

Title: Emmy Noether's two theorems, a hundred years later

Date: Dec 05, 2018 02:00 PM

URL: <http://pirsa.org/18120020>

Abstract: <p>A century ago, Emmy Noether published an article, "Invariante Variationsprobleme", which contained 2 theorems on symmetries and conservation laws in the Gottingen Nachrichten. How original, how modern, how influential were her results? Did they shed light on the question of energy conservation that had arisen in the general theory of relativity? I shall comment on the curious transmission of her theorems and the later recognition of their wide applicability in very numerous fields of physics.</p>

Emmy Noether's Two Theorems A Hundred Years Later

Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach
Paris

Colloquium
Perimeter Institute
5 December, 2018

Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach

Emmy Noether's Two Theorems, A Hundred Years Later

Situating Noether's theorems in context

- Predecessors
- Circumstances: Erlangen, Göttingen
- Facts: her article of 1918
- Analysis:
 - how original was Noether's Invariante Variationsprobleme?
 - how modern was it?her use of Lie groups and introduction of generalized vector fields,
 - how influential was it?its reception 1918-1970.
- Transmission
 - translations: Russian, English, Italian, French, others?
 - developments: Vinogradov, Olver, ...
- Conclusion

A mathematician in Germany in the early XXth century

Emmy Noether

Erlangen (Bavaria, Germany), 1882 – Bryn Mawr (Pennsylvania, USA), 1935

A family of mathematicians

- Emmy Noether was born to a Jewish family in Erlangen in 1882. In a manuscript *curriculum vitae*, written circa 1907, she describes herself as “of Bavarian nationality and Israelite confession” (declaring one’s religion was compulsory in Germany at the time).

- She was the daughter of the renowned mathematician, Max Noether, professor at the University of Erlangen.

Extraordinary professor when he first moved to Erlangen from Heidelberg in 1875, he became ordinary professor in 1888.

- Her brother, Fritz Noether, born in 1884, studied mathematics and physics in Erlangen and Munich, became professor of theoretical mechanics in Karlsruhe in 1902 and submitted his *Habilitation* in 1912.

Later he became professor in Breslau, from where he was forced to leave in 1933. He emigrated to the Soviet Union and was appointed professor at the university of Tomsk. He was accused of being a German spy, jailed and shot in 1941.

The young Emmy Noether



Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach

Emmy Noether's Two Theorems, A Hundred Years Later

Circumstances: Erlangen

She first studied languages to become a teacher of French and English.

- From 1900 on, she studied mathematics,
- in Erlangen, first with her father, then auditing lectures at the university.
- For a semester in 1903-1904, she audited courses in Göttingen.
- In 1904, she was permitted to matriculate in Erlangen.
- In 1907, she completed her doctorate under the direction of **Paul Gordan** (1837-1912), a colleague of her father.

Warning. Do not confuse the mathematician Paul Gordan, Emmy Noether's „Doktorvater“, with the physicist Walter Gordon (1893–1939).

- The “Clebsch-Gordan coefficients” in quantum mechanics bear the name of the mathematician Paul Gordan, together with that of the physicist and mathematician Alfred Clebsch (1833–1872).
- The “Klein-Gordon equation” is named after Walter Gordon and the physicist Oskar Klein (1894–1977) who, in turn, should not be confused with the mathematician Felix Klein (1849-1925) about whom more later.

1907, her thesis at Erlangen University

Über die Bildung des Formensystems der ternären biquadratischen Form
(“On the construction of the system of forms of a ternary biquadratic form”)

Her thesis dealt with the search for the invariants of those forms (homogeneous polynomials) which are ternary (in 3 variables) and biquadratic (of degree 4).

- An extract appeared in the *Sitzungsberichte der Physikalisch-medizinischen Societät zu Erlangen* in 1907.
- The complete text was published in 1908 in “Crelle’s Journal” (*Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*).
- She later distanced herself from her early work as a needlessly computational approach to the problem.
- After 1911, she was influenced by [Ernst Fischer](#) (1875–1954) who was appointed professor in Erlangen after Gordan had retired in 1910.
- From 1913 on, she occasionally substituted for her father.

Expertise in invariant theory

Noether's expertise in **invariant theory** revealed itself in the publications that followed her thesis (1911, 1913, 1915). It was later confirmed in the four articles on the invariants of finite groups that she published in **1916** in the *Mathematische Annalen*. She studied in particular the determination of bases of invariants that furnish expansions as linear combinations with integral or rational coefficients.

