Title: PSI 17/18 Front End - Lie Groups and Lie Algebras (Dupuis)

Date: Aug 18, 2017 10:30 AM

URL: http://pirsa.org/17080052

Abstract:


Classification of semi-simple Lie algebras

↳ g semi-simple algebra

we want to build commutation relations similar to the ones we have for SU(2)

$$[J_3, J_\pm] = \pm J_\pm$$

$$[J_+, J_-] = J_3$$



Classification of semi-simple Lie algebras


↳ $\mathfrak{g}$ semi-simple algebra

we want to build commutation relations similar to the ones we have for SU(2)

$$[J_3, J_\pm] = \pm J_\pm$$

$$[J_+, J_-] = J_3$$

Step 1 Find maximal set of commuting generators → Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h}$



↳ g semi-simple algebra

we want to build commutation relations similar to the ones we have for $SU(2)$


$$[J_3, J_\pm] = \pm J_\pm$$


$$[J_+, J_-] = J_3$$

Step 1 Find maximal set of commuting generators → Cartan subalgebra h

Rank = $\dim h$ Rank $(su(2)) = 1 = A_1$

in this lecture: rank 2.




Step 2 Find the set of eigenvectors $E_{\vec{\alpha}}$ of all the H_i in the adjoint representation
$ad_{H_i}(E_{\vec{\alpha}}) = \alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}$
$[H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}] = \alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}$
$\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha$

envectors $E_{\vec{\alpha}}$ of all the H_i in the adjoint representation

$$ad_{H_i}(E_{\vec{\alpha}}) = \alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}} \qquad [H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}] = \alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}$$

$$\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_r) \quad \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}$$

Roots of the Lie algebras

Step 2 Find the set of eigenvectors $E_{\vec{\alpha}}$ of all the H_i in the adjoint representation

$$ad_{H_i}(E_{\vec{\alpha}}) = \alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}} \qquad [H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}] = \alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}$$

$\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha$

Roots

(Case of rank 2): roots are +1 and -1

Rank 2 Lie algebra.

Step 2 Find the set of eigenvectors $E_{\vec{\alpha}}$ of all the H_i in the adjoint representation

$$ad_{H_i}(E_{\vec{\alpha}}) = \alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}} \qquad [H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}] = \alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}} \qquad \vec{\alpha} = (\alpha$$

Roots


(Case of su(2): roots are +1 and -1

Rank 2 Lie algebra: roots are vectors in the 2D plane

tutorial: roots of su(3))

Step 3 Miracle! (geometry)

$R=2$


• Recall for su(2), $J_+ = J_-^\dagger$
$(E_{\vec{\alpha}})^\dagger = E_{-\vec{\alpha}}$ $\forall \vec{\alpha}$
$[H_i, (E_{\vec{\alpha}})^\dagger] = [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, H_i]^\dagger$
$= (-\vec{\alpha} E_{\vec{\alpha}})^\dagger = -\vec{\alpha} E^\dagger_{\vec{\alpha}}$
• Recall for su(2): $|m\rangle$ / $J_3|m\rangle = m|m\rangle$, we have $J_\pm |m\rangle \propto |m\pm 1\rangle$

su(2): $J_+ = J_-^\dagger$

$(E_{\vec{\alpha}})^\dagger = E_{-\vec{\alpha}} \quad \forall \vec{\alpha}$

$[H_i, (E_{\vec{\alpha}})^\dagger] = [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, H_i]^\dagger$

$= (-\vec{\alpha} E_{\vec{\alpha}})^\dagger = -\vec{\alpha} E^\dagger_{\vec{\alpha}}$

for su(2): $|m\rangle$ / $J_3|m\rangle = m|m\rangle$, we have $J_\pm |m\rangle \propto |m \pm 1\rangle$: analogues of raising and lowe

$E_{\vec{\alpha}}|\vec{\mu}\rangle$, $|\vec{\mu}\rangle$ is some eigenstate for all H_i with eigenvalue μ_i $\quad H_i|\vec{\mu}\rangle = \mu_i|\vec{\mu}\rangle$.

$H_i(E_{\vec{\alpha}}|\vec{\mu}\rangle) = ([H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}] + E_{\vec{\alpha}} H_i)|\vec{\mu}\rangle$

$(\alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}|\vec{\mu}\rangle + \mu_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}|\vec{\mu}\rangle) = (\alpha_i + \mu_i)(E_{\vec{\alpha}}|\vec{\mu}\rangle) \Rightarrow E_{\vec{\alpha}}|\vec{\mu}\rangle \propto |\vec{\mu} + \vec{\alpha}\rangle$ R


$|m\rangle = m|m\rangle$, we have $J_\pm |m\rangle \propto |m\pm 1\rangle$: analogues of raising and lowering operators?


