functional Legendre transform!

Type here to search
ENG 4:57 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

Example application 2: The functional Legendre transform!

- **Motivation?** We will need to determine in curved space:

  What becomes of: $\hat{\pi}(x,t) = \hat{\dot{\phi}}(x,t)$?

- **Problem?** Time is preferred coordinate in Hamiltonian formalism.

  * But the formalism must be coordinate system independent to fit general relativity (GR).

  * Now, for example, $\hat{\pi}(x,t) = \frac{d}{dt}\hat{\phi}(x,t)$ is not the same as $\hat{\pi}(x,\tau) = \frac{d}{d\tau}\hat{\phi}(x,\tau)$ for arbitrary $\tau(t)$:

Type here to search
ENG 4:58 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

Motivation! We will need to determine in curved space:

What becomes of: $\hat{\pi}(x,t) = \dot{\hat{\phi}}(x,t)$?

Problem? Time is preferred coordinate in Hamiltonian formalism.

* But the formalism must be coordinate system independent to fit general relativity (GR).

* Now, for example, $\hat{\pi}(x,t) = \frac{d}{dt}\hat{\phi}(x,t)$ is not the same as $\hat{\pi}(x,\tau) = \frac{d}{d\tau}\hat{\phi}(x,\tau)$ for arbitrary $\tau(t)$:


$$\hat{\pi}(x,\tau) = \frac{d}{dt}\hat{\phi}(x,\tau(t)) = \frac{d}{d\tau}\hat{\phi}(x,\tau(t))\left(\frac{d\tau}{dt}\right) \neq \frac{d}{d\tau}\hat{\phi}(x,\tau) \quad !$$

Type here to search
ENG 4:59 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

Strategy:

1. Transform to coordinate-independent Lagrange formalism.
2. Move from special to general relativity.
3. Transform GR result back to Hamilton formalism.
4. Apply 2nd quantization.




Type here to search
ENG 5:02 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

3. Transform GR result back to Hamilton formalism.

4. Apply 2nd quantization.



Type here to search
ENG 5:02 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

The Legendre transform (LT):

- Assume given a function, $F(u)$.



- Define a new variable $w(u)$:

$$w(u) := \frac{dF}{du} \qquad (I)$$

- Assume that (I) can be solved to obtain:

$$u(w)$$


(that's ok if F is convex, say $F''(u) > 0$ for all u)

- The Legendre transform of F is a new function (of w):

Type here to search
ENG 5:07 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

- Assume given a function, $F(u)$.



- Define a new variable $w(u)$:

$$w(u) := \frac{dF}{du} \qquad (I)$$

- Assume that (I) can be solved to obtain:

$$u(w)$$

(that's ok if F is convex, say $F''(u) > 0$ for all u)

- The Legendre transform of F is a new function, G, of w:

$$F(u) \xrightarrow{LT} G(w)$$

- Namely: $\boxed{G(w) := w\,u(w) - F(u(w))}$

Type here to search
ENG 5:09 PM 2020-02-04

Proposition:

$$(LT)^2 = id$$

Proof:

- Define a new variable: $v(w) := \frac{\partial G(w)}{\partial w}$
- In fact:

$$v(w) = \frac{\partial}{\partial w}\left(w\,u(w) - F(u(w))\right)$$

$$= u(w) + w\frac{\partial u(w)}{\partial w} - \frac{\partial F(u(w))}{\partial u}\frac{\partial u(w)}{\partial w}$$

$$= u\ !$$

- Therefore LT^2 yields $F(u) \xrightarrow{LT} G(w) \xrightarrow{LT} H(v)$ with:

$$H = vw - G = vw - (wu - F) = F$$

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

Proof:

- Define a new variable: $v(w) := \frac{\partial G(w)}{\partial w}$
- In fact:

$$v(w) = \frac{\partial}{\partial w}\left(w\,u(w) - F(u(w))\right)$$

$$= u(w) + w\frac{\partial u(w)}{\partial w} - \frac{\partial F(u(w))}{\partial u}\frac{\partial u(w)}{\partial w}$$

$$= u \; !$$

($\frac{\partial F(u(w))}{\partial u} = w$)