Circumstances: Göttingen

- In 1915, Felix Klein and David Hilbert invited Noether to Göttingen in the hope that her expertise on invariant theory would help them understand some of the implications of **Einstein's newly formulated theory of General Relativity**.
- In Göttingen, Noether took an active part in Klein's seminar.
- It was in her 1918 article that she solved a problem arising in the theory of General Relativity and proved "the Noether theorems".
She proved and vastly generalized a **conjecture made by Hilbert** concerning the nature of the law of conservation of energy.
- Shortly afterwards, her work turned to **pure algebra**, for which she is mainly remembered, as the creator of "abstract algebra".
She is (rightly) considered as **one of the greatest mathematicians** of the twentieth century.

A timeline

In 1918, Noether published a first article on the problem of the invariants of differential equations in the *Göttinger Nachrichten*, “Invarianten beliebiger Differentialausdrücke”:

Invariants of arbitrary differential expressions
presented by F. Klein at the meeting of 25 January 1918
[the meeting is that of the Göttingen Scientific Society]

The article that contains Noether’s two theorems is
“Invariante Variationsprobleme”:

Invariant variational problems
presented by F. Klein at the meeting of 26 July 1918*

with the footnote:

*The definitive version of the manuscript was prepared only
at the end of September.

[Thus, we are approximately on time to celebrate the centenary.]

Hilbert, Einstein, Noether, 1916

It is clear from a letter from Hilbert to Einstein of 27 May 1916 that Noether had then already written some notes on the subject of the problems arising in the theory of General Relativity:

“My law of [conservation of] energy is probably linked to yours; I have already given Miss Noether this question to study.”

Hilbert adds that, to avoid a long explanation, he has appended to his letter “the enclosed note of Miss Noether.”

On 30 May 1916, Einstein answered him in a brief letter in which he derived a consequence from the equation that Hilbert had proposed “which deprives the theorem of its sense”, and then asks, “How can this be clarified?” and continues, “Of course it would be sufficient if you asked Miss Noether to clarify this for me.”

(Einstein, *Collected Papers*, 8A, nos. 222 and 223)

Her expertise was conceded by both Hilbert and Einstein as early as her first year in Göttingen.

Noether's postcard to Felix Klein, 15 February 1918 (verso)

alle Christoffel + Ricci.
 Die Ausgangspunkt für die ist nicht erst im an-
 fangenden Fall abzuleiten, sondern direkt aus der
 Minimierung von $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, dx_1, \dots, dx_n)$ beim ein-
 fachen Integral das folgt. Ist zunächst das einfache
 n-fache Integral $\int \dots \int f(x_1, \dots, x_n, dx_1, \dots, dx_n)$
 über nicht die "Lagrangefunktion" für Funktionalgleichung:

$$\delta f - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i - \dots - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} dx_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i(x) dx_i;$$
 folgt man mit η_i die Reihe nach δf
 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n}$ ergibt, wenn man den η_i in
 δf in δf setzt. Man setzt alle die η_i in δf ein.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i \right) + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} dx_i \right) - f + \dots = \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i dx_i;$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i dx_i; \quad (i=1, 2, \dots, n);$$
 Analogie für η_i mit δf und δf ist dann
 die Minimierung für $\eta_i=0$ in Funktionalgleichungen;
 folgt man aber Minimierung, d.h. minimiere f
 bei Transformation zu Σ (wobei Σ in δf absteigt,
 die δf dann transformiert) f die Transformations-
 Determinante als Faktor an, so ist das das
 Analogon zur Charakteristik dieser Determination;
 dabei muss man sich für die Argumente von η_i die
 Variablen, und man hat die "Rechenregeln" für
 $\eta_i=0$ $\sum_{i=1}^n \eta_i dx_i = 0$ (d.h. $\eta_i=0$), so dass die η_i für
 $\eta_i=0$ aus δf abgeleitet sind. - den allgemeinen Fall
 so stellt die Methode die Methode für δf dar, ist es
 notwendig zu wissen. Sie ist eine Erweiterung der Methode.

Yvette Kosmann-Schwarzbach

Emmy Noether's Two Theorems, A Hundred Years Later

Noether's article of 1918. Facts

It was in the Winter and Spring of 1918 that Noether discovered the profound reason for the difficulties that had arisen in the interpretation of the conservation laws in General Relativity. Because she had left Göttingen for Erlangen to visit her father, her correspondence yields an account of her progress in this search.

- In her postcard to Klein of 15 February, she already sketches her second theorem, but only in a particular case.
- It is in her letter to Klein of 12 March that Noether gives a preliminary formulation of an essential consequence of what would be her second theorem (invariance under the action of a group which is a subgroup of an infinite-dimensional group).
- On 23 July, she announced her results before the *Mathematische Gesellschaft zu Göttingen* (Göttingen Mathematical Society).
- On 26 July, Klein presented a communication by Noether on the same subject at the session of the *Gesellschaft des Wissenschaften zu Göttingen* (Göttingen Scientific Society).