$|\vec{\mu}\rangle$ eigenstate for all H_i with eigenvalue μ_i $\quad H_i|\vec{\mu}\rangle = \mu_i|\vec{\mu}\rangle$

$\vec{E_\alpha}$

$$\left([H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}] + E_{\vec{\alpha}} H_i\right)|\vec{\mu}\rangle$$

$$\alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}|\vec{\mu}\rangle + \mu_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}|\vec{\mu}\rangle = (\alpha_i + \mu_i)\left(E_{\vec{\alpha}}|\vec{\mu}\rangle\right) \Rightarrow E_{\vec{\alpha}}|\vec{\mu}\rangle \propto |\vec{\mu}+\vec{\alpha}\rangle$$ Raising operator!


From su(2): $[J_+, J_-] = J_3$.
$[E_2, E_{-2}]$?


From su(2): $[J_+, J_-] = J_3$.
$[E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}]$?
$= ad_{E_\alpha}(E_{-\alpha})$
Jacobi identity
$[H_i, [E_\alpha, E_{-\alpha}]] = [E_\alpha, [H_i, E_{-\alpha}]] - [E_{-\alpha}, [H_i, E_\alpha]]$

- From su(2): $[J_+, J_-] = J_3$.

$[E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}]$?

$= ad_{E_{\vec{\alpha}}}(E_{-\vec{\alpha}})$

Jacobi identity

$[H_i, [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}]] = [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, \underbrace{[H_i, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}]}_{-\alpha_i E_{-\vec{\alpha}}}] - [E_{-\vec{\alpha}}, \underbrace{[H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}]}_{\alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}}] = 0$


- From su(2): $[J_+, J_-] = J_3$.

$[E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}]$?

$= ad_{E_{\vec{\alpha}}}(E_{-\vec{\alpha}})$

Jacobi identity

$[H_i, \underbrace{[E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}]}_{\in h}] = [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, \underbrace{[H_i, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}]}_{-\alpha_i E_{-\vec{\alpha}}}] - [E_{-\vec{\alpha}}, \underbrace{[H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}]}_{\alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}}] = 0 \Rightarrow [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}$


$[J_+, J_-] = J_3$
$[E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}]$?
$ad_{E_{\vec{\alpha}}}(E_{-\vec{\alpha}})$
Jacobi identity
$[E_{\vec{\alpha}}, [E_{-\vec{\alpha}}, H_i]] - [E_{-\vec{\alpha}}, [H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}]] = 0 \Rightarrow [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}] = a_i H_i$
$[E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}] = [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, [H_i, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}]]$
$-\alpha_i E_{-\vec{\alpha}}$
$\alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}$
$\in \mathcal{H}$

- From $su(2)$: $[J_+, J_-] = J_3$.

$[E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}]$?

$= ad_{E_{\vec{\alpha}}}(E_{-\vec{\alpha}})$


Jacobi identity

$[H_i, [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}]] = [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, \underbrace{[H_i, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}]}_{-\alpha_i E_{-\vec{\alpha}}}] - [E_{-\vec{\alpha}}, \underbrace{[H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}]}_{\alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}}] = 0 \Rightarrow [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}]$

$\underbrace{\quad}_{\in \mathfrak{h}}$

- Killing form of $\mathfrak{g}$: symmetric bilinear form defined as

$$B(x,y) = \mathrm{Tr}(ad(x)\,ad(y)) \quad \forall x,y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$


dentity
$[H_i, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}]]) - [E_{-\vec{\alpha}}, [H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}]]) = 0 \Rightarrow [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}] = a_i H_i$
$-\alpha_i E_{-\vec{\alpha}}$
$\alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}$
g: symmetric bilinear form defined as
$B(x,y) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}(x)\,\mathrm{ad}(y)) \quad \forall x,y \in g.$
It is non-degenerate (semi-simple lie algebra).
B is an invariant form in the sense that
$B(x,[y,z]) = B([x,y],z)$


• Killing form of g: symmetric bilinear form defined as

$$B(x,y) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}(x)\,\mathrm{ad}(y)) \quad \forall x,y \in g.$$

It is non-degenerate
B is an invariant

$\Rightarrow$ duality relations

$$\mathrm{Tr}(H_i H_j) = \delta_{ij} \;, \quad \mathrm{Tr}(E_{\vec{\alpha}} E_{\vec{\beta}}) = \delta(\vec{\alpha}+\vec{\beta}) \;, \quad \mathrm{Tr}(E_{\vec{\alpha}} H_i) = 0$$


