

Title: PSI 2019/2020 - Gravitational Physics - Lecture 11

Speakers: Ruth Gregory

Collection: PSI 2019/2020 - Gravitational Physics

Date: January 20, 2020 - 10:15 AM

URL: <http://pirsa.org/20010055>

LECTURE II Kaluza - Klein Theory



LECTURE 11 Kaluza-Klein Theory

We should explore extra dims, but keep in mind that spacetime "looks" 4D.

KK achieves this by having an extra dim curled up on a small scale " $ds_5^2 = ds_4^2 + L^2 d\theta^2$ "

Consider a field on
this spacetime & expand
in Fourier modes:

$$\Psi = \psi_0(x^m) e^{i\theta/L}$$

Consider a field on
this spacetime & expand
in Fourier modes:

$$\Psi = \psi_0(x^m) e^{2\pi i \theta / L}$$

then a SD massless field
becomes:

$$D_S^2 \Psi = e^{2\pi i \theta / L} \left[D_4 \psi_0 + \frac{(2\pi)^2}{L^2} \psi_0 \right]$$

... a field on
... & expand
... modes:

$$\Psi = \psi_0(x^m) e^{2\pi i \theta}$$

... SD massless field

$$\Psi = e^{2\pi i \theta} \left[\square_4 \psi_0 + \frac{(2\pi)^2}{L^2} \psi_0 \right]$$

$$\Delta\theta = 1 \quad (\text{sorry!})$$

Length of extra dim is L .

Ψ has an effective mass $\propto 1/L$.

er a field on
acetime & expand
as modes:

$$\Psi = \psi_0(x^m) e^{2\pi i \theta}$$

a 5D massless field

$$\Psi = e^{2\pi i \theta} \left[\square_4 \psi_0 + \frac{(2\pi)^2}{L^2} \psi_0 \right]$$

$$\Delta\theta = 1 \quad (\text{sorry!})$$

Length of extra dim is L

Ψ has an effective mass $\propto 1/L$

Low energy physics does not
depend on extra dimension

Consider a field on
compact time & expand
in modes:

$$\Psi = \psi_0(x^m) e^{2\pi i \theta}$$

a 5D massless field

$$\Psi = e^{2\pi i \theta} \left[\square_4 \psi_0 + \frac{(2\pi)^2}{L^2} \psi_0 \right]$$

$$\Delta \theta = 1 \quad (\text{sorry!})$$

Length of extra dim is L

Ψ has an effective mass $\propto 1/L$

Low energy physics does not
depend on extra dimension

i.e. $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ is a Killing vector $(0 \rightarrow x)$

g_{ab} is indep of X

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} & g_{\mu s} \\ g_{s\nu} & g_{ss} \end{bmatrix}$$

g_{ab} is indep of X

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} g_{\mu 0} & g_{\mu s} \\ g_{s\nu} & g_{ss} \end{bmatrix}$$

encode as:

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - e^{2\sigma} [dX + A_\mu dx^\mu]^2$$

g_{ab} is indep of X

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} g_{\mu\nu} & g_{\mu 5} \\ g_{5\nu} & g_{55} \end{bmatrix}$$

encode as:

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - e^{2\sigma} [dX + A_\mu dx^\mu]^2$$

$$\rightarrow \det g_5 = e^{5\sigma} \det g_\mu$$

The SD m

The 5D metric splits
into 4D $g_{\mu\nu}$, A_μ , σ .
 \swarrow \downarrow \downarrow
spin-2 vector scalar

$dx^4{}^2$.

The 5D metric splits
into 4D $g_{\mu\nu}$, A_μ , σ
 \swarrow \downarrow \downarrow
 spin-2 vector scalar

To compute 4D effective
theory, must see how 5D
Einstein-Hilbert action decomposes.