Invariante Variationsprobleme.

(F. Klein zum fünfzigjährigen Doktorjubiläum.)

Von

Emmy Noether in Göttingen.

Vorgelegt von F. Klein in der Sitzung vom 26. Juli 1918¹⁾.

Es handelt sich um Variationsprobleme, die eine kontinuierliche Gruppe (im Lieschen Sinne) gestatten; die daraus sich ergebenden Folgerungen für die zugehörigen Differentialgleichungen finden ihren allgemeinsten Ausdruck in den in § 1 formulierten, in den folgenden Paragraphen bewiesenen Sätzen. Über diese aus Variationsproblemen entspringenden Differentialgleichungen lassen sich viel präzisere Aussagen machen als über beliebige, eine Gruppe gestattende Differentialgleichungen, die den Gegenstand der Lieschen Untersuchungen bilden. Das folgende beruht also auf einer Verbindung der Methoden der formalen Variationsrechnung mit denen der Lieschen Gruppentheorie. Für spezielle Gruppen und Variationsprobleme ist diese Verbindung der Methoden nicht neu; ich erwähne Hamel und Herglotz für spezielle endliche, Lorentz und seine Schüler (z. B. Fokker), Weyl und Klein für spezielle unendliche Gruppen²⁾. Insbesondere sind die zweite Kleinsche Note und die vorliegenden Ausführungen gegenseitig durch einander beein-

1) Die endgiltige Fassung des Manuskriptes wurde erst Ende September eingereicht.

2) Hamel: Math. Ann. Bd. 59 und Zeitschrift f. Math. u. Phys. Bd. 50. Herglotz: Ann. d. Phys. (4) Bd. 36, bes. § 9, S. 511. Fokker, Verslag d. Amsterdamer Akad., 27./1. 1917. Für die weitere Litteratur vergl. die zweite Note von Klein: Göttinger Nachrichten 19. Juli 1918.

In einer eben erschienenen Arbeit von Kneser (Math. Zeitschrift Bd. 2) handelt es sich um Aufstellung von Invarianten nach ähnlicher Methode.

Kgl. Ges. d. Wiss. Nachrichten. Math.-phys. Klasse. 1918. Heft 2.

What variational problems was Noether considering?

“We consider variational problems which are invariant under a continuous group (in the sense of Lie). [...] What follows thus depends upon a combination of the methods of the **formal calculus of variations** and of **Lie’s theory of groups**.”

Noether considers a **general n -dimensional variational problem of order κ for an \mathbb{R}^μ -valued function** (n , μ and κ arbitrary integers),

$$I = \int \cdots \int f \left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \cdots, \frac{\partial^\kappa u}{\partial x^\kappa} \right) dx,$$

where $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_\alpha)$ are the independent variables, and $u = (u_1, \dots, u_\mu) = (u_i)$ are the dependent variables.

Noether states her conventions: “I omit the indices here, and in the summations as well whenever it is possible, and I write $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}$ for $\frac{\partial^2 u_\alpha}{\partial x_\beta \partial x_\gamma}$, etc.” and “I write dx for $dx_1 \dots dx_n$ for short.”

The theorems of Noether in English translation

“In what follows we shall examine the following two theorems:

- I. If the integral I is invariant under a [group] \mathfrak{G}_ρ , then there are ρ linearly independent combinations among the Lagrangian expressions which become divergences – and conversely, that implies the invariance of I under a [group] \mathfrak{G}_ρ . The theorem remains valid in the limiting case of an infinite number of parameters.
- II. If the integral I is invariant under a [group] $\mathfrak{G}_{\infty\rho}$ depending upon arbitrary functions and their derivatives up to order σ , then there are ρ identities among the Lagrangian expressions and their derivatives up to order σ . Here as well the converse is valid¹.”

¹For some trivial exceptions, see §2, note 13.

Noether's proof of Theorem I

Noether assumes that the action integral $I = \int f dx$ is invariant. Actually, she assumes a more restrictive hypothesis, the invariance of the integrand, $f dx$, which is to say $\delta(f dx) = 0$. This hypothesis is expressed by the relation,

$$\bar{\delta}f + \text{Div}(f \cdot \Delta x) = 0,$$

Here $\bar{\delta}f$ is the variation of f induced by the variation

$$\bar{\delta}u_i = \Delta u_i - \sum \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_\lambda} \Delta x_\lambda.$$

- Noether introduces the components of the **evolutionary representative** $\bar{\delta}$ of the vector field δ .

Remark. $\bar{\delta}$ is also called the “vertical representative” of δ .

