Title: Particle Physics Beyond Colliders

Date: Jun 19, 2018 09:30 AM

URL: http://pirsa.org/18060032

Abstract:

Particle Physics Beyond Colliders

Asimina Arvanitaki
Perimeter Institute

The High Energy Frontier



The Length Scales in the Universe



80% of the energy scale left to explore

Opportunities at the Precision Frontier











The Mystery of Dark Matter



Models of Dark Matter

- What is it made out of?
- How is it produced?
- Does it have interactions other than gravitational?

Outline

- Light Bosonic Dark Matter
- Atomic Clocks
- Resonant Mass Detectors
- Molecules
- Black Hole Superradiance

Why is the Electric Dipole Moment of the Neutron Small?

The Strong CP Problem and the QCD axion



$$\frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2}\theta_s \vec{E}_s \cdot \vec{B}_s$$

EDM ~ e fm θ_s

Experimental bound: $\theta_s < 10^{-10}$

Why is the Electric Dipole Moment of the Neutron Small?

The Strong CP Problem and the QCD axion



$$\frac{g_s^2}{32\pi^2}\theta_s \vec{E}_s \cdot \vec{B}_s$$

EDM ~ e fm θ_s

Experimental bound: $\theta_s < 10^{-10}$

Solution:
$\theta_s \propto a(x,t)$ is a dynamical field, an axion

Axion mass from QCD:

$$\mu_a \sim 6 \times 10^{-11}\ \text{eV}\ \frac{10^{17}\ \text{GeV}}{f_a} \sim (3\ \text{km})^{-1}\ \frac{10^{17}\ \text{GeV}}{\text{f}_\text{a}}$$

f_a : axion decay constant

Elements of String Theory

- Extra dimensions
- Gauge fields
- Topology



Elements of String Theory

- Extra dimensions
- Gauge fields
- Topology



Elements of String Theory

- Extra dimensions
- Gauge fields
- Topology

Give rise to a plenitude of Universes

Non-trivial gauge configurations

The Aharonov-Bohm Effect

Taking an electron around the solenoid

$$e \int A_\mu dx^\mu = e \times \text{Magnetic Flux}$$

while

$$\vec{B} = 0$$

Energy stored only inside the solenoid

Non-trivial gauge configuration far away carries no energy

Non-trivial gauge configurations

The Aharonov-Bohm Effect



Taking an electron around the solenoid

$$e \int A_\mu dx^\mu = e \times \text{Magnetic Flux}$$

while

$$\vec{B} = 0$$

Energy stored only inside the solenoid

Non-trivial gauge configuration far away carries no energy

A Plenitude of (Almost) Massless Particles

- Spin-0 non-trivial gauge field configurations: String Axiverse
- Spin-1 non-trivial gauge field configurations: String Photiverse
- Fields that determine the shape and size of extra dimensions as well as values of fundamental constants: Dilatons, Moduli, Radion

What If DM Is a Boson and Very Light?

Dark Matter Particles in the Galaxy



Usually we think of …



like a WIMP

What If DM Is a Boson and Very Light?

Dark Matter Particles in the Galaxy



Usually we think of …



like a WIMP

instead of…



$$\lambda_{DM} = \frac{\hbar}{m_{DM} v}$$

What If DM Is a Boson and Very Light?

Dark Matter Particles in the Galaxy



What If DM Is a Boson and Very Light?

Dark Matter Particles in the Galaxy





Decreasing DM Mass



Equivalent to a Scalar Wave

Going from DM particles to a DM "wave"

