Title: Hopf algebras and parafermionic lattice models

Date: Aug 04, 2017 09:30 AM

URL: http://pirsa.org/17080012

Abstract: Ground state degeneracy is an important characteristic of topological order. It is a natural question under what conditions such topological degeneracy extends to higher energy states or even to the full energy spectrum of a model, in such a way that the degeneracy is preserved when the Hamiltonian of the system is perturbed. It appears that Ising/Majorana wires have this property due to the presence of robust edge zero modes. Generalized wire models based on parafermions also have edge zero modes and topological degeneracy at special points in parameter space, but the stability of these modes is a much more intricate question. These models are related to Hopf algebras or tensor categories in several ways. In particular they are "golden

chain" type models based on fusion categories for boundary defects of Abelian TQFTs. As such they are part of a much larger class of Hopf algebra based chain models with edge modes. It is natural to ask which of these have stable edge zero modes and/or full spectrum degeneracy.





 $H = -\mu \sum_{i=1}^{L} (c_i^{\dagger} c_i - \frac{1}{2}) + \sum_{i=1}^{L} - \epsilon c_i^{\dagger} c_{i+1} + \Delta e^{i\theta} c_i^{\dagger} c_{i+1}$ $\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{2^{2}} \begin{pmatrix} -i\theta/2 & i\theta/2 & t \end{pmatrix} \\ \frac{1}{2^{2}j^{-1}} &= \frac{1}{$ KITHEN; PEWRITE WING MAJORAWAS $\partial_{i}^{\dagger} = \partial_{i} = \delta_{i}$ $H = -\mu \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{1}{2^{2i-i}} - t \sum_{i=1}^{L-i} \frac{1}{2^{2i-i}} (t = \Delta)$ (FM-) O THEN J. JL NO LONGER APPEAR IN H But {D, 23=0, [D, H]= (L, H)=0 -72-FOLD DEGEN

HOPE ALGEBRAS + PARAFERTIONS
NOTE THIS IS ALSO THE TRANSVERSE TSING TRADEL
SUTHERETRY
JOLDAN WIGNER: H--+ESCISSION STANDEL
STEPATIVELY CONSTRUCT REPORTORS ALSO FOR M40 (MCT)
WRITE
$$Y_{0} = \partial_{1} + \binom{M}{C} + \binom{M}{C}^{1} + \binom{M}{C}^{1} + etc
WANT $\begin{pmatrix} z_{1} + \binom{N}{C} & \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} & \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{1} + \binom{M}{C} \\ M_{2} +$$$

2 J2j-1 = zle J2j = zlie KITHEN ; REWRITE WING MAJORANAS $\begin{aligned} y_{j}^{\dagger} = \int_{A} \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial z_{i}} &= \sum_{i=1}^{L} \sum_{j=1}^{L} \frac{\partial y_{j}}{\partial z_{i}} &= \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{\partial y_{i}}{\partial z_{i}} &= \sum_{i=1}^{L} \frac{\partial y$ JI IF M->O THEN J., JEL NO LONGER APPEAR BUT {J., JEL NO LONGER APPEAR JA -> 2-FOLD DEGEN. MtM

CAN WRITE THU ALSO AS A COLOR ADMON CAMIN. GGGGG GKG= HY ISING IMAJORAWA CATATIN. HAMILITONIAN ASSIÈN ENERGIES E, EY TO NEIGHBORING 66 PAIRS 114 DEPENDING ON FUSION. 0000 ° ° ° 11/4 114 114 114 FOR PARATEMUNS: SAME WITH TY-CATS FOR ON 6×6= = 40+9,792 (23)

4 + T ISING IMASORAWA CATAIN. HTAMILITONIAN ASSIGN ENERCIES E, EY TO NEIGHBORING 66 PATRS 114 PEPENDING ON FUSION. FOR PARATENTIONS: SAME WITH TY-CATS FOR ON



Hopf Algebras and Parafermions

Some results from Phys. Rev. B 95, 235127 (2017), arxiv:1701.05270 *Parafermionic clock models and quantum resonance* Niall Moran, Domenico Pellegrino, <u>Joost Slingerland</u>, Graham Kells Maynooth University / Dublin Institute for Advance Studies



N = 3





Simple resonances



Coincidences between the energies of single domain walls cause simple resonances

Note: Order 1 resonances for odd N, $\theta=0$ (similarly for $\theta=\pi/N$) Order 2 resonances for even N, $\theta=0$ (order 1 for $\theta=\pi/N$)



Slightly less simple. N=3 at $\theta = \pi/6$ Need to change multiple walls for resonance.