- Therefore LT^2 yields $F(u) \xrightarrow{LT} G(w) \xrightarrow{LT} H(v)$ with:

$$H = vw - G = vw - (wu - F) = F \quad \checkmark$$

(u from just above)

Type here to search
ENG 5:10 PM 2020-02-04

Example:

* Consider $f(a,b,c) := a e^{bc}$

* Find LT with respect to b (i.e. while treating a, c as "spectator variables":

$$f(a,b,c) \xrightarrow[b \to \beta]{LT} g(a,\beta,c)$$

* Define $\beta(a,b,c) := \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = ac\, e^{bc}$

* Invert: $b(a,\beta,c) = \frac{1}{c} \ln \frac{\beta}{ac}$

* Legendre transform: $f(a,b,c) \xrightarrow{LT} g(a,\beta,c)$

$$g(a,\beta,c) := \beta\, b(a,\beta,c) - f(a, b(a,\beta,c), c)$$

$$= \frac{\beta}{c} \ln \frac{\beta}{ac}$$

* Find LT with respect to b (i.e. while treating a, c as "spectator variables":

$$f(a,b,c) \xrightarrow[b\to\beta]{LT} g(a,\beta,c)$$

* Define $\beta(a,b,c) := \frac{\partial f}{\partial b} = ac\,e^{bc}$

* Invert: $b(a,\beta,c) = \frac{1}{c}\ln\frac{\beta}{ac}$

* Legendre transform: $f(a,b,c) \xrightarrow{LT} g(a,\beta,c)$

$$g(a,\beta,c) := \beta\, b(a,\beta,c) - f(a, b(a,\beta,c), c)$$

$$g(a,\beta,c) = \frac{\beta}{c}\ln\frac{\beta}{ac} - a\,e^{\frac{c}{c}\ln\frac{\beta}{ac}} = \frac{\beta}{c}\ln\frac{\beta}{ac} - \frac{\beta}{c}$$

Case of countably many variables:

- How to define

$$F(\{u_j\}) \xrightarrow{LT} G(\{w_j\})\ ?$$

- Define: $w_j := \frac{\partial F}{\partial u_j}$

- Assume we can invert to obtain:

$$u_j(\{w_i\})$$

- Define:

$$G(\{w_j\}) := \sum_j w_j u_j(\{w_i\}) - F(\{u_j(\{w_i\})\})$$

(we may also allow for spectator variables)

Type here to search

- How to define

$$F[\{u(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}] \xrightarrow{LT} G[\{w(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}] \; ?$$

- Define:

$$w(x) := \frac{\delta F}{\delta u(x)}$$

- Assume we can solve to obtain:

$$u(x, \{w(x')\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n})$$

- Define:

$$G[\{w(x)\}_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n}] := \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} w(x)\, u(x, \{w(x')\}_{x'\in\mathbb{R}^n})\, d^n x - F[\{u(x, \{w(x')\})\}]$$

- Note: We still have that $LT \circ LT = id$.

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

← classical mechanics

Application to CM:

* Assume the Hamiltonian $H(q,p)$ is given.

* Hamilton equations for arbitrary $f(q,p)$:

Recall: Poisson bracket $\{q,p\} = 1$

$$\dot{f}(q,p) = \{f(q,p), H(q,p)\}$$

See my notes to AMATH673:

Dirac showed: Quantization consists in keeping the Poisson bracket definition and the Hamilton equations unchanged while allowing q,p noncommutativity in such a way that the Poisson algebra structure stays. This fixes noncommutativity to be $\hat{q}\hat{p} - \hat{p}\hat{q} = i\hbar$ and $\{\hat{f},\hat{g}\} = \frac{1}{i\hbar}\{f,g\}\hat{}$

* From this, one can prove the eqns of motion for q,p:

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\partial H(q,p)}{\partial p}, \qquad \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H(q,p)}{\partial q} \qquad \text{(EoM)}$$

* Legendre transform: — The "Lagrangian"

Type here to search
ENG 5:18 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

$$\dot{f}(q,p) = \{ f(q,p), H(q,p) \}$$

See my notes to AMATH673:

Dirac showed: Quantization consists in keeping the Poisson bracket definition and the Hamilton equations unchanged while allowing q,p noncommutativity in such a way that the Poisson algebra structure stays. This fixes noncommutativity to be $\hat{q}\hat{p} - \hat{p}\hat{q} = i\hbar$ and $\{\hat{f}_i, \hat{g}\} = \frac{1}{i\hbar}\{\hat{f}_i, \hat{g}\}$

* From this, one can prove the eqns of motion for q, p:

$$\dot{q} = \frac{\partial H(q,p)}{\partial p}, \qquad \dot{p} = -\frac{\partial H(q,p)}{\partial q} \qquad \text{(EoM)}$$

* Legendre transform: The "Lagrangian"

$$H(q,p) \xrightarrow{LT} L(q,\dot{q}) \qquad (q \text{ is spectator})$$

* Example: $H(q,p) := \frac{p^2}{2} + V(q)$.

Type here to search
ENG 5:18 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

* Example: $H(q,p) := \frac{p^2}{2} + V(q)$.

Then: $b \overset{L.T.}{:=} \frac{\partial H(q,p)}{\partial p} \overset{EoM}{=} \dot{q}$

$\Rightarrow L(q,b) = b\,p(q,b) - H(q, p(q,b)) = \dot{q}\,p(q,\dot{q}) - H(q, p(q,\dot{q})) = L(q,\dot{q})$

Proposition:

The equations of motion (EoM) now take the form:

$b = \dot{q}$ and $\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = \frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{db}$ (Euler Lagrange equation)

Proof: Exercise

Example: $H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{\omega^2}{2} q^2 \quad \overset{LT}{\longleftrightarrow} \quad L[q,b] = \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{2} q^2$

Type here to search
ENG 5:20 PM 2020-02-04

Then: $b := \frac{\partial \pi(q,p)}{\partial p} \overset{EoM}{=} \dot{q}$

$\Rightarrow L(q,b) = b\,p(q,b) - H(q,p(q,b)) = \dot{q}\,p(q,\dot{q}) - H(q,p(q,\dot{q})) = L(q,\dot{q})$

Proposition:

The equations of motion (EoM) now take the form:

$b = \dot{q}$ and $\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} = \frac{d}{dt}\frac{dL}{db}$ (Euler Lagrange equation)

Proof: Exercise

Example: $H = \frac{p^2}{2m} + \frac{\omega^2}{2}q^2 \quad \overset{LT}{\longleftrightarrow} \quad L[q,b] = \frac{1}{2}\dot{q}^2 - \frac{\omega^2}{2}q^2$

$\dot{q} = \frac{p}{m}$, $\dot{p} = -\omega^2 q$ $\qquad -\omega^2 q = \ddot{q}$, $b = \dot{q}$

↙ classical (not conformal) field theory

Application to CFT:

- Assume Hamiltonian $H(\phi,\pi)$ is given.
- Hamilton equation for arbitrary $f(\phi,\pi)$:

$$\dot{f}(\phi,\pi,x,t) = \{f(\phi,\pi,x,t), H(\phi,\pi)\}$$

with: $\{\phi(x,t), \pi(x',t)\} = \delta^3(x-x')$

- This yields the eqns of motion:

$$\dot{\phi}(x,t) = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \pi(x,t)} \qquad \dot{\pi}(x,t) = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi(x,t)} \qquad \text{(EoM)}$$

- Legendre Transform:

spectator

C. Th: $\{f, g\} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial q}\frac{\partial g}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial p}\frac{\partial g}{\partial q}$ $\psi(x) = \langle x|\psi\rangle$

Q Th: $\{\hat{f}, \hat{g}\} = \frac{1}{i\hbar}[\hat{f}, \hat{g}]$ $\psi_n = \langle n|\psi\rangle$

$|\psi\rangle$

$\{x_i, p_j\} = \delta_{ij}$

- Assume Hamiltonian $H(\phi, \pi)$ is given.
- Hamilton equation for arbitrary $f(\phi, \pi)$:

$$\dot{f}(\phi, \pi, x, t) = \{ f(\phi, \pi, x, t), H(\phi, \pi) \}$$

with: $\{ \phi(x,t), \pi(x',t) \} = \delta^3(x - x')$

- This yields the eqns of motion:

$$\dot{\phi}(x,t) = \frac{\delta H}{\delta \pi(x,t)} \qquad \dot{\pi}(x,t) = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta \phi(x,t)} \qquad \text{(EoM)}$$