• Killing form of g: symmetric bilinear form defined as
$B(x,y) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}(x)\,\mathrm{ad}(y)) \quad \forall x,y \in g$
It is non-degenerate
B is an invariant
$\Rightarrow$ duality relations
$\mathrm{Tr}(H_i H_j) = \delta_{ij}$, $\mathrm{Tr}(E_{\vec{\alpha}} E_{\vec{\beta}}) = \delta(\vec{\alpha}+\vec{\beta})$, $\mathrm{Tr}(E_{\vec{\alpha}} H_i) = 0$
$\mathrm{Tr}(a_j H_j H_i) = a_i = \mathrm{Tr}([E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}] H_i)$
$= \mathrm{Tr}(E_\alpha E_{-\alpha} H_i - E_{-\alpha} E_\alpha H_i)$
$= \mathrm{Tr}(E_{\vec{\alpha}} [E_{-\vec{\alpha}}, H_i])$

- Killing form of g: symmetric bilinear form defined as

$$B(x,y) = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\mathrm{ad}(x)\,\mathrm{ad}(y)\right) \quad \forall x,y \in g$$

It is non-degenerate
B is an invariant


$\Rightarrow$ duality relations


$$\mathrm{Tr}(H_i H_j) = \delta_{ij} \;, \quad \mathrm{Tr}\left(E_{\vec{\alpha}} E_{\vec{\beta}}\right) = \delta(\vec{\alpha}+\vec{\beta}) \;, \quad \mathrm{Tr}(E_{\vec{\alpha}} H_i) = 0$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\alpha_j H_j H_i) = \alpha_i = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\left[E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}\right] H_i\right)$$
$$= \mathrm{Tr}\left(E_{\vec{\alpha}} E_{-\vec{\alpha}} H_i - E_{-\vec{\alpha}} E_{\vec{\alpha}} H_i\right)$$
$$= \mathrm{Tr}\left(E_{\vec{\alpha}} \left[E_{-\vec{\alpha}}, H_i\right]\right)$$
$$= \alpha_i \,\mathrm{Tr}\left(E_{\vec{\alpha}} E_{-\vec{\alpha}}\right) = \alpha_i$$

$\Rightarrow \left[E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}\right] = \vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{H}$

$\vec{H}$


g: symmetric bilinear form defined as
$B(x,y) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}(x)\,\mathrm{ad}(y)) \quad \forall x,y \in g$
It is non-degenerate (semi-simple lie algebra).
B is an invariant form in the sense that
$B(x,[y,z]) = B([x,y],z)$
$\mathrm{Tr}(E_{\vec{\alpha}} H_i) = 0$
$\mathrm{Tr}(E_{\vec{\alpha}} E_{\vec{\beta}}) = \delta(\vec{\alpha}+\vec{\beta})$
$\vec{H} = (H_1, \dots H_r)$
$\mathrm{Tr}([E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}] H_i)$
$\mathrm{Tr}(E_\alpha E_{-\alpha} H_i - E_{-\alpha} E_\alpha H_i)$
$\mathrm{Tr}(E_{\vec{\alpha}} [E_{-\vec{\alpha}}, H_i])$
$\alpha_i \mathrm{Tr}(E_{\vec{\alpha}} E_{-\vec{\alpha}}) = \alpha_i$
$\Rightarrow [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}] = \vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{H}$
$[H_i, H_j] = 0$
$= \alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}$


. Rescale sur generateurs
$E_+ = a E_{\vec{\alpha}}$
$E_- = a E_{-\vec{\alpha}}$
$E_3 = b\, \vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{H}$
form
$\Rightarrow$
a su(2) algebra
$[E_+, E_-] = E_3$
$[E_3, E_\pm] = \pm E_\pm$
$\Rightarrow$
$b = \frac{1}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}$
$a =$

$= E_3$

$= \pm E_\pm$

$\Rightarrow$ $b = \frac{1}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}$ $\Rightarrow$ we have $E_3 = \frac{\vec{\alpha}\,\vec{H}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}$

$a = \frac{1}{|\vec{\alpha}|}$ $E_\pm = \frac{1}{|\vec{\alpha}|} E_{\pm\vec{\alpha}}$

• Killing form of g: symmetric bilinear form defined as

$$B(x,y) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}(x)\mathrm{ad}(y))$$

Step 3 What does simple geometry tell us?