With resonances, have to consider virtual processes between all states in the degenerate bands

Perturbation theory

At $\phi = 0$:

- First order processes
- For large *L*, splitting $\Delta E \propto \frac{f}{I}$

At $\phi \neq 0$ (off-resonant):

- No first or second order processes
- Numerically no processes to 8th order







All orders are consistent With error in PT expansion

N = 3 full spectrum splitting



Resonance points

The **a** and **b** bands cross at two values of ϕ given by:

$$\tan(\phi) = \frac{\sum_{j}^{N-1} \cos(\frac{2\pi j}{N})(a_j - b_j)}{\sum_{j}^{N-1} \sin(\frac{2\pi j}{N})(a_j - b_j)}$$



Resonance points



Natural Questions about Resonances

Q: Do all resonances cause Q-dependent energy splitting?

Q: Are resonance dense everywhere on the phi axis for large L? (worst case scenario)

Q: Finite size structure, e.g. Where are the largest gaps?

Q: Energy structure, e.g. At what energy does the nearest resonance point appear where resonances are dense?

Q: Any dependence on N?

Q: So, are there actually any strong zero modes in this model?

Third order process



Third order splitting at for 8 site chain at $\phi = \tan^{-1}(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{5})$ here $\epsilon_0 + 2\epsilon_1 = 3\epsilon_2$

Resonance Points for L=30 (N=3)







\mathbb{Z}_4 model

Qualitatively different

Have completely overlapping bands (different sets of domain walls with same energy at all ϕ)



\mathbb{Z}_4 model

Qualitatively different

Overlapping bands cause splitting everywhere



Max difference for 5 site chain with f = 0.01.

Looking more carefully at N=4

Can rewrite this model in terms of 2 coupled Ising (N=2) chains These decouple at $\theta=0$, $\theta=\pi/2$ and the model is solvable there



At solvable points (only) parafermionic zero modes in terms of Majoranas

$$\alpha_L = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{i\frac{\pi}{4}} \alpha_L^u - e^{-i\frac{\pi}{4}} \alpha_L^d \right)$$
$$\alpha_R = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\alpha_R^u Q^2 - i\alpha_R^d \right) T$$





Elsewhere no parafermionic modes, but:

Bosonic mode retained, Except at discrete resonance points.

To first order:

$$\begin{split} \Phi &= \sigma_{1,u}^{z} \sigma_{1,d}^{z} \\ &+ \frac{f}{J} \frac{\sigma_{1,u}^{x}}{\cos(2\theta)} \left(\cos(\theta) \sigma_{d,1}^{z} \sigma_{u,2}^{z} - \sin(\theta) \sigma_{d,1}^{z} \sigma_{d,2}^{z} \right) \\ &+ \frac{f}{J} \frac{\sigma_{1,d}^{x}}{\cos(2\theta)} \left(\cos(\theta) \sigma_{u,1}^{z} \sigma_{d,2}^{z} + \sin(\theta) \sigma_{u,1}^{z} \sigma_{u,2}^{z} \right) \end{split}$$

Some answers

Q: Do all resonances cause Q-dependent energy splitting? A: No, it depends on the "total domain wall angle"

Q: Are resonances dense everywhere on the phi axis for large L ? (worst case scenario) A: Yes

Q: Finite size structure, e.g. Where are the largest gaps? A: Around resonances! ("rational" points). Gaps there of order 1/L

Q: Energy structure, e.g. At what energy does the nearest resonance point appear where resonances are dense? A: Energy of resonance at distance d from another resonance is at least of order 1/d

Q: Any dependence on N? A: Yes, composite N always has degenerate bands for all 0

Q: So, are there actually any strong zero modes in this model? A: Bad Question? Maybe for special theta, with slightly relaxed definitions

More questions welcome!