- $\bar{\delta}f$ is the **Lie derivative** of f in the direction of $\bar{\delta}$.

$\bar{\delta}$ is a generalized vector field

- $\bar{\delta}$ is a **generalized vector field**, not a vector field on the trivial vector bundle $\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^\mu \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$.

In fact, if

$$\delta = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n X^\alpha(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^\mu Y^i(x, u) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i},$$

then

$$\bar{\delta} = \sum_{i=1}^\mu (Y^i(x, u) - X^\alpha(x) u_\alpha^i) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}, \text{ where } u_\alpha^i = \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^\alpha}.$$

Locally, generalized vector fields are written,

$$X = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n X^\alpha(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} + \sum_{i=1}^\mu Y^i \left(x, u, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2}, \dots \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial u^i}.$$

End of Noether's proof of Theorem I

By integrating by parts, Noether obtains the identity

$$\sum \psi_i \bar{\delta} u_i = \bar{\delta} f + \text{Div } A,$$

where the ψ_i 's are the "Lagrangian expressions", *i.e.*, the components of the Euler–Lagrange derivative of L , and A is linear in $\bar{\delta} u$ and its derivatives.

In view of the invariance hypothesis which is expressed by $\bar{\delta} f + \text{Div}(f \cdot \Delta x) = 0$, this identity can be written

$$\sum \psi_i \bar{\delta} u_i = \text{Div } B, \quad \text{with} \quad B = A - f \cdot \Delta X.$$

Therefore B is a conserved current for the Euler–Lagrange equations of L .

QED

The equations $\text{Div } B = 0$ are the conservation laws that are satisfied when the Euler–Lagrange equations $\psi_i = 0$ are satisfied.

The converse of Theorem I

Noether proves that the existence of ρ “linearly independent divergence relations” implies the invariance under a (Lie) group of symmetries of dimension ρ , by passing from the infinitesimal symmetries to invariance under their flows, provided that the vector fields Δu and Δx are ordinary vector fields.

In the general case, the existence of ρ linearly independent conservation laws yields infinitesimal invariance under a Lie algebra of infinitesimal symmetries of dimension ρ .

Equivalence relations have to be introduced to make these statements precise.

Theorem II

Theorem II deals with a **symmetry group depending on arbitrary functions**—such as the group of diffeomorphisms of the space-time manifold and, more generally, the groups of all gauge theories that would be developed, beginning with the paper of Chen Ning Yang and Robert L. Mills on isotopic gauge invariance in 1954. Noether showed that to such symmetries there correspond **identities** satisfied by the variational derivatives, and conversely.

The assumption is that “the integral I is invariant under a [group] $\mathfrak{G}_{\infty\rho}$ depending upon arbitrary functions and their derivatives up to order σ ”, *i.e.*, Noether assumes the existence of ρ infinitesimal symmetries of the Lagrangian, each of which depends linearly on an arbitrary function p (depending on $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, \rho$) of the variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , and its derivatives up to order σ .

Such a symmetry is defined by a **vector-valued linear differential operator**, \mathcal{D} , of order σ , with components \mathcal{D}_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, \mu$.

The adjoint operator

Noether then introduces, without giving it a name or a particular notation, the **adjoint operator**, $(\mathcal{D}_i)^*$, of each of the \mathcal{D}_i 's. By construction, $(\mathcal{D}_i)^*$ satisfies

$$\psi_i \mathcal{D}_i(p) = (\mathcal{D}_i)^*(\psi_i)p + \text{Div } \Gamma_i,$$

where Γ_i is linear in p and its derivatives. The symmetry assumption and, again, an integration by parts imply

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \psi_i \mathcal{D}_i(p) = \text{Div } B.$$

This relation implies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} (\mathcal{D}_i)^*(\psi_i) p = \text{Div}(B - \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \Gamma_i).$$

Identities

Since p is arbitrary, by Stokes's theorem and the Du Bois-Reymond lemma,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} (\mathcal{D}_i)^*(\psi_i) = 0.$$

Thus, for each $\lambda = 1, 2, \dots, \rho$, there is a **differential relation** among the components ψ_i of the Euler–Lagrange derivative of the Lagrangian f that is identically satisfied.

Noether explains the precautions that must be taken—the introduction of an equivalence relation on the symmetries—for the converse to be valid.

Improper conservation laws

Noether observes that each identity may be written $\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} a_i \psi_i = \text{Div } \chi$, where χ is defined by a linear differential operator acting on the ψ_i 's.

She then shows that each divergence, $\text{Div } B$, introduced above, is equal to the divergence of a quantity C , where C vanishes once the Euler–Lagrange equations, $\psi_i = 0$, are satisfied.