- Legendre Transform:

$$H(\phi, \pi) \xrightarrow{LT} L(\phi, \dot{\phi})$$

($\dot{\phi}$: spectator)

Example: $H := \int \frac{1}{2}\pi(x,t)^2 + V(\phi(x))\, d^3x$

$$S(x,t) := \frac{\delta H}{\delta \pi(x,t)}$$

$$\overset{EoM}{=} \dot{\phi}(x,t)$$

← Notice: this is because of the particular π^2 term in H. On curved space it will be different.

Thus:

$$L(\phi, S) = L(\phi, \dot{\phi})$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \dot{\phi}(x,t)\, \pi(\phi, \dot{\phi}, x, t)\, d^3x - H(\phi, \pi(\phi, \dot{\phi}, x, t))$$

Proposition: The eqns of motion (EoM) are equivalent to:

$$\rho(x,t) := \frac{\delta H}{\delta \pi(x,t)}$$

$$\overset{EoM}{=} \dot{\phi}(x,t)$$

← Notice: this is because of the particular π^2 term in H. On curved space it will be different.

Thus:

$$L(\phi, \rho) = L(\phi, \dot{\phi})$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \dot{\phi}(x,t)\, \pi(\phi, \dot{\phi}, x, t)\, d^3x - H(\phi, \pi(\phi, \dot{\phi}, x, t))$$

Proposition: The eqns of motion (EoM) are equivalent to:

$$\frac{\delta L}{\delta \phi(x,t)} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\delta L}{\delta \dot{\phi}(x,t)}$$

Exercise: Check

Euler Lagrange eqn.

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

Example:

$$H(\phi,\pi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\pi^2(x,t)}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\phi(x,t)\left(m^2-\Delta\right)\phi(x,t)\, d^3x$$

yields: $\dot{\phi}(x,t) = \pi(x,t)$ $\qquad \dot{\pi}(x,t) = (-m^2+\Delta)\phi(x,t)$

i.e: $\ddot{\phi} - \Delta\phi + m^2\phi = 0$ $\qquad$ K.G. eqn.

After Legendre transform:

$$L(\phi,\dot{\phi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\dot{\phi}^2(x,t)}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\phi(x,t)\left(m^2-\Delta\right)\phi(x,t)\, d^3x$$

yields directly: $-(m^2-\Delta)\phi = \ddot{\phi}$

Type here to search

ENG 5:31 PM 2020-02-04

Remark: (see arxiv.0810.4293)

a) Solving a quantum theory is to do a Fourier transform.

b) The lowest order approximation is the Legendre transform.

c) The Legendre transform yields the solution to the classical theory.

a) Consider the path integral in QFT
(covered in detail later in this course)

$$e^{-iW[J]} = \int e^{iS[\phi]} \underbrace{e^{-i\int J(x)\phi(x)\,d^4x}}_{\text{Fourier factors, (one for each } x)} \underbrace{\mathcal{D}[\phi]}_{\prod_{x\in\mathbb{R}^4} d\phi(x)}$$

$iS[\phi]$: Classical action

$J(x)$: "Source field"

$\mathcal{D}[\phi]$: (i.e. we integrate "over all fields ϕ" (the proper function space is not known))

To know $W[J]$ is to have solved the quantum field theory, because it yields all n-point correlation functions $G^{(n)}(x_1,\dots,x_n)$:

$$G^{(n)}(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \frac{\delta W[J]}{\delta J(x_1)\dots\delta J(x_n)}$$

$iW[J]$ $\qquad$ $iS[\phi]$

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

a) Solving a quantum theory is to do a Fourier transform.

b) The lowest order approximation is the Legendre transform.

c) The Legendre transform yields the solution to the classical theory.

a) Consider the path integral in QFT
(covered in detail later in this course)

$$e^{-iW[J]} = \int e^{iS[\phi]} \underbrace{e^{-i\int J(x)\phi(x)\,d^4x}}_{\text{Fourier factors, (one for each } x)} \underbrace{\mathcal{D}[\phi]}_{\prod_{x\in\mathbb{R}^4} d\phi(x)}$$

