

Title: PSI 2017/2018 - Scattering Amplitudes in QFT & String Theory - Lecture 14

Date: Apr 24, 2018 11:30 AM

URL: <http://pirsa.org/18040046>

Abstract:

$$R_{ijkl} = \left( \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial x^i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial x^j} \right) \left( \frac{\partial x^c}{\partial x^k} \right) \left( \frac{\partial x^d}{\partial x^l} \right) \bar{R}_{abcd} + K_{ik} K_{je} - K_{ie} K_{jk} \quad [\text{EUCLIDEAN}]$$

$$R_{ijkl}^{(3)} = \delta_i^m \delta_j^v \delta_k^p \delta_l^q R_{mnpq}^{(4)} - K_{ik} K_{je} + K_{ie} K_{jk} \quad [\text{LORENTZIAN, ADM}]$$

- LIE DERIVATIVE, GAUGE TRANSFORMATIONS
- EXTRINSIC CURVATURE, ADM
- PECTURBATION THEORY



Review:

• open-superstring vertex operators "superghost pcc -1 & 0"

$$V^{(-1)}(\epsilon, k) = \epsilon_\mu \psi^\mu \delta(\gamma) e^{ik \cdot X}$$

$$V^{(0)}(\epsilon, k) = \epsilon_\mu \left( i\partial X^\mu + 2\alpha' (k \cdot \psi) \psi^\mu \right) e^{ik \cdot X}$$

worldsheet  
susy

with  $k^2=0$  &  $(\epsilon \cdot k) = 0$  by BRST invariance

in ambitwistor string:  $P^\mu$  instead of  $i\partial X^\mu$

• OPE's  
 $\psi^\mu(z) \psi^\nu(w)$   
 $\psi^\mu(z) \psi^\nu(w)$   
 $\psi^\mu(z) \psi^\nu(w)$



## Review:

- open-superstring vertex operators "superghost pcc -1 & 0"

$$V^{(-1)}(\epsilon, k) = \epsilon_\mu \psi^\mu \delta(\gamma) e^{ik \cdot X}$$

$$V^{(0)}(\epsilon, k) = \epsilon_\mu \left( i\partial X^\mu + 2\alpha' (k \cdot \psi) \psi^\mu \right) e^{ik \cdot X}$$

worldsheet  
susy

with  $k^2 = 0$  &  $(\epsilon \cdot k) = 0$  by BRST invariance

in ambitwistor string:  $P^\mu$  instead of  $i\partial X^\mu$

• OPE's  
 $\psi^\mu(z) \psi^\nu(w)$   
 $i\partial X^\mu(z) e^{ik \cdot X(w)}$   
 $i\partial X^\mu(z) i$



1 & 0''

sheet  
sy

• OPE's (slightly different form as yesterday)

$$\psi^\mu(z) \psi^\nu(w) \sim \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{z-w}, \quad \bar{\psi}^\mu(z) \bar{\psi}^\nu(w) \sim \frac{\eta^{\mu\nu}}{z-w}$$

$$i\partial X^\mu(z) e^{ik \cdot X}(w) \sim \frac{2\alpha' k^\mu}{z-w} e^{ik \cdot X} \quad \text{cf.} \quad P^\mu(z) = dz \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{k_j^\mu}{z-z_j}$$

$$i\partial X^\mu(z) i\partial X^\nu(w) \sim \frac{2\alpha' \eta^{\mu\nu}}{(z-w)^2} \quad \text{+ no analogue for } P^\mu(z) P^\nu(w)$$



Today: • how do extra  $\overline{\partial X \partial X}$  contractions  
affect amplitudes?  $\leadsto$  not much

• infer compact result for  $\langle V^{(0)} \dots V^{(0)} V^{(-1)} V^{(-1)} \rangle$   
from CHY / ambitwistor setup.

$\rightarrow$  Simp



Today: • how do extra  $\overline{\partial X} \partial X$  contractions  
affect amplitudes?  $\leadsto$  not much

• infer compact result for  $\langle V^{(0)} \dots V^{(0)} V^{(-1)} V^{(-1)} \rangle$   
from CHY / ambitwistor setup.