Furthermore, from the equality of the divergences of B and C , it follows that

$$B = C + D$$

for some D whose divergence vanishes identically, which is to say, independently of the satisfaction of the Euler–Lagrange equations. These are the conservation laws that Noether called **improper divergence relations**.

Trivial conservation laws

- The quantity C and not only its divergence vanish on $\psi_i = 0$.

C is a *trivial conservation law of the first kind* (in the sense of Olver).

- The divergence of D vanishes identically, *i.e.*, whether or not $\psi_i = 0$.

D is a *trivial conservation law of the second kind* or $\text{Div } D$ is a *null divergence* (in the sense of Olver).

Hilbert's conjecture

Hilbert asserted (without proof) in early 1918 that, in the case of general relativity and in that case only, there are no proper conservation laws.

Noether shows that the situation is better understood “in the more general setting of group theory.”

She explains the apparent paradox that arises from the consideration of the finite-dimensional subgroups of groups that depend upon arbitrary functions.

“Given I invariant under the group of translations, then the energy relations are improper if and only if I is invariant under an infinite group which contains the group of translations as a subgroup.”

Noether concludes with the striking formula:

“The term *relativity* that is used in physics should be replaced by *invariance with respect to a group*.”

How original were Noether's two theorems?

Noether's article did not appear in a vacuum.

The topic of the important and numerous [predecessors](#) of Noether requires a long development. We give a very brief account of some of the most important points.

[Lagrange](#), in his *Mécanique analytique* (1788), claimed that his method for deriving “a general formula for the motion of bodies” yields

the general equations that contain the principles, or theorems known under the names of the conservation of kinetic energy, of the conservation of the motion of the center of mass, of the conservation of the momentum of rotational motion, of the principle of areas, and of the principle of least action.

It is only in the second edition of the *Mécanique Analytique* (1811) that he observed an [explicit correlation](#) between these principles of conservation and invariance properties.

Before Lagrange

Lagrange recognized the contributions of his predecessors.

In 1811, as a preliminary to his treatment of dynamics, he presented a detailed history of the diverse “principles or theorems” formulated before his *Mécanique*.

His list of those who contributed to these discoveries includes

Galileo, Huyghens, Newton, Daniel Bernoulli, Maupertuis, Euler, the Chevalier Patrick d’Arcy (1725-1779) and d’Alembert.

After Lagrange

- After Lagrange, the correlation between invariances and conserved quantities was surveyed by [Jacobi](#) in several chapters of his *Vorlesungen über Dynamik*, delivered in [1842-43](#) (but only published posthumously in 1866).
- The great advances of [Sophus Lie](#) – his theory of continuous groups of transformations that was published after 1870 – were the basis of later developments:
in [1904](#), in the work of [Georg Hamel](#) (1877-1954) on the calculus of variations and mechanics, and
in [1911](#), in a publication of [Gustav Herglotz](#) (1881-1853) on the 10-parameter invariance group of Special Relativity.
- Both Hamel and Herglotz were cited by Noether at the beginning of her 1918 article. She also referred to publications, all of them [very recent](#), by “Lorentz and his students (for example, Fokker), [Hermann] [Weyl](#), and [Klein](#)” and by [Alfred] Kneser (1862-1930), a specialist in the calculus of variations.

Originality?

Indeed, scattered results in classical and relativistic mechanics tying together properties of invariance and conserved quantities had already appeared in the publications of Noether's predecessors.

However, **none of them had discovered the general principle contained in her Theorem I, nor its converse.**

Her **Theorem II and its converse** were, in fact, completely **new**. In Thibaut Damour's expert opinion, the second theorem should be considered the most important part of her article.

How modern were Noether's two theorems?

What Noether simply called “infinitesimal transformations” are in fact **vast generalizations of the ordinary vector fields**. (Above, we called them **generalized vector fields**.)

Generalized vector fields would eventually be **re-discovered** by Harold Johnson (1964), Robert Hermann (1965), then in, a joint paper, by Robert L. Anderson from the University of Georgia, Sukeyuki Kumei and Carl Wulfman (1972). In 1979, Anderson and the Russian mathematician Nail Ibragimov called them Lie-Bäcklund transformations (a misnomer) in their monograph, “Lie-Bäcklund Transformations in Applications”.

This notion is essential in the theory of integrable systems which became the subject of intense research after 1970. On this topic, Noether's work is **modern, half-a-century in advance of the re-discoveries**.

A follower

In Göttingen, Noether had only one immediate follower, [Erich Bessel-Hagen](#) (1898-1946), who was Klein's student.

In 1921, Bessel-Hagen published an article, *Über die Erhaltungssätze der Elektrodynamik* (On conservation laws in electrodynamics) in the *Mathematische Annalen* in which he determined in particular those which were the result of the [conformal invariance of Maxwell's equations](#).