$J(x)$: "source field"

$S[\phi]$: classical action

($\mathcal{D}[\phi]$: i.e. we integrate "over all fields ϕ" (the proper function space is not known))

(To know $W[J]$ is to have solved the quantum field theory, because it yields all n-point correlation functions $G^{(n)}(x_1,\dots,x_n)$:

$$G^{(n)}(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \frac{\delta W[J]}{\delta J(x_1)\dots\delta J(x_n)}$$

$\Rightarrow$ $e^{iW[J]}$ is the Fourier transform of $e^{iS[\phi]}$.

Type here to search
ENG 5:33 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

a) Solving a quantum theory is to do a Fourier transform.

b) The lowest order approximation is the Legendre transform.

c) The Legendre transform yields the solution to the classical theory.

a) Consider the path integral in QFT
(covered in detail later in this course)

$$e^{-iW[J]} = \int e^{iS[\phi]} \underbrace{e^{-i\int J(x)\phi(x)\,d^4x}}_{\text{Fourier factors, (one for each } x)} \underbrace{\mathcal{D}[\phi]}_{\prod_{x\in\mathbb{R}^4} d\phi(x)}$$

$J(x)$: "source field"

$S[\phi]$: classical action

($\mathcal{D}[\phi]$: i.e. we integrate "over all fields ϕ" (the proper function space is not known))

(To know $W[J]$ is to have solved the quantum field theory, because it yields all n-point correlation functions $G^{(n)}(x_1,\dots,x_n)$:

$$G^{(n)}(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \frac{\delta W[J]}{\delta J(x_1)\dots\delta J(x_n)}$$

)

$\Rightarrow$ $e^{iW[J]}$ is the Fourier transform of $e^{iS[\phi]}$.

Type here to search
ENG 5:39 PM 2020-02-04

b) The integrand contributes most where it is stationary:

$$e^{-iW[J]} \approx e^{iS[\phi] - i\int J\phi\, d^4x}$$

for that ϕ for which $\frac{\delta}{\delta\phi}\left(iS[\phi] - i\int J\phi\, d^4x\right) = 0$

Condition of stationarity of the phase

i.e.

$$W^{(approx)}[J] = \int J\phi\, d^4x - S[\phi]$$

where ϕ obeys $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi}(x) = J(x)$

i.e. $W^{(approx)}[J] = \int J\,\phi[J]\, d^4x - S[\phi[J]]$ where $\phi[J]$ follows from: $\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi}(x) = J(x)$

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

c) So what is knowing $W^{approx}[J]$ good for?

Consider $S^{total}[\phi] := S[\phi] - \int J\phi\, d^4x$.

As a classical action, it describes a classical field $\phi(x)$ driven by an external "driving force" $J(x)$:

$$\frac{\delta S^{total}}{\delta \phi} = 0 \text{ , i.e., } \boxed{\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi}(x) = J(x) \quad \text{(EoM)}}$$

To solve the classical equations of motion (EoM) is to find the field $\phi(x)$ for any given driving $J(x)$.
This is what $W^{approx}[J]$ provides:

$$\boxed{\phi(x) = \frac{\delta W^{approx}[J]}{\delta J(x)}}$$ Because:

Type here to search
ENG 5:44 PM 2020-02-04

18qft9.pdf - HP Sure Click Secure View

Consider $S^{total}[\phi] := S[\phi] - \int J\phi\, d^4x$.

As a classical action, it describes a classical field $\phi(x)$ driven by an external "driving force" $J(x)$:

$$\frac{\delta S^{total}}{\delta \phi} = 0 \text{ , i.e., } \boxed{\frac{\delta S}{\delta \phi}(x) = J(x) \quad \text{(EoM)}}$$

To solve the classical equations of motion (EoM) is to find the field $\phi(x)$ for any given driving $J(x)$.

This is what $W^{approx}[J]$ provides:

$$\boxed{\phi(x) = \frac{\delta W^{approx}[J]}{\delta J(x)}}$$

Because:

$$(\text{Legendre transform})^2 = 1$$

Type here to search
ENG 5:50 PM 2020-02-04