$\rightarrow$  Simple testing grounds:  $(E_1 \cdot E_2)(E_3 \cdot E_4)$

kinematics @ 4pt's



$$\langle V_1^{(0)} V_2^{(0)} V_3^{(-1)} V_4^{(-1)} \rangle_{(E_1, E_2)(E_3, E_4)} = \epsilon_\mu^1 \epsilon_\nu^2 \epsilon_\lambda^3 \epsilon_j^4 \langle \delta(y_3) \delta(y_4) \rangle$$

$$\langle \left[ i\partial X_1^\mu + 2\alpha'(k_1 \cdot \eta) \eta_1^\mu \right] \left[ i\partial X_2^\nu + 2\alpha'(k_2 \cdot \eta) \eta_2^\nu \right] \underbrace{\left[ \eta_3^\lambda \eta_4^\rho \prod_{j=1}^4 e^{i k_j \cdot X(z_j)} \right]}_{\eta^{\lambda\rho}/z_{34}} \rangle_{(E_1, E_2)(E_3, E_4)}$$

$-(2\alpha')^2 k_1 \cdot k_2 \eta^{\mu\nu} / z_{12}^2$



Integration by parts in  $(z_1, z_3, z_4) \rightarrow (0, 1, \infty)$  frame

$$0 \approx \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} \left( \frac{|z_2|^{s_{12}} |1-z_2|^{s_{23}}}{z_2} \right) = \left( \frac{s_{12}-1}{z_2} - \frac{s_{23}}{z_2(1-z_2)} \right) |z_2|^{s_{12}} |1-z_2|^{s_{23}}$$

what we have

what we want:

$SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -fixed  $(1, 2, 3, 4)^{-1}$

$J_n$  suppresses body terms

since  $\lim_{z_i \rightarrow z_j} |z_i - z_j|^{s_{ij}} = 0$



in ambitwistor string: PT instead of  $\omega X^u$

←

$$\Rightarrow \left\langle V_1^{(0)} V_2^{(0)} V_3^{(-1)} V_4^{(-1)} \right\rangle_{(E_1 E_2)(E_3 E_4)} = \frac{-s_{23} \int_4}{(1,2,3,4)} \text{ mod } \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} (\dots)$$

Analogous CHY / ambitwistor computation

$$\frac{\text{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} & z_3 & z_4 \\ z_3 & & \\ z_4 & & \end{pmatrix}}{z_3 - z_4} \Big|_{(E_1 E_2)(E_3 E_4)} = \frac{-s_{12}}{z_{12}^2 z_{34}^2} = \frac{-s_{23} \int_4}{(1,2,3,4)} \text{ mod } E_2$$

no "1-"

Same result in PT(-) representation



$$\Rightarrow \langle V_1^{(0)} V_2^{(0)} V_3^{(-1)} V_4^{(-1)} \rangle |_{(E_1, E_2)(E_3, E_4)} = \frac{-s_{23} \mathcal{J}_4}{(1, 2, 3, 4)} \text{ mod } \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} (\dots)$$

Analogous CHY / ambitwistor computation

$$\frac{\text{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 34 \\ 23 & 34 \end{pmatrix}}{z_{34} - z_{24}} |_{(E_1, E_2)(E_3, E_4)} = \frac{-s_{12}}{z_{12}^2 z_{34}^2} = \frac{-s_{23}}{(1, 2, 3, 4)} \text{ mod } E_2$$

Same result in PT(-) representation  
IBP takes the role of scattering equations

Bosonic string: no 424 in  $V^{bos}(E, h) = i \partial X^\mu \epsilon_\mu e^{ikX}$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \prod_{j=1}^4 V^{bos}(z_j) \rangle |_{(E_1, E_2)(E_3, E_4)} = \frac{\mathcal{J}_4}{z_{12}^2 z_{34}^2}$$



$$\Rightarrow \langle V_1^{(0)} V_2^{(0)} V_3^{(-1)} V_4^{(-1)} \rangle |_{(E_1, E_2)(E_3, E_4)} = \frac{-s_{23} \mathcal{J}_4}{(1, 2, 3, 4)} \text{ mod } \frac{\partial}{\partial z_2} (\dots)$$