He explained that Klein had posed the problem of “the application to Maxwell's equations of [the theorems stated by Miss Emmy Noether](#)” regarding the invariant variational problems.”

He formulated the two Noether theorems “[slightly more generally](#)” than they had been formulated in her article.

How? By introducing the concept of symmetries up to divergence (“divergence symmetries”).

Who invented divergence symmetries?

Bessel-Hagen defined the **divergence symmetries**.

They correspond, not to the invariance of the Lagrangian fdx , but to the invariance of the action integral $\int fdx$, i.e., they satisfy, instead of $\delta(fdx) = 0$, the weaker condition $\delta(fdx) = \text{Div } C$, where C is a vectorial expression.

Noether's fundamental relation remains valid under this weaker assumption provided that $B = A - f \cdot \Delta x$ be replaced by

$$B = A + C - f \cdot \Delta x.$$

Bessel-Hagen wrote: "I owe [these generalized theorems] to an oral communication by Miss Emmy Noether herself", so **this type of symmetry was also Noether's invention**.

How influential were Noether's two theorems?

The story of the [reception](#) of Noether's article in the years 1918-1970 is surprising.

She submitted the "Invariante Variationsprobleme" for her *Habilitation*, finally obtained in 1919, but she [never referred to her article in any of her subsequent publications](#).

[Hermann Weyl](#), in *Raum, Zeit, Materie*, first published in 1918, performed computations very similar to hers, but he referred to Noether only in a footnote in the third (1919) and subsequent editions.

[Richard Courant](#) must have been aware of her work because a brief summary of a limited form of both theorems appears in all German, and later English editions of "Courant-Hilbert", the treatise on methods of mathematical physics first published in 1924.

The transmission of Noether's results – Soviet Union

The memory of Noether's theorems remained in the Soviet Union among some mathematicians and physicists.

In 1936, the physicist, [Moisei A. Markow](#) (1908-1994), a member of the Physics Institute of the U.S.S.R. Academy of Sciences in Moscow, published an article in the *Physikalische Zeitschrift der Sowjetunion* in which he refers to “[the well-known theorems of Noether.](#)”

The first sentence of the article is:

“Für die Gruppe G_{10} wurden mit Hilfe der Lehrsätze von Noether 10 Erhaltungssätze abgeleitet ($\operatorname{div} A = T$).”

For the group G_{10} one obtains, with the aid of Noether's theorems, 10 conservation laws ($\operatorname{div} A = T$).

Markow was a student of V. A. Fock (1898–1974) and of Georg B. Rumer (1901–1985) who had been an assistant to Max Born in Göttingen from 1929 to 1932.

Göttingen to Moscow?

However, it is not obvious that Markow's knowledge of Noether's work was transmitted by Rumer. In fact, Rumer, in an earlier article (1931) that was written in Göttingen and published in the same journal – then simply called the *Physikalische Zeitschrift* –, proved the Lorentz invariance of the Dirac operator but did not allude to any associated conservation laws, while in his articles on the general theory of relativity in the *Göttinger Nachrichten* in 1929 and 1931 he cited Weyl but never Noether.

Was it because she was a woman? Or because she was Jewish?

Similarly, it seems that Fock never referred to her work in any of his papers to which it is relevant.

Later developments in the Soviet Union

- In 1959, Lev S. Polak published a translation of Noether's 1918 article into [Russian](#).
- Vladimir Vizgin wrote a [historical monograph](#) on the *Development of the interconnection between invariance principles and conservation laws in classical physics*, published in Moscow in 1972, in which he analyzes both theorems of Noether.
- The textbook of [Israel M. Gelfand](#) and [Sergej V. Fomin](#) on the calculus of variations, published in Moscow in 1961 and translated into English in 1963, contains a modern presentation of Noether's first theorem – although not yet using the formalism of jets –, followed by a few lines about her second theorem.
- In the 1970s, Gelfand published several articles with Fomin, [Leonid Dikii](#) (Dickey), [Irene Dorfman](#), and [Yuri Manin](#) on the “formal calculus of variations”.
- “Algebraic theory of nonlinear differential equations” by Manin (1978) and [Boris Kuperschmidt](#)'s paper of 1980 contain a “formal Noether theorem”.

Vinogradov and Krasil'shchik

[Alexandre Vinogradov](#) was a member of Gelfand's seminar in Moscow. The second part of his career was at the University of Salerno.

Beginning in 1977, together with [Iosif Krasil'shchik](#), who worked in Moscow and later in the Netherlands, he published extensively on symmetries, both at the very general and abstract level – greatly generalizing Noether's formalism and results – and on their applications.

