Title: A multivariable approach to renormalisation: Meromorphic germs with linear poles and the geometry of cones
Speakers: Sylvie Paycha

## Series: Colloquium

Date: November 13, 2019-2:00 PM
URL: http://pirsa.org/19110114
Abstract: Analytic renormalisation "Ã la Speer" using a multivariable approach typically leads to meromorphic germs in several variables whose poles are linear.\  In particular,\  Feynman integrals, multizeta functions and their generalisations, namely\  discrete sums on cones and discrete sums associated with trees give rise to meromorphic germs at zero with linear poles. We shall present\  a multivariable renormalisation scheme which amounts to a minimal subtraction scheme in several variables. It preserves locality in so far as the\  evaluation at poles is expected to factor\  on functions with independent sets of variables. Inspired by Speer, we shall discuss a class of generalised evaluators that do the job. Using a theory of\  Laurent expansions\  on meromorphic germs with linear poles at zero, we shall relate these generalised evaluators to the geometry of cones.
\ 
This talk is based on joint work with Pierre Clavier, Li Guo and Bin Zhang.
\ 

# Multivariable renormalisation: <br> Meromorphic germs with linear poles and the geometry of cones 

Sylvie Paycha<br>University of Potsdam<br>On leave from the University Clermont-Auvergne joint work with Pierre Clavier, Li Guo and Bin Zhang

Perimeter Institute, November 13th 2019

## Divergent sums and integrals

## Occurence of singularities/ divergences

- at $s=1$ in the Riemann $\zeta$-function $\zeta(s):=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{-s}$;
- at $\infty$ in the ill-defined Feynman integral $" \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} \frac{1}{|k|^{2}+m^{2}} d k=\operatorname{Vol}\left(S^{3}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{3}}{r^{2}+m^{2}} d r$ ".


## Extracting divergences

- $\zeta$-function: $\zeta(s)-\underbrace{\frac{1}{s-1}}_{\text {counterterm }}=\gamma+O(|s-1|) \underset{s \rightarrow 1}{\longrightarrow} \gamma=: \zeta^{\text {reg }}(1)$
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## Extracting divergences

- $\zeta$-function: $\zeta(s)-\underbrace{\frac{1}{s-1}}_{\text {counterterm }}=\gamma+\mathcal{O} \cdot(|s-1|) \underset{s \rightarrow 1}{\longrightarrow} \gamma=: \zeta^{\text {reg }}(1)$
- Feynman integrals: $\int_{0}^{R} \frac{r^{3}}{r^{2}+m^{2}} d r-\underbrace{\left(\frac{R^{2}}{2}+m^{2} \log R\right)}_{\text {counterterm }}$

$$
\overrightarrow{R \rightarrow \infty} \vec{\longrightarrow} m^{2} \log m=: \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{3}}{r^{2}+m^{2}} d r .
$$

## Higher order singularities/ divergences

## Divergent products of sums and integrals

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(\zeta(s))^{2}-\text { counterterms } \underset{s \rightarrow 1}{?} \zeta^{r e g}(1)^{2}=\gamma^{2} ; \\
\left(\int_{0}^{R} \frac{r^{3}}{r^{2}+m^{2}} d r\right)^{2}-\text { counterterms } \underset{R \rightarrow \infty}{?}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{r^{3}}{r^{2}+m^{2}} d r\right)^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

Divergent counterterms might combine with convergent terms to contribute to finite terms.

## Sums and integrals associated with higher algebraic structures

- multiple integrals associated with Feynman diagrams.
- multizeta functions (nested sums) that generalise to
- conical zeta functions associated with cones;
- branched zeta functions associated with trees.