When $n_{DM} > \frac{1}{\lambda_{DM}^3}$

In our galaxy this happens when $m_{DM} < 1$ eV/c^2

we can talk about DM $\phi(x,t)$ and locally

$$\phi(t) \approx \phi_0 \cos \omega_{DM} t$$

with amplitude

$$\phi_0 \propto \frac{\sqrt{\text{DM density}}}{\text{DM mass}}$$

with frequency

$$\omega_{DM} \approx \frac{m_{DM} c^2}{\hbar}$$

and finite coherence

$$\delta\omega_{DM} \approx \frac{m_{DM} v^2}{\hbar} = 10^{-6} \omega_{DM}$$

Light Scalar Dark Matter

- Just like a harmonic oscillator

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3\ H\ \dot{\phi} + m_\phi^2 \phi = 0$$

$$\ddot{x} + \gamma\ \dot{x} + \omega^2 x = 0$$



Frozen when:
Hubble > m_ϕ

Initial conditions set by inflation

*The story changes slightly if DM is a dark photon

Light Scalar Dark Matter

- Just like a harmonic oscillator

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3\ H\ \dot{\phi} + m_\phi^2 \phi = 0$$

$$\ddot{x} + \gamma\ \dot{x} + \omega^2 x = 0$$



Frozen when:
Hubble > m_ϕ

Oscillates when:
Hubble < m_ϕ

ρ_ϕ scales as a^{-3}
just like Dark Matter

Initial conditions set by inflation

*The story changes slightly if DM is a dark photon

Light Scalar Dark Matter Today

- If m_ϕ < 1 eV, can still be thought of as a scalar field today



$m_\phi{}^2\ \phi_o{}^2\ \cos^2(m_\phi\, t) \sim \rho_\phi$

Coherent for $\upsilon_{vir}{}^{-2} \sim 10^6$ periods

Amplitude compared to M_{Pl} in the galaxy:

$$\kappa\phi_0 = \frac{\sqrt{8\pi\rho_\phi}}{m_\phi M_{\rm Pl}} = 6.4 \cdot 10^{-13} \left(\frac{10^{-18}\,\mathrm{eV}}{m_\phi}\right)$$

Axion Dark Matter

Some examples

- Axion-to-photon conversion in EM cavities (ex. ADMX)

$$\frac{a(x,t)}{f_a}\vec{E}\cdot\vec{B}$$





- At large wavelengths, axion detected via LC circuits (ex. ABRACADABRA)

Axion Dark Matter

Some examples

- Axion Force experiments (ex. ARIADNE) and DM experiments (ex. Casper)

Dark Photon Dark Matter

Some examples

- Detected if kinetically mixed with the photon

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \epsilon(\vec{E}_{EM}\vec{E}_{DM} + \vec{B}_{EM}\vec{B}_{DM})$$

- Detected like a photon (ex. DM Radio and ADMX)

DM electric field $\sim \sqrt{\rho_{DM}}$ ~ 50 V/cm

Moduli Dark Matter

- Moduli set values of measured fundamental constants

- Examples of couplings

$$d_{m_e} \frac{\phi}{M_{Pl}} m_e e \bar{e}$$

Fundamental constants are not really constants

Oscillating Fundamental Constants

From the local oscillation of Dark Matter

Ex. for the electron mass:

$$d_{m_e} \frac{\phi}{M_P l} m_e e \bar{e}$$

$$\frac{\delta m_e}{m_e} \approx \frac{d_{m_e} \phi_o}{M_{Pl}} \cos(m_\phi t)$$

$$= 6 \times 10^{-13} \cos(m_\phi t) \frac{10^{-18} \text{ eV}}{m_\phi} \frac{d_{m_e}}{1}$$

Fractional variation set by square root of DM abundance

Need an extremely sensitive probe

Other properties of light scalars

- Mediates new interactions in matter

  - Generates a fifth force in matter

$$F \sim \frac{(d_i Q_i)^2}{4\pi M_{Pl}^2} \frac{M_1 M_2}{r^2} e^{-m_\phi r}$$

  - Generates Equivalence Principle violation

Keeping the DM time with Atomic Clocks

with Junwu Huang
and Ken Van Tilburg (2014)

Oscillating Atomic and Nuclear Energy Splittings



- Optical Splittings

$$\Delta E_{\text{optical}} \propto \alpha_{EM}^2 m_e \sim \text{eV}$$

- Hyperfine Splittings

$$\Delta E_{\text{hyperfine}} \propto \Delta E_{\text{optical}} \alpha_{EM}^2 \left(\frac{m_e}{m_p}\right) \sim 10^{-6} \text{ eV}$$