Other lines of transmission of Noether's results

In the early 1960s [Enzo Tonti](#) (later professor at the University of Trieste) translated Noether's article but his translation has remained in manuscript. It was transmitted to [Franco Magri](#) in Milan who, in 1978, wrote an article in Italian where he clearly set out the relation between symmetries and conservation laws for **non-variational equations**, a significant development, but he did not treat the case of operators defined on manifolds.

In France, [Jean-Marie Souriau](#) was well aware of Noether's two theorems as early as 1964. In 1970, independently of [Bertram Kostant](#), he introduced the notion of **moment map**.

The conservation of the moment of a Hamiltonian action is the Hamiltonian version of Noether's first theorem. Souriau called this result "**le théorème de Noether symplectique**", although there is nothing Hamiltonian or symplectic in Noether's article!

His subsequent contributions to the development of symplectic geometry and its applications to mechanics were very important.

What became of Noether's improper conservation laws?

In [general relativity](#) the improper conservation laws which are “trivial of the second kind” are called **strong laws**, while the conservation laws obtained from the first theorem are called **weak laws**.

The strong laws play an important role in the basic papers of Peter G. Bergmann (1958), Andrzej Trautman (1962) and Joshua N. Goldberg (1980).

In [gauge theory](#), the identities in Noether's second theorem are at the basis of Jim Stasheff's “cohomological physics”. They are used as the antighosts in the BV construction for Lagrangians with symmetries, as in Ron Fulp, Tom Lada and Stasheff, “Noether's variational theorem II and the BV formalism” (2003).

Have the Noether theorems been generalized?

Answer: Except for Bessel-Hagen, not until the 1970s.

Until then, the so-called “generalizations” were all due to physicists and mathematicians who had no direct knowledge of her article but still thought that they were generalizing it, while they were generalizing the truncated and restricted version of her first theorem that had appeared in Edward L. Hill’s article, “Hamilton’s principle and the conservation theorems of mathematical physics” (1951).

A one-to-one map between equivalence classes of divergence symmetries and of conservation laws

In the late 70's and early 80's, using different languages, both mathematically and linguistically, Olver and Cheri Shakiban, in Minneapolis, and Vinogradov, in Moscow, made great advances in the Noether theory.

Define a symmetry of a differential equation to be **trivial** if its evolutionary representative vanishes on the solutions of the equation. Then one can formulate the **Noether-Olver-Vinogradov theorem** (ca. 1985):

For Lagrangians such that the Euler–Lagrange equations are a normal system, Noether's correspondence induces a one-to-one map between equivalence classes of divergence symmetries and equivalence classes of conservation laws.

Non-variational equations

What Magri showed, in his 1978 article, is that, if \mathcal{D} is a differential operator and $V\mathcal{D}$ is its linearization, searching for the restriction of the kernel of the adjoint $(V\mathcal{D})^*$ of $V\mathcal{D}$ to the solutions of $\mathcal{D}(u) = 0$ is an algorithmic method for the determination of the conservation laws for a possibly non-variational equation, $\mathcal{D}(u) = 0$.

For an Euler–Lagrange operator, the linearized operator is self-adjoint. Therefore this result generalizes the first Noether theorem.

This idea is to be found and much developed in the work of several mathematicians, most notably Vinogradov, Toru Tsujishita, Ian Anderson, and Olver.

In the modern language of differential geometry

- [Trautman](#) in his “Noether equations and conservation laws” (1967, 1972) was the first to present even a part of Noether’s article in the language of manifolds, fiber bundles and, in particular, the jet bundles that had been defined and studied by Charles Ehresmann and his student Jacques Feldbau, and by Norman Steenrod around 1940.
- [Stephen Smale](#) published the first part of his article on “Topology and mechanics” in 1970, in which he proposed a [geometric framework for mechanics on the tangent bundle](#) of a manifold.
- [Hubert Goldschmidt](#) and [Shlomo Sternberg](#) wrote a landmark paper in 1973 in which they formulated the Noether theory for first-order Lagrangians in an [intrinsic, geometric fashion](#).
- [Jerrold Marsden](#) published extensively on the [theory and applications](#) of Noether’s correspondence from 1974 until his death in 2010.

Differential geometric formulation of Noether's results

The ideas that permitted the recasting of Noether's theorems in geometric form and their genuine generalization were first of all that of **smooth differentiable manifold** (i.e., manifolds of class C^∞), and then the concept of a **jet of order k** of a mapping ($k \geq 0$) defined as the collection of the values of the components in a local system of coordinates of a vector-valued function and of their partial derivatives up to the order k , the concept of manifolds of jets of sections of a fiber bundle, and finally of jets of infinite order. The **manifold of jets of infinite order of sections** of a fiber bundle is not defined directly but as the **inverse limit** of the manifold of jets of order k , when k tends to infinity.