Assume that can find another pair $E_{\vec{\beta}}, E_{-\vec{\beta}}$ that satisfies $[H_i, E_{\vec{\beta}}$

Killing form of g: symmetric bilinear form defined as

$$B(x,y) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}(x)\mathrm{ad}(y)) \quad \forall x,y \in g.$$

B is an invariant form on the

does simple geometry tell us?

can find another pair $E_{\vec{\beta}}, E_{-\vec{\beta}}$ that satisfies $[H_i, E_{\vec{\beta}}) = \beta_i E_{\vec{\beta}}$

hat is $[E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}]$?

• Killing form of g: symmetric bilinear form defined as

$$B(x,y) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}(x)\mathrm{ad}(y)) \quad \forall x,y \in g.$$

Step 3 What does simple geometry tell us?

Assume that can find another pair $E_{\vec{\beta}}, E_{-\vec{\beta}}$ that satisfies $[H_i, E_{\vec{\beta}}] = \beta_i E_{\vec{\beta}}$


• What is $[E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}]$? ($\vec{\alpha}$)


$$(E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}) = \left(\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{H}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}, E_{\vec{\beta}}\right) = \frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2} E_{\vec{\beta}} \Rightarrow 2$$


• Raising operator E_+ will do to $E_{\vec{\beta}}$?


$$\mathrm{ad}_{E_3}\left(\mathrm{ad}_{E_+}(E_{\vec{\beta}})\right) = [E_3, [E_+, E_{\vec{\beta}}]) =$$


Jacobi identity



Killing form of g: symmetric bilinear form defined as
B(x,y) = Tr(ad(x) ad(y)) ∀ x,y ∈ g.
B is an invari
What does simple geometry tell us?
Assume that can find another pair $E_{\vec{\beta}}, E_{-\vec{\beta}}$ that satisfies $[H_i, E_{\vec{\beta}}] = \beta_i E_{\vec{\beta}}$
What is $[E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}]$?
(1/2)
$(E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}) = \left(\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{H}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}, \right.$
$\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2} E_{\vec{\beta}}$ ⇒ $2\frac{\vec{\alpha}\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}$ = integ
J_3 $J_+|m\rangle$
Raising operator E_+ will do to $E_{\vec{\beta}}$?
$ad_{E_3}(ad_{E_+}(E_{\vec{\beta}})) = [E_3, [E_+, E_{\vec{\beta}}]] =$



Sum of g: symmetric bilinear form defined as
$B(x,y) = \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}(x)\,\mathrm{ad}(y)) \quad \forall x,y \in g$
B is an invariant form in the sense that
a geometry tell us?
another pair $E_{\vec{\beta}}, E_{-\vec{\beta}}$ that satisfies $[H_i, E_{\vec{\beta}}] = \beta_i E_{\vec{\beta}}$
$[E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}]$?
$(E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}) = \left(\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{H}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}, E_{\vec{\beta}}\right) = \frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2} E_{\vec{\beta}}$
$\Rightarrow 2\frac{\vec{\alpha}\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}$ = integer
$J_3 J_+|m\rangle \propto |m+1\rangle$
E_+ will do to $E_{\vec{\beta}}$?
$(\mathrm{ad}_{E_+}(E_{\vec{\beta}})) = [E_3, [E_+, E_{\vec{\beta}}]] = [E_+, [E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}]] + [[E_3, E_+], E_{\vec{\beta}}]$
$= \frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}[E_+, E_{\vec{\beta}}] +$
Jacobi identity


another pair $E_{\vec{\beta}}, E_{-\vec{\beta}}$ that satisfies $[H_i, E_{\vec{\beta}}] = \beta_i E_{\vec{\beta}}$
$[E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}]$?
$(E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}) = \left(\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{H}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}, E_{\vec{\beta}}\right) = \frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2} E_{\vec{\beta}}$ $\Rightarrow 2\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}$ = integer
E_+ will do to $E_{\vec{\beta}}$?
$J_3 J_+ |m\rangle \propto |m+1\rangle$
$ad_{E_+}(E_{\vec{\beta}})) = [E_3, [E_+, E_{\vec{\beta}}]] = [E_+, [E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}]] + [[E_3, E_+], E_{\vec{\beta}}]$
$= \frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}[E_+, E_{\vec{\beta}}] + [E_+, E_{\vec{\beta}}] = \left(\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2} + 1\right)[E_+, E_{\vec{\beta}}]$
Jacobi identity
$= \alpha_i = Tr([E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}] H_i)$
$= Tr(E_{\vec{\alpha}} E_{-\vec{\alpha}} H_i - E_{-\vec{\alpha}} E_{\vec{\alpha}} H_i)$
$= Tr(E_{\vec{\alpha}}[E_{-\vec{\alpha}}, H_i])$
$= \alpha_i Tr(E_{\vec{\alpha}} E_{-\vec{\alpha}}) = \alpha_i$
$[E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}] = \vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{H}$
$[H_i, H_j] = 0$, $[H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}] = \alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}$


