Title: Gluing in factorization homology via quantum Hamiltonian reduction

Date: May 26, 2016 03:00 PM

URL: http://pirsa.org/16050052

Abstract: Topological factorization homology is an invariant of manifolds which enjoys a hybrid of the structures in topological field theory, and in singular homology. These invariants are especially interesting when we restrict attention to the factorization homology of surfaces, with coefficients in braided tensor categories. In this talk, I would like to explain a technique, related to Beck monadicity, which allows us to compute these abstractly defined categories, as modules for explicitly computable, and in many cases well-known, algebras.

 $<\!\!p\!\!><\!\!/p\!\!>$

The algebras produced in this way for the annulus and punctured torus are the so-called ``reflection equation algebra" and "quantum differential equation algebra", respectively. When we close up punctures, a variation on the our formalism naturally reproduces the framework of quantum Hamiltonian reduction, and leads to simultaneous deformations of categories D(g/G) of character sheaves on g, on the one hand, and categories $QC(Ch_G(T^2))$, of quasi-coherent sheaves on the character variety of T^2, on the other. We call these deformations "quantum character varieties", and they form the two-dimensional part of a four dimensional TFT related to Kapustin-Witten's geometric Langlands TFT, or "topologically twisted N=4 SYM".

jt w/ D. Ben-Zvi, A. Brochier A) $\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{5}{G}\right) = \mathcal{D}(m) - modules$ W some equivarian $G \partial g \left(\frac{5}{5}\right) = \mathcal{D}(m)$

A) Controls rep= theory of

A) Controls rep- theory of It w/ D. Ben-Zvi, U(g) via Harrsh-Chandra's A. Brochier characters. arises in several TFT B) contexts - 2D. theories Dijkgraaf-mitten point counts/Ever Jarackrister A) $\mathcal{D}\left(\frac{5}{G}\right) = \mathcal{D}(\sigma) - module$ w/ some equivar SD: themes vector space (petions) $= 4D, \quad \xi \longrightarrow QCeh(Ch_{c}(\xi))$ SYM theory, in topological traight.

In fact, the two questions have a common ensures: a's (Heh) and (krister) e (setions de) Chall.

theory of In fact, the two questions tarish-Chandra's have a common ensues! Dramonal of degenerations. end TFT O(G)Uloj Dijkgraaf-witten vector space (setions)

theory of tarish-Chandra's evel TFT O(G)Uloj Dijkgraaf-witten vector Space (sections) in topological twist.

In fact, the two questions have a common ensures: OgerFRTRATT. a Repg(G) & Repg(C) Diamond of degenerations. OgRE a Repg G \vee B \vee \cdot \vee B \vee = \vee B \vee B \vee B \vee Viele way Filling Uloj O(G) en section 3

In fact, The two guestions have a common ensures: OgERVRTT, a Repg(C) & Repg(C) Diamond of degenerations. OgRE a Repg G OfEC) \vee \boxtimes \vee \cdot \vee \cong \vee = \vee * \otimes \vee * \otimes \vee \otimes \vee $a_{1}^{2}a_{2}^{2} = a_{2}^{2}a_{1}^{2} + (1 - q^{2})(a_{1}^{2}a_{2}^{2} - (a_{2}^{2})) = a_{1}^{2}a_{1}^{2}a_{2}^{2}$ Form O(G)Ulo a:=Sj+tE; q=et $Y = E_{2}^{2} = E_{2}^{2} = E_{1}^{2} + E_{2}^{2} - E_{1}^{2} + o(E_{2}^{2})$

In fact, the two questions have a common ensures: Og REFURTT, a Repair (C) & Repair (C) Diamond of dogenerations. Og RE a Repair (C) QEC) alder \lor "B \lor · \lor " B \lor = \lor " B \lor $Q_1^2 a_2^2 = a_2^2 a_1^2 + (1 - q^2)(a_1^2 a_2^2 - (a_2^2)) = \delta_1 \otimes \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2$ cl. dag. Filling Uloj O(G) a'= Sj+tE; Jeh 2ªet (krister) $V = \frac{1}{E_2} = \frac{1}{E_2} = \frac{1}{E_1} + \frac{1}{E_2} = \frac{1}{E_1} + \frac{1}{E_2} + \frac{1}{E_2} + \frac{1}{E_2} + \frac{1}{E_1} +$ Section 1

of in fact, two questions Og FRT/RTT, G Repg (G) & Repg (C) Chandra's have a common answes! \lor "BV \cdot "BW = " Diamonal of dagenerations. One a Rep. G. $Q_1^2 a_2^2 = a_2^2 a_1^2 + (1 - q^2)(a_1^2 a_2^2 - (a_2^2)) = \delta_1 \otimes \omega^2 \otimes \omega^2$ OFFG) TFT cl. dag quest-Uloj $\Theta(G)$ a;=S;+ = E; af-witten a=et $E_{1}^{2}:E_{2}^{2}:E_{2}^{2}:E_{1}^{2}+E_{2}^{2}-E_{1}^{2}+o(E_{1}^{2})$ Space (section) (budle) Ø(G×G); 6×6= {+1, (€))→G} pological twist.





Starting points for construction! 1) Ben-Zvi-Francis-Nodler. $\int \operatorname{Rep} G = Q(oh((h_{g}(z))))$ $\sum_{z} B_{n}(z) = \pi_{i}(\operatorname{Gonf}_{n}(z)).$ T2) of wor

Starting points for construction," eduction; 1) Ben-Rvi-Francis-Nodler. $\int Rep G = Q(oh((h_{G}(z))))$ $= B_{n}(z) = \pi_{1}(Gonf_{n}(z)).$ O((L_(T2)) Da (G) is a normal source Lijeners-

Fact handogy of surfaces in category. The Bradner Repg = Off (G)-mod. I BL Bradner Repg = Off (G)-mod. Any SREPGE= Di(G)-mod. 0= (Gonf, (E1). $\int \operatorname{Rep}_{2}(G) \simeq \operatorname{tr}(\operatorname{JRep}_{G}) \simeq \operatorname{D}_{q}^{4}(\underline{\mathcal{G}}) - \operatorname{mod}_{q}$ Study: JRep G

Per (Lurie, Ayula-Francis) Mfldz= 06 surfaces w1(2,mkd) Mfldz,mkd morphisms are spaces f sfembeddings. > Mfld2 ABA ∫ A 0 association 