- Nuclear Splittings

$$\Delta E \ (m_p, \alpha_s, \alpha_{EM}) \sim 1 \text{ MeV}$$

DM appears as a signature in atomic (or nuclear) clocks

Atomic Clocks

- Kept tuned to an atomic energy level splitting

Current definition of a second:
the duration of 9192631770 periods of the radiation corresponding to the transition between the two hyperfine levels of the ground state of the caesium 133 atom

- Have shown stability of 1 part in 10^{18}

Compared to 1 part in 10^{13} expected by DM

- Have won several Nobel prizes in the past 20 years

How does and Atomic Clock Work?

Keep a laser tuned to a long-lived (> minutes) atomic transition

How well can I measure the frequency of the laser when tuned to the atom?

$$\frac{\delta f}{f} \sim \frac{\Gamma_{\mathrm{atom}}}{f} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\mathrm{atoms}}}} \sqrt{\frac{\tau_{\mathrm{cycling}}}{t_{\mathrm{experiment}}}}$$

How does and Atomic Clock Work?

Keep a laser tuned to a long-lived (> minutes) atomic transition

How well can I measure the frequency of the laser when tuned to the atom?

$$\frac{\delta f}{f} \sim \frac{\Gamma_{\text{atom}}}{f} \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_{\text{atoms}}}} \sqrt{\frac{\tau_{\text{cycling}}}{t_{\text{experiment}}}}$$

Number of times the observation is repeated

τ_{cycling} time that it takes to do one measurement (of order the atomic lifetime)

How do you take the measurements?

- Observe two clocks every $\tau_{cycling}$ to remove systematics
- Calculate ratio of frequencies which depends on Dark Matter
- Take Fourier transform to look for oscillations with period longer than $\tau_{cycling}$

Atomic Clock DM searches are broadband searches

What type of comparisons can we do?

- Hyperfine to Optical transitions
  - Sensitive to m_e, m_q, and α_s (less to α_{EM})
- Optical to Optical transitions
  - Sensitive to α_{EM}
- Nuclear to Optical transitions
  - Sensitive to m_e, α_{EM}, m_q, and α_s

The Dy isotope and Rb/Cs Clock Comparison

Ken Van Tilburg
and the Budker group (2015)

Hees et. al (2016)

sensitivity to α_{EM} variations



Analysis performed with existing data

Nuclear to Optical Clock Comparison

Future Sensitivity of a ^{229}Th clock with 10^{-15}/Hz$^{-1/2}$ noise



Comparison of two spatially separated Sr clocks

with Peter Graham, Jason Hogan,
Surjeet Rajendran and Ken Van Tilburg(2016)





Gravitational Wave interferometers such as aLIGO not sensitive enough due to laser noise

Oscillating interatomic distances

- The Bohr radius changes with DM
  - $r_B \sim (\alpha\, m_e)^{-1}$

$$\frac{\delta r_B}{r_B} = -\left(\frac{\delta\alpha_{EM}}{\alpha_{EM}} + \frac{\delta m_e}{m_e}\right)$$

- The size of solids changes with DM
  - $L \sim N\, (\alpha\, m_e)^{-1}$

$$\frac{\delta L}{L} = -\left(\frac{\delta\alpha_{EM}}{\alpha_{EM}} + \frac{\delta m_e}{m_e}\right)$$

Need macroscopic objects to get a detectable signal

Resonant-Mass Detectors

- In the 1960's: The Weber Bar



Strain sensitivity $h \sim 10^{-17}$

- Today: AURIGA, NAUTILUS, MiniGrail

Strain sensitivity $h \sim 10^{-23}$

Experimental Sensitivity





$f \sim m \sim \lambda_{compton}^{-1}$
$\lambda_{coh} = \frac{1}{mv} \sim 10^3 \frac{1}{m}$
$\tau_{coh} = \frac{1}{mv^2} \sim 10^6 \cdot \frac{1}{m}$

How do we look for Dark Matter if it only couples through gravity?