another pair $E_{\vec{\beta}}, E_{-\vec{\beta}}$ that satisfies $[H_i, E_{\vec{\beta}}] = \beta_i E_{\vec{\beta}}$
$[E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}]$?
$(E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}) = \left(\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{H}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}, E_{\vec{\beta}}\right) = \frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2} E_{\vec{\beta}}$ $\Rightarrow 2\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}$ = integer
E_+ will do to $E_{\vec{\beta}}$?
$J_3 J_+|m\rangle = \alpha |m+1\rangle$
$ad_{E_+}(E_{\vec{\beta}}) = [E_3, [E_+, E_{\vec{\beta}}]] = [E_+, [E_3, E_{\vec{\beta}}]] + [[E_3, E_+], E_{\vec{\beta}}]$
$= \frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}[E_+, E_{\vec{\beta}}] + [E_+, E_{\vec{\beta}}] = \left(\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2} + 1\right)[E_+, E_{\vec{\beta}}]$
Jacobi identity
$\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{\beta}+\vec{\alpha})}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2}$
$\alpha_i = Tr([E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}] H_i)$
$= Tr(E_\alpha E_{-\alpha} H_i - E_{-\alpha} E_\alpha H_i)$
$= Tr(E_{\vec{\alpha}} [E_{-\vec{\alpha}}, H_i])$
$= \alpha_i Tr(E_{\vec{\alpha}} E_{-\vec{\alpha}}) = \alpha_i$
$\Rightarrow [E_{\vec{\alpha}}, E_{-\vec{\alpha}}] = \vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{H}$
$[H_i, H_j] = 0$, $[H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}] = \alpha_i$


Finite representation: highest weight j
$|\beta\rangle \xrightarrow[p \text{ steps of } E_+]{} |j\rangle$
$|\beta\rangle \xrightarrow[q \text{ steps of } E_-]{} |-j\rangle$
$\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2} + p = j$
$\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2} - q = -j$
$2\frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{|\vec{\alpha}|^2} + p - q = 0$
efined as
$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{ad}(x)\,\mathrm{ad}(y))$ $\forall x,y \in g$.
It is non-
B is an in


$\vec{H} = (H_1, \dots H_r)$
$[H_i, H_j] = 0$
$[H_i, E_{\vec{\alpha}}] = \alpha_i E_{\vec{\alpha}}$


$\cos^2\theta = \frac{1}{4}$
0
$\frac{1}{4}$
$\frac{2}{4}$
$\frac{3}{4}$
1
$\pi/2$
$\pi/3$, $2\pi/3$
$\pi/4$, $3\pi/4$
$\pi/6$, $5\pi/6$
0, π


$\{\vec{\beta}-q\vec{\alpha}, \ldots \vec{\beta}, \ldots \vec{\beta}+p\vec{\alpha}\}$ α-chain through β

$E_{\beta'}$ / $\beta' \in$ α-chain through β constitute a $su(2)$ lie algebra with basis E_3, $E_\pm$


$\cos^2\theta = \frac{\vec{\alpha}\cdot\vec{\beta}}{\alpha^2}$	θ
0	$\frac{\pi}{2}$
$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3}$
$\frac{2}{4}$	$\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4}$
$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{5\pi}{6}$
1	$0, \pi$

K

angle between any pairs of roots



$so(4) \simeq su(2) \oplus su(2)$


$\vec{\beta}+p\vec{\alpha}\}$ α-chain through β.
$E_{\beta'}$ / $\beta' \in$ α-chain through β constitute a $su(2)$ lie algebra with basis E_3, $E_\pm$
angle between any pairs of roots
θ
$\frac{\pi}{2} \to so(4)$
$\frac{\pi}{3}, \frac{2\pi}{3} \to so(3)$
$\frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{3\pi}{4} \to so(5) \neq B_2$
$\frac{\pi}{6}, \frac{5\pi}{6} \to G_2$
$0, \pi$
$\frac{\pi}{2}$
$so(4) \simeq su(2) \oplus su(2)$
$\frac{\pi}{3}$
$so(3)$