In the 1970s, many authors contributed to the “geometrization” of Noether's first theorem, notably Jędrzej Śniatycki, Demeter Krupka, and Pedro García.

Cohomological interpretation

It was [Vinogradov](#) who showed in 1977 that [generalized vector fields](#) are nothing other than ordinary vector fields on the bundle of jets of infinite order of sections of a bundle.

Both Lagrangians and conservation laws then appear as special types of forms.

The divergence operator may be interpreted as a horizontal differential, one that acts on the independent variables only.

Whence one obtains a [cohomological interpretation](#) of Noether's first theorem.

The study of the [exact sequence of the calculus of variations](#), and of the [variational bicomplex](#), which constitutes a vast generalization of Noether's theory, was developed in 1975 and later by [Włodzimierz Tulczyjew](#) in Warsaw, by [Paul Dedecker](#) in Belgium, by [Vinogradov](#) in Moscow, by [Tsujiishita](#) in Japan, and in the United States by [Olver](#) and by [Ian Anderson](#).

Discrete versions of the Noether theorems

A pioneer,

John David Logan, First integrals in the discrete variational calculus, *Aequationes Mathematicae*, 9 (1973), pp. 210–220.

The differentiation operation is replaced by the **shift operator**.

The independent variables are now integers, and the integral is replaced by a sum, $\mathcal{L}[u] = \sum_n L(n, [u])$, where $[u]$ denotes $u(n)$ and finitely many of its shifts.

The variational derivative is expressed in terms of the inverse shift.

Advances on the **discrete analogues** of both Noether theorems may be found in a series of papers by **Peter Hydon** and **Elizabeth Mansfield**, beginning in 2004 and continuing.

Were the Noether theorems ever famous?

Both theorems were analyzed by Vizgin in his 1972 monograph on invariance principles and conservation laws in classical physics.

Was the existence of the first and second theorem in one and the same publication expressed in written form in a language other than Russian before the first edition of Olver's book in 1986?

I think not.

Then, “theorems” in the plural appeared in these early titles:

- Olver, “Noether’s theorems and systems of Cauchy–Kovalevskaya type”, in Lect. Appl. Math 23 (1986).
 - Hans A. Kastrup, “The recognition of Noether’s theorems within the scientific community”, a subtitle in his [highly recommended] chapter , “The contributions of Emmy Noether, Felix Klein and Sophus Lie to the modern concept of symmetries in physical systems”, in “Symmetries in Physics”, G. Doncel *et al.*, eds. (1987).
 - Kosmann-Schwarzbach, “Sur les théorèmes de Noether”, in Travaux en Cours 21 (1987),
- and in the title of my book,
“The Noether Theorems. Invariance and Conservation Laws in the Twentieth Century” (Springer, 2011), reprinted with corrections in the Fall of 2018, in time for the centenary!

Fame?

I quote from Gregg Zuckerman's "Action principles and global geometry", in *Mathematical Aspects of String Theory*, S. T. Yau, ed., 1987:

"E. Noether's famous 1918 paper, "Invariant variational problems" crystallized essential mathematical relationships among symmetries, conservation laws, and identities for the variational or 'action' principles of physics. [...] Thus, Noether's abstract analysis continues to be relevant to contemporary physics, as well as to applied mathematics."

Thus, approximately seventy years after her article had appeared in the *Göttinger Nachrichten*, fame came to Noether for this (very small) part of her mathematical *œuvre*...

Caveat lector

One can read in a text published as late as 2003 by a well-known philosopher of science in a well-known volume of essays that “Noether’s theorems can be generalized to handle transformations that depend on the $u^{(n)}$ [the higher-order derivatives of the field variables] as well.”

No, no and no!

If he had glanced at Noether’s paper or read Olver (1986 or 2nd ed., 1993) whom he cites, he would have been aware that Noether had already proved that general result.

It is one of the striking and important features of Noether’s 1918 article!

In lieu of conclusion

It is clear that Noether was *not* a proto-feminist, she was a very important female mathematician,
she was *not* a practicing Jew (even more, together with her father, she converted to protestantism in 1920, which did not protect her from dismissal by the Nazis),
she was *not* an admirer of the American democracy (her sympathies were for the Soviet Union),
she did *not* explore the philosophical underpinnings or outcomes of her work, she was *not* a philosopher.
eventhough her work of the year 1918 was clearly inspired by a problem in physics, she was *never* herself a physicist and did *not* return to physics in any of her subsequent publications.

She was a generous woman admired by colleagues and students
and

she was a mathematician.