Analogous CHY / ambitwistor computation

$$\frac{\text{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 34 \\ 34 & 4 \end{pmatrix}}{z_3 - z_4} |_{(E_1, E_2)(E_3, E_4)} = \frac{-s_{12}}{z_{12}^2 z_{34}^2} = \frac{-s_{23}}{(1, 2, 3, 4)} \text{ mod } E_2$$

Same result in PT (...) representation

IBP takes the role of scattering equations

tachyon pole

Bosonic string: no 424 in  $V^{\text{bos}}(E, k) = i \partial X^\mu \epsilon_\mu e^{ikX}$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \prod_{j=1}^4 V^{\text{bos}}(z_j) \rangle |_{(E_1, E_2)(E_3, E_4)} = \frac{\mathcal{J}_4}{z_{12}^2 z_{34}^2} = \frac{1}{1 - s_{12}} \text{ (superstring result)}$$



Clue: OPE difference [XX vs. PP]

between superstring / ambitwistor string washes out  
in Parke-Taylor form

Claim at npts:

$$\left\langle \prod_{i=1}^n V_i^{(0)} \right\rangle_{\text{mod } \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}} \Big|_{\text{PT}(\dots)} = (2\alpha')^{n-2} \int_n \text{Pf}' \mathcal{Q} \text{ mod } E_j$$



1.3 Parke Taylor factors & BCJ-relations

Recall n-pt doubly partial amplitude in CHY

$$m(\alpha | \beta) = \int d\mu_n \frac{1}{(\alpha)} \frac{1}{(\beta)} = \frac{d^2z_1 - d^2z_n}{\text{vol } SL_2(\mathbb{C})} \prod_{a=1}^n \delta(E_a)$$



### 1.3 Parke Taylor factors & BCJ-relations

Recall  $n$ -pt doubly partial amplitude in CHY

$$m(\alpha | \beta) = \int d\mu_n \frac{1}{(\alpha)} \frac{1}{(\beta)}, \quad d\mu_n = \frac{d^2 z_1 \dots d^2 z_n}{\text{vol } SL_2(\mathbb{C})} \prod_{a=1}^n \delta(E_a)$$

The matrix rank of  $m(\alpha | \beta)$  is  $(n-3)!$

↳ property of a single PT  $\frac{1}{(\alpha)}$  @ support of  $E$

$$\sum_{j=2}^{n-1}$$



$$\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \frac{k_1 \cdot k_{23 \dots j}}{(2, 3, \dots, j, 1, j+1, \dots, n)} = 0 \pmod{E_a} \quad k_2 + k_3 + \dots + k_j$$

Same is true for disk integrals

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \mathcal{I}_n = \mathcal{I}_n \sum_{i=j}^n \frac{s_{ij}}{z_{ji}} = \mathcal{I}_n E_j$$

No extra  $\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j}$  action in context of Parke-Taylor's

$$\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \frac{\mathcal{I}_n k_1 \cdot k_{23 \dots j}}{(2, 3, \dots, j, 1, j+1, \dots, n)} = 0 \pmod{\frac{\partial}{\partial z_a}}$$

[Reading homework:  
study appendix B.4  
of 1304.7267]



Corollary I: BCJ relation [0805.3993] of  $A_{YM}(\dots)$

$$A_{YM}(1, 2, \dots, n) = \int d\mu_n \frac{\mathcal{P}^4}{(1, 2, \dots, n)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} k_i \cdot k_{23 \dots j} A_{YM}(2, 3, \dots, j, 1, j+1, \dots, n) = 0$$

Corollary II: IBP



Corollary II: IBP relations of disk integral

$$Z(\rho | 1, 2, \dots, n) = (-2a')^{n-3} \int_{D(\rho | 1, 2, \dots, n)} \frac{dz_1 dz_2 \dots dz_n}{\text{vol } SL_2(\mathbb{R})} \frac{J_n}{(1, 2, \dots, n)}$$