Black Holes as Particle Detectors

with
Dimopoulos, Dubovsky, Kaloper, March-Russell (2009)
Dubovsky(2010)
Baryakhtar, Huang (2014)
Baryakhtar, Dimopoulos, Dubovsky, Lasenby (2016)

Black Holes as Nature's Detectors



1 km -10 billion km

They can detect bosons of similar in size

September 14, 2015





Super-Radiance Cartoon



Super-radiant scattering of a massive object

Super-Radiance Cartoon



Super-radiant scattering of a wave

Black Hole Superradiance

Penrose Process



Ergoregion: Region where even light has to be rotating

Black Hole Bomb

Press & Teukolsky 1972



Photons reflected back and forth from the black hole
and through the ergoregion

Black Hole Bomb

Press & Teukolsky 1972



Photons reflected back and forth from the black hole
and through the ergoregion

Black Hole Bomb

Press & Teukolsky 1972



Photons reflected back and forth from the black hole
and through the ergoregion

Black Hole Bomb

Press & Teukolsky 1972



Photons reflected back and forth from the black hole
and through the ergoregion

Superradiance for a massive boson

Damour et al; Zouros & Eardley;
Detweiler; Gaina (1970s)



Particle Compton Wavelength comparable to the size of the Black Hole

Superradiance for a massive boson

Damour et al; Zouros & Eardley;
Detweiler; Gaina (1970s)



Particle Compton Wavelength comparable to the size of the Black Hole

Gravitational Atom in the Sky

The gravitational Hydrogen Atom



Fine-structure constant: $\alpha = G_N M_{BH} \mu_a = R_g \mu_a$

Principal (n), orbital (l), and magnetic (m) quantum number for each level $E_{binding} = -\frac{\alpha^2 \mu_a}{2n^2}$

Main differences from hydrogen atom:

Levels occupied by bosons - occupation number $>10^{77}$

In-going Boundary Condition at Horizon

Superradiance Parametrics

Superradiance Condition

$$\omega_{\text{axion}} < m\,\Omega_+$$

m : magnetic quantum number

Ω_+ : angular velocity of the BH

Universal Phenomenon:

Superluminal rotational motion of a conducting cylinder



Superluminal linear motion - Cherenkov radiation $1/n(\omega) < v$



Condition can be extracted from requiring that $dA_{BH} > 0$

Superradiance Parametrics

Superradiance Rate

$\tau_{sr} \sim 0.6 \times 10^7\, R_g$ for $R_g\, \mu_a \sim 0.4$

As short as 100 sec vs $\tau_{accretion} \sim 10^8$ years

When $R_g\, \mu_a >> 1$,

$$\tau_{sr} = 10^7 e^{3.7(\mu_a R_g)} R_g$$

When $R_g\, \mu_a << 1$

$$\tau_{sr} = \left(\frac{24}{a}\right)(\mu_a R_g)^{-9} R_g$$

Super-Radiance Signatures

GW annihilations



$E_{\text{graviton}} \approx 2\, m_{\text{axion}}$

$$f = 10\,\text{kHz} \times (\mu_a/10^{-11}\,\text{eV})$$

High frequency GWs

- Signal enhanced by the square of the occupation number of the state

$$h_{\text{peak}} \simeq 10^{-22} \left(\frac{1\,\text{kpc}}{r}\right) \left(\frac{\alpha/\ell}{0.5}\right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{\alpha^{-\frac{1}{2}}}{\ell} \left(\frac{M}{10 M_\odot}\right)$$

- Signal duration determined by the annihilation rate (can last thousands of years)

Expected Events from Annihilations

- Large uncertainties coming from tails of BH mass distribution



Pessimistic: flat spin distribution and 0.1 BH/century

Realistic: 30% above spin of 0.8 and 0.4 BH/century

Optimistic: 90% above spin of 0.9 and 0.9 BH/century

Length Scales in the Universe



Scale in m

There are more things in heaven and earth, Horatio,
Than are dreamt of in your philosophy.
- Hamlet


Hubble
There are
Than are