Use Cartan - example of off-diagonal terms.

$$\cdot \underline{\omega}^{\hat{a}} = e_{\cdot}^{\hat{a}}{}_{\mu} dx^{\mu}$$

$$\cdot \underline{d}\underline{\omega}^{\hat{a}} = -Q_{\cdot}^{\hat{a}}{}_{\hat{b}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}}$$

$$\underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} = e^{\sigma} [dx + A_{\mu} dx^{\mu}]$$

$$\underline{d}\underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} = \sigma_{\hat{a}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{a}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} + e^{\sigma} dA$$

Use Cartan - example of off-diagonal terms.

$$\bullet \underline{\omega}^{\hat{a}} = e_{\mu}^{\hat{a}} dx^{\mu}$$

$$\bullet \underline{d\omega}^{\hat{a}} = -Q_{\hat{a}}^{\hat{b}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}}$$

$$\underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} = e^{\sigma} [dx + A_{\mu} dx^{\mu}]$$

$$\underline{d\omega}^{\hat{x}} = \sigma_{\hat{a}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{a}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} + e^{\sigma} \underbrace{dA}_{F}$$

$$= -Q^{\hat{x}}_{\hat{a}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{a}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{Q}^{\hat{x}}_{\hat{a}} = \sigma_{\hat{a}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\sigma} F_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}}$$

Use Cartan - example of off-diagonal terms.

$$\bullet \underline{\omega}^{\hat{a}} = e_{\cdot}^{\hat{a}}{}_{\mu} dx^{\mu}$$

$$\bullet \underline{d}\omega^{\hat{a}} = -Q_{\cdot}^{\hat{a}}{}_{\hat{b}} \wedge \omega^{\hat{b}}$$

$$= -Q^{\hat{a}}{}_{\hat{b}} \wedge \omega^{\hat{b}} - \underline{D}_{\hat{x}}^{\hat{a}} \wedge \omega^{\hat{x}}$$

$$\omega^{\hat{x}} = e^{\sigma} [dx + A_{\mu} dx^{\mu}]$$

$$\underline{d}\omega^{\hat{x}} = \sigma_{\hat{a}} \omega^{\hat{a}} \wedge \omega^{\hat{x}} + e^{\sigma} \underbrace{dA}_{F}$$

$$= -Q^{\hat{x}}{}_{\hat{a}} \wedge \omega^{\hat{a}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{Q}^{\hat{x}}{}_{\hat{a}} = \sigma_{\hat{a}} \omega^{\hat{x}} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\sigma} F_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \omega^{\hat{b}}$$

Use Cartan - example of off-diagonal terms.

$$\cdot \underline{\omega}^{\hat{a}} = e_o^{\hat{a}}{}_{\mu} dx^{\mu}$$

$$\cdot \underline{d}\underline{\omega}^{\hat{a}} = -\Theta_o^{\hat{a}}{}_{\hat{b}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}}$$

$$= -\Theta_o^{\hat{a}}{}_{\hat{b}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}} - \Theta_{\hat{x}}^{\hat{a}}{}_{\hat{x}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}}$$

$$\underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} = e^{\sigma} [dx + A_{\mu} dx^{\mu}]$$

$$\underline{d}\underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} = \sigma_a \underline{\omega}^{\hat{a}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} + e^{\sigma} \underbrace{dA}_{F}$$

$$= -\Theta_{\hat{x}}^{\hat{a}}{}_{\hat{a}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{a}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Theta_{\hat{x}}^{\hat{a}}{}_{\hat{a}} = \sigma_{\hat{a}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\sigma} F_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Theta_o^{\hat{a}}{}_{\hat{b}} = \Theta_o^{\hat{a}}{}_{\hat{b}} + \frac{1}{2} e^{\sigma} F_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}}$$

$$\rightarrow \det g_s = e^\sigma \det g_p$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{e.g. } \underline{R}^{\hat{x}}_{\hat{a}} &= d\underline{\Theta}^{\hat{x}}_{\hat{a}} + \underline{\Theta}^{\hat{x}}_{\hat{b}} \wedge \underline{\Theta}^{\hat{b}}_{\hat{a}} \\ &= \sigma_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} + \sigma_{,\hat{a}} \left[\sigma_{,\hat{b}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} + e^\sigma F \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} e^\sigma \left[\sigma_{,2} F_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{c}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}} + F_{\hat{a}\hat{b},\hat{c}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{c}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}} - F_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \underline{\Theta}^{\hat{b}}_{\hat{c}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{c}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$\rightarrow \det g_5 = e^\sigma \det g_0$