## higher divergences

## A first naive approach

- $f_{i}(z)=a_{i} z^{-1}+h_{i}(z) \in \mathcal{M}$, the set of meromorphic germs in one variable with a simple pole at zero;
- Subtract the pole and evaluate the holomorphic part at the zero pole: $f_{i}^{\text {reg }}(0)=\lim _{z \rightarrow 0}(f_{i}(z)-\underbrace{a_{i} z^{-1}}_{\text {counterterms }}):=h_{i}(0)$.
- Loss of multiplicativity: $\left(f_{1}(z) f_{2}(z)-\right.$ counterterms $) \underset{z \rightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow}$ $\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)^{\text {reg }}(0):=$ $f_{1}^{\text {reg }}(0) f_{2}^{\text {reg }}(0)+\underbrace{a_{1} \cdot h_{2}^{\prime}(0)+a_{2} \cdot h_{1}^{\prime}(0)}_{\text {extra terms }} \neq f_{1}^{\text {reg }}(0) f_{2}^{\text {reg }}(0)$.
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## Example

$$
\left(f_{1}(z)=z \wedge f_{2}(z)=\frac{1}{z}\right) \Longrightarrow f_{1}^{r e g}(0) f_{2}^{r e g}(0)=0 \neq 1=\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)^{r e g}(0) .
$$

## Dealing with higher divergences 2

## approach: a multivariable point of view

- multivariable meromorphic germ:

$$
f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)=\underbrace{\frac{a_{1} a_{2}}{z_{1} z_{2}}+a_{1} h_{2}^{\prime}(0) \frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}}+a_{2} h_{1}^{\prime}(0) \frac{z_{1}}{z_{2}}}_{\text {counterterms }}+h_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) h_{2}\left(z_{2}\right) ;
$$

- independence/ locality/ orthogonality relation: $\frac{1}{z_{1}} \perp z_{2} ; \frac{1}{z_{2}} \perp z_{1}$;
- $\left(f_{1}\left(z_{1}\right) f_{2}\left(z_{2}\right)\right.$ - counterterms $) \underset{z_{i} \rightarrow 0}{\longrightarrow} h_{1}(0) h_{2}(0)=:\left(f_{1} f_{1}\right)^{\text {reg }}(0)$.


## Partial multiplicativity in a locality set up

Multiplicativity holds for independent functions:

$$
f_{1} \perp f_{2} \Longrightarrow f_{1}{ }^{\text {reg }}(0) f_{2}^{\text {reg }}(0)=\left(f_{1} f_{2}\right)^{\text {reg }}(0) .
$$

## The need for meromorphic germs in several variables

## Multiple variable (analytic) renormalisation

has various assets

- it avoids "fake" finite terms;
- and hence preserves locality;
- it amounts to a "minimal subtraction scheme" in several variables;
- it applies to any theory that gives rise to meromorphic germs with linear poles.


## The price to pay

is the introduction of

- multivariable meromorphic germs;
- locality/independence in order to keep the variables separate.


## II. Meromorphic germs

## Meromorphic germs in several variables

## Meromorphic germs with

- $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right) \ni f=\frac{h\left(\ell_{1}, \cdots, \ell_{n}\right)}{L_{1}^{1 . \ldots} \ldots L_{n}^{\text {s. }}}, h$ holomorphic germ, $s_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$,
- $\ell_{i}: \mathbb{C}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, L_{j}: \mathbb{C}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ linear forms with real coefficients (lie in $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$ ).
- Example: $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \longmapsto \frac{z_{1}-z_{2}}{z_{1}+z_{2}}$.

Independence of meromorphic germs

- Dependence set $\operatorname{Dep}(f):=\left\langle\ell_{1}, \cdots, \ell_{m}, L_{1}, \cdots, L_{n}\right\rangle$.
- An inner product $Q$ on $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ induces one on $\mathcal{L}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$ and we set

$$
f_{1} \perp^{Q} f_{2} \Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{Dep}\left(f_{1}\right) \perp^{Q} \operatorname{Dep}\left(f_{2}\right) .
$$

- $\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right) \perp^{Q}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)$ with $Q$ : canonical inner product on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.


## Polar germs and cones

A $Q$-polar germ in $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right): S:=\frac{h\left(\ell_{1}, \cdots, \ell_{m}\right)}{L_{1}^{1} \cdots L_{n}^{2}}$, such that

- $h$ is holomorphic at zero i.e. $h \in \mathcal{M}_{+}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$;
- $\ell_{1}, \cdots, \ell_{m}, L_{1}, \cdots, L_{n}$ are linearly independent and $\left\langle\ell_{1}, \cdots, \ell_{m}\right\rangle \perp^{Q}\left\langle L_{1}, \cdots, L_{n}\right\rangle$.

Polar germs generate the subspace $\mathcal{M}_{-}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right) \subset \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$.