"BCJ properties of PT is inert under extension by  $a'$ "

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \underbrace{k_1 \cdot k_2 \dots k_j}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{independent on } \rho}} Z(\rho | 2, 3, \dots, j, 1, j+1, \dots, n) = 0$$

[check that BCJ rel's are obeyed by 4pt  $Z(\cdot, \cdot)$  from last lecture]



# 1.4. Double-copy form of open superstring amplitudes

Insert CHY

BCJ basis expansion of  $A_{YM}$

$$A_{YM}(\tau(1,2,\dots,n)) = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in S_{n-3}} m(\tau(1,2,\dots,n) | 1, \alpha, n, n-1)$$

$(n-3)! \times (n-3)!$

matrix inverse

of  $m(1, \alpha, n, n-1 | 1, \beta, n-1, n)$

$\sum_{\alpha, \beta} [\alpha | \beta]_1 A_{YM}(1, \beta, n-1, n)$

in this basis: LOCAL  $\sim (k \cdot \epsilon)^{n-3}$

no typo



of  $m(1, \alpha, n, n-1 | 1, \beta, n-1, n)$

↳ no typo

in this basis LOCAL  $\sim (k \cdot k)^{n/3}$

↳ isomorphic to gravity amplitudes under  $\frac{1}{(\alpha)} \leftrightarrow \tilde{A}_{YM}(\alpha)$

$$M_{GR} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in S_{n-3}} \tilde{A}_{YM}(1, \alpha, n, n-1) S_0[\alpha | \beta]_1 A_{YM}(1, \beta, n-1, n)$$

Back to open superstring:

correlators in PT-form  $\sim \int_n Pf^{114}$



of  $m(1, \alpha, n, n-1 | 1, \beta, n-1, n)$

↳ no typo

in this basis: LOCAL  $\sim (k \cdot k)^{n/3}$

↳ isomorphic to gravity amplitudes under  $\frac{1}{(\alpha)} \leftrightarrow \tilde{A}_{YM}(\alpha)$  "KLT - li"

$$M_{GR} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in S_{n-3}} \tilde{A}_{YM}(1, \alpha, n, n-1) S_0[\alpha | \beta] A_{YM}(1, \beta, n-1, n)$$

Back to open superstring:

correlators in PT-form  $\sim \int_{PT} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{C} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{P}$

"KLT"

←



$A_{YM}(x)$  KLI-like formula for open superstrings

$$A_{open}(\tau(1,2,\dots,n); \alpha') = \int_{\mathcal{D}(\tau(1,2,\dots,n))} \frac{dz_1 \dots dz_n}{\text{vol } SL_2(\mathbb{R})} \frac{(-1)^{n-3}}{2\alpha'} \mathcal{J}_n (2\alpha')^{n-2} \left( \mathcal{P} \left[ \begin{matrix} \mathcal{P} \\ \mathcal{T} \end{matrix} \right] \right)$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha, \beta \in S_{n-3}} Z(\tau(1,2,\dots,n) | 1, \alpha, n, n-1) S_0[\alpha | \beta]_1 \underbrace{A_{YM}(1, \beta, n-1, n)}_{\text{all polarizations}}$$

First derived from pure spinor superstring [1106.2645, 1304.7267]  
 $\Rightarrow$  valid for gauge multiplet of  $D=10$  SYM & dimensional reduction

$\delta(E_a)$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} \mathcal{J}_n = \mathcal{J}_n \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{z_i}{z_j} = \mathcal{J}_n E_j$$

No extra  $\mathcal{J}_{z_j}$  action in context of Parke-Taylor's

$E_a = 0$

$$\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \frac{\mathcal{J}_n k_1 \cdot k_{23 \dots j}}{(2, 3, \dots, j, 1, j+1, \dots, n)} = 0 \text{ mod } \frac{\partial}{\partial z_a}$$