Einstein-Hilbert action decomposes

e.g. $R^{\hat{x}}_{\hat{a}} = d \Theta^{\hat{x}}_{\hat{a}} + \Theta^{\hat{x}}_{\hat{b}} \wedge \Theta^{\hat{b}}_{\hat{a}}$
 $= \sigma_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} + \sigma_{\hat{a}} [\sigma_{\hat{b}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} + e^\sigma F]$
 $+ \frac{1}{2} e^\sigma [\sigma_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} F_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{c}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}} + F_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{c}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{b}} - F_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \Theta_{\hat{c}}^{\hat{b}} \wedge \underline{\omega}^{\hat{c}}]$
 $+ \sigma_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}} \wedge \Theta_{\hat{a}}^{\hat{b}} + \frac{1}{2} e^\sigma F_{\hat{b}\hat{c}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{c}} \wedge \Theta_{\hat{a}}^{\hat{b}} + \frac{1}{4} e^{2\sigma} F_{\hat{b}\hat{c}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{c}} \wedge (F_{\hat{a}}^{\hat{b}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{x}})$

$\rightarrow \det g_5 = e^\sigma \det g_0$

Einstein-Hilbert action decomposes

$\Theta^{\hat{a}}$

e.g. $R^{\hat{x}}_{\hat{a}} = d\Theta^{\hat{x}}_{\hat{a}} + \Theta^{\hat{x}}_{\hat{b}} \wedge \Theta^{\hat{z}}_{\hat{a}}$
 $= \sigma_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \underline{\omega^{\hat{b}} \wedge \omega^{\hat{x}}} + \sigma_{\hat{a}} [\sigma_{\hat{b}} \underline{\omega^{\hat{b}} \wedge \omega^{\hat{x}}} + e^\sigma F]$
 $+ \frac{1}{2} e^\sigma [\sigma_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} F_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \underline{\omega^{\hat{c}} \wedge \omega^{\hat{b}}} + F_{\hat{a}\hat{b}\hat{c}} \underline{\omega^{\hat{c}} \wedge \omega^{\hat{b}}} - F_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \underline{\Theta^{\hat{b}}_{\hat{c}} \wedge \omega^{\hat{c}}}]$
 $+ \sigma_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \underline{\omega^{\hat{x}} \wedge \Theta^{\hat{b}}_{\hat{a}}} + \frac{1}{2} e^\sigma F_{\hat{b}\hat{c}} \underline{\omega^{\hat{c}} \wedge \Theta^{\hat{b}}_{\hat{a}}} + \frac{1}{4} e^{2\sigma} F_{\hat{b}\hat{c}} \underline{\omega^{\hat{c}} \wedge (F^{\hat{b}}_{\hat{a}} \omega^{\hat{x}})}$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left[\sigma_{,ab} + \sigma_{,a} \sigma_{,b} - \sigma_{,c} \Gamma_{ob}^{\hat{c}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{4} e^{2\sigma} F_{\hat{c}\hat{b}} F^{\hat{c}\hat{a}} \right] \underline{\omega}_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{c}} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} e^{\sigma} \underline{\omega}_{\hat{b}}^{\hat{a}} \underline{\omega}^{\hat{c}} \left[\sigma_{,a} F_{\hat{b}\hat{c}} - \sigma_{,c} F_{\hat{a}\hat{b}} - F_{\hat{a}\hat{b},\hat{c}} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - F_{\hat{a}\hat{d}} \Gamma_{\hat{b}\hat{c}}^{\hat{d}} + F_{\hat{d}\hat{b}} \Gamma_{\hat{c}\hat{a}}^{\hat{d}} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$\underline{\omega}_{\hat{a}}^{\hat{b}}$
 $\underline{\omega}^{\hat{a}}$

Need $R^{\hat{a}\hat{a}}_{\hat{b}\hat{b}} = -\nabla^{\hat{a}}\nabla_{\hat{b}}\sigma - \nabla^{\hat{a}}\sigma\nabla_{\hat{b}}\sigma - \frac{e^{2\sigma}}{4}F^{\hat{a}\hat{c}}F_{\hat{b}\hat{c}}$ (A.I.N.)

Need $R^{\hat{a}\hat{a}} \hat{\lambda}_{\hat{b}} = -\nabla^{\hat{a}} \nabla_{\hat{b}} \sigma - \nabla^{\hat{a}} \sigma \nabla_{\hat{b}} \sigma - \frac{e^{2\sigma}}{4} F^{\hat{a}\hat{c}} F_{\hat{b}\hat{c}} \quad (\text{A.I.N.})$

A similar board's-worth of computation (see notes) gives

$$R^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \hat{\lambda}_{\hat{a}} = R_0^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \hat{\lambda}_{\hat{a}} + \frac{1}{4} e^{2\sigma} (F^{\hat{a}}_{\hat{c}} F^{\hat{b}}_{\hat{d}} - F^{\hat{a}}_{\hat{d}} F^{\hat{b}}_{\hat{c}} + 2F^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} F_{\hat{c}\hat{d}})$$

Need $R^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{b}} = -\nabla^{\hat{a}} \nabla_{\hat{b}} \sigma - \nabla^{\hat{a}} \sigma \nabla_{\hat{b}} \sigma - \frac{e^{2\sigma}}{4} F^{\hat{a}\hat{c}} F_{\hat{b}\hat{c}}$ (A.I.N.)

A similar board's-worth of computation (see notes) gives

$$R^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}} = R_0^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}} + \frac{1}{4} e^{2\sigma} (F^{\hat{a}\hat{c}} F_{\hat{c}\hat{a}}^{\hat{b}} - F^{\hat{a}\hat{a}} F_{\hat{c}\hat{c}}^{\hat{b}} + 2F^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} F_{\hat{c}\hat{a}})$$

$$\Rightarrow R^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{a}} = -\square\sigma - (\partial\sigma)^2 - \frac{1}{4} e^{2\sigma} F^2 \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \\ \\ \end{array} \right\} R = R_0 - 2\square\sigma - 2(\partial\sigma)^2 + \frac{1}{4} e^{2\sigma} F^2$$

$$R^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{b}} = R_0^{\hat{a}\hat{b}} \hat{\chi}_{\hat{b}} + \frac{1}{4} e^{2\sigma} (2F^{\hat{a}\hat{c}} F_{\hat{b}\hat{c}}) - \nabla^{\hat{a}} \nabla_{\hat{b}} \sigma - \sigma^{\hat{a}} \sigma_{\hat{b}}$$

(A.I.N.)

gives

F_{ab}

$-2\Box\sigma$

$(\Box\sigma)^2 + \frac{1}{4}e^{2\sigma}F^2$

$$\text{Thus } \sqrt{g_5} R_5 = e^\sigma \sqrt{g_0} \left[R_0 - 2e^{-\sigma} \Box e^\sigma + \frac{1}{4}e^{2\sigma} F^2 \right]$$

$$\text{ie } S_5 = -\frac{1}{16\pi G_5} \int d^4x d\chi \sqrt{g_0} \left[e^\sigma R_0 - 2 \Box e^\sigma + \frac{1}{4}e^{3\sigma} F^2 \right]$$

$\frac{1}{\partial\chi}$ BOUNDARY

$$= -\frac{L}{16\pi G_5} \int d^4x \sqrt{g_0} \left[e^\sigma R_0 + \frac{1}{4}e^{3\sigma} F^2 \right]$$

For constant σ , this is Einstein-Maxwell, but we need a mechanism to fix σ . But in general σ can vary.

For constant σ , this is Einstein-Maxwell, but we need a mechanism to fix σ . But in general σ can vary. Looks like scalar-tensor.

For constant σ , this is Einstein-Maxwell, but we need a mechanism to fix σ . But in general σ can vary. Looks like scalar-tensor. $g_{\mu\nu}$ is not the canonical Einstein-Hilbert term.

Transform to a

g

Transform to a conformal frame:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2(x) \underline{g}_{\mu\nu}.$$

rescales distances/norms, but not
angles.

Transform to a conformal frame:

$$g_{\mu\nu} = \Omega^2(x) \underline{g}_{\mu\nu}$$

rescales distances/norms, but not
angles. Ques (EX)

$$R_o = \Omega^{-2} \left(R - 6 \Omega^{-1} \square \Omega \right)$$

↑
4DIMS

ne:

$$\sqrt{g_0} R_0 = \Omega^4 \sqrt{g} \Omega^{-2} (R - 6\Omega^{-1} \square \Omega)$$

at

$$\text{Set } \frac{-\sigma/2}{\sigma} : = e^{-\sigma} \sqrt{g} \left(R + 3\square\sigma - \frac{3}{2}(\nabla\sigma)^2 \right)$$

$\square\Omega$)

ne:

$$\sqrt{g_0} R_0 = \Omega^4 \sqrt{g} \Omega^{-2} (R - 6\Omega^{-1} \square \Omega)$$

Set $\sigma = \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{3}}$:

$$\Omega = e^{-\sigma/\sqrt{3}} = e^{-\sigma} \sqrt{g} (R + 3\square\sigma - \frac{3}{2}(\nabla\sigma)^2)$$

ot

Finally, redefine $\phi = \sigma/\sqrt{3}$ to canonically normalise scalar:

$\square \Omega$)

$$S_5 = \frac{1}{16\pi(G_5/L)} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[-R + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{e^{\sqrt{3}\phi}}{4} F^2 \right]$$

ame.

$$\sqrt{g_0} R_0 = \Omega^4 \sqrt{g} \Omega^{-2} (R - 6\Omega^{-1} \square \Omega)$$

not

Set $\sigma = \phi/\sqrt{3}$

$$\Omega = e^{-\sigma/2} = e^{-\sigma} \sqrt{g} (R + 3\square\sigma - \frac{3}{2}(\nabla\sigma)^2)$$

Finally, redefine $\sigma = \phi/\sqrt{3}$ to canonically normalise scalar:

$$S_5 = \frac{1}{16\pi \underbrace{(45/L)}_{G_4}} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} \left[-R + \frac{1}{2}(\partial\phi)^2 - \frac{e^{\sqrt{3}\phi}}{4} F^2 \right]$$

the canonical Einstein-Hilbert term.

$$ds^2 = e^{-\sqrt{3}\phi} g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu - e^{2\sqrt{3}\phi} [d\chi + A_\mu dx^\mu]^2$$

Note: G_5 is renormalized: $G_4 = G_N = G_5/L$.

If L is not at Planck scale, we have a hierarchy between G_5 & G_4 .

4DIMS

$(6\pi^2(45/L))$
94

j^2 | Can perform KK reduction for n extra dims.

4DIMS

$(6\pi^2 R^6)$
94

Can perform KK reduction for n extra dims.

- If internal space has curvature, this introduces a potential for $\sigma \sim e^{\# \sigma} R_{int}$.

4DIMS

$(6\pi(45/L))$
94

Can perform KK reduction for n extra dims.

- If internal space has curvature, this introduces a potential for $\sigma \sim e^{\# \sigma} R_{int}$.
- If internal manifold has algebra of Killing vectors,

4DIMS

(6TT(4SL))
94

Can perform KK reduction for n extra dims.

- If internal space has curvature, this introduces a potential for $\sigma \sim e^{\# \sigma} R_{int}$.

- If internal manifold has algebra of Killing vectors, have gauge field for each Killing vector \rightarrow nonabelian gauge gp.

$$[dy^i + \sum_a A_{a\mu} dx^\mu]$$

e.g. SO(3) alg