## Supporting cones

- supporting cone in $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ of the germ $S: C(S):=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathbb{R}_{+} L_{i}$;
- A family of cones is properly positioned if the cones meet along faces and their union does not contain any nontrivial subspace;
- A family $S_{j}, j \in J$ of polar germs whose supporting cones form a family of properly positioned cones is called properly positioned.


## Decomposition of meromorphic germs

## Theorem

(L. Guo, S.-P., B. Zhang 2017)Given a meromorphic germ $f \in \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$, there exists a finite set of polar germs

$$
\mathcal{M}_{-}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right) \ni\left\{S_{j}=\frac{h_{j}}{L_{j 1}^{s_{11}} \cdots L_{j n_{j}}^{s_{j_{j}}}}\right\}_{j \in J}
$$

- that are properly positioned,
- whose denominators are pairwise not proportional,
- and a holomorphic germ $h$,

$$
\text { such that } \quad f=\left[\sum_{j \in J} S_{j}\right]+h \text {. }
$$

The holomorphic germ $h$ is unique yet the decomposition is not

## An example of Laurent expansion

## Definition

The decomposition $f=\sum S_{i}+h=: \mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{C}}(f)$ is a $\mathcal{C}$-Laurent expansion of $f$ with $\mathcal{C}=\left\{\left(C\left(S_{j}\right)\right), j \in J\right\}$, a properly positioned family of simplicial cones.

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right):=\frac{z_{1}-z_{2}}{z_{1} z_{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)} \text { non simplicial } \\
&=\frac{1}{z_{1} z_{2}}-\frac{2}{z_{1}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)} \quad \text { non properly positioned } \\
&=\frac{1}{z_{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)}-\frac{1}{z_{1}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)} \quad \text { properly positioned. } \\
& \mathcal{C}:=\left\{\left\langle e_{1}, e_{1}+e_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle e_{2}, e_{1}+e_{2}\right\rangle\right\} ; \quad \mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{C}}(f)=\frac{1}{z_{2}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)}-\frac{1}{z_{1}\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Linear poles arise from constraints

Multiple sums and integrals with certain types of constraints give rise to meromorphic germs with linear poles.

The constraints come from the underlying algebraic structure:

- Graphs: Feynman integrals associated with Feynman graphs in quantum field theory;
- Cones: Integrals and sums associated with cones in equivariant geometry and string theory;
- Trees: Integrals and sums associated with trees in number theory.

One wants to evaluate at zero such meromorphic germs, which is possible via generalised evaluators once we know that they have linear poles.

## Multiple sums and integrals with constraints: prototypes

## Feynman integrals (N.-V. Dang, B. Zhang 2017)

- Multiple integrals associated with Feynman diagrams;
- Constraints given by conservation of momentum;linear constraints in the integration variables (internal momenta) $k_{\ell}$ and parameters (external momenta) $p_{j}$.

Multizeta functions (D. Manchon, S.-P. 2010)

- Nested multiple sums $\zeta\left(s_{1}, \cdots, s_{k}\right):=\sum_{0<n_{k}<\cdots<n_{1}} n_{1}^{-s_{1}} \cdots n_{k}^{-s_{k}}$
- Conical constraints: $0<x_{k}<\cdots<x_{1}$ given by Chen cones.


## Multiple sums and integrals with constraints II

Multizeta functions generalise to

```
Conical zeta functions (L. Guo, S.-P., B. Zhang 2017)
```

- Discrete sums associated with general (strongly) convex polyhedral cones obtained as "moments" of generating exponential sums (Laplace transforms) on the cone;
- with general conical constraints given by intersecting half spaces;

Branched zeta functions (P. Clavier, L. Guo, S. P., B. Zhang 2019)

- Discrete sums associated with trees;
- with tree-like constraints which generalise the nested constraints on ladder trees.


## IV. Evaluating germs at zero

## Extending the evaluation at zero beyond holomorphic germs

## Generalised evaluators (inspired by Speer)

A generalised (resp. holomorphic) evaluator on $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$ is a linear form (resp. map) $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right) \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, (resp. $\left.E: \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{+}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)\right)$ such that $\left.\mathcal{E}\right|_{\mathcal{M}_{+}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)}=e v_{0}$, resp. $\left.E\right|_{\mathcal{M}_{+}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)}=I d$.

## Q-Generalised evaluators

A $Q$-generalised (resp. holomorphic) evaluator on $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$ is a generalised (resp. holomorphic) evaluator on $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$ which is partially multiplicative (locality):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(f \perp^{Q} g\right) \Longrightarrow\left(\mathcal{E}^{Q}(f g)=\mathcal{E}^{Q}(f) \mathcal{E}^{Q}(g)\right) \\
& \left(f \perp^{Q} g\right) \Longrightarrow\left(E^{Q}(f g)=E^{Q}(f) E^{Q}(g)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## Examples of generalised evaluators

## Minimal subtraction scheme in several variables

- (L. Guo, S.-P., B. Zhang/ N. Berline, M. Vergne 2015) $Q$-orthogonal splitting: $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)=\mathcal{M}_{+}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right) \oplus^{\perp^{Q}} \mathcal{M}_{-}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$;
$\bullet \Longrightarrow \pi_{+}{ }^{Q}: \mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{+}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$ is a $Q$-holomorphic evaluator (locality morphism);
- $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{E}^{Q}=\mathrm{ev}_{0} \circ \pi_{+}{ }^{Q}$ is a $Q$-generalised evaluator.
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## Interlude: Cones versus fractions

The Laplace transform of a simplicial cone $C\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} v_{i}$ generated by a basis $\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{C\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}\right)} e^{-\langle x, \epsilon\rangle} d x & =\prod_{i=1}^{k} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\left\langle t_{i}\left\langle v_{i}, \epsilon\right\rangle\right\rangle} d t_{i} \\
& =\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{k}\left\langle v_{i}, \epsilon\right\rangle}=\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} L_{i}(\epsilon)}
\end{aligned}
$$

- $\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} L_{i}(\epsilon)} \longleftrightarrow C\left(v_{1}, \cdots, v_{k}\right)$ (for simplicial cones);
- $\frac{1}{\prod_{i=1}^{k} L_{i}^{s_{i}}(\epsilon)} \longleftrightarrow C\left(\left(v_{1}, s_{1}\right) ; \cdots ;\left(v_{k}, s_{k}\right)\right)$ (decorated cones).


## Q-Generalised evaluators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S=\frac{h\left(\ell_{1}, \cdots, \ell_{m}\right)}{L_{1}^{1} \ldots L_{n}^{s n}} \Longrightarrow \text { (using partial multiplicativity) } \\
& \mathcal{E}^{Q}(S)=\mathcal{E}^{Q}\left(h\left(\ell_{1}, \cdots, \ell_{m}\right)\right) \mathcal{E}^{Q}\left(\frac{1}{\left.L_{1}^{s_{1} \ldots L_{n}^{s_{n} n}}\right)=h(0) \mathcal{E}^{Q}\left(\frac{1}{L_{1}^{1} \ldots L_{n}^{s_{n}}}\right)}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

So $Q$-generalised evaluators on polar germs are determined by their values on the (decorated) supporting cones.

## On general meromorphic germs

$$
\mathcal{E}^{Q}(f)=\sum_{j \in J} \mathcal{E}^{Q}\left(S_{j}\right)+\mathcal{E}(h)=\sum_{j \in J} h_{j}(0) \mathcal{E}^{Q}\left(\frac{1}{L_{j 1}^{S_{1}} \cdots L_{j n}^{S_{n}}}\right)+h(0) .
$$

## Q-Generalised evaluators

## Theorem (P. Clavier, L. Guo, S. P., B. Zhang 2019)

$Q$-generalised evaluators on general meromorphic germs are determined by their values on the family of (decorated) supporting cones.

## In progress

- This can be generalised replacing the orthogonality relation $\perp^{Q}$ by a more general regular locality relation
orthogonality relation $\perp \longleftrightarrow$ orthogonal complement $X \rightarrow X^{\perp}$ regular locality relation $T \longleftrightarrow$ complement map $X \rightarrow X^{\top}$
- The Galois group of automorphisms of $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$ that are the identity on $\mathcal{M}_{+}\left(\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$ acts on generalised evaluators.
- The general linear group acts transitively on generalised evaluators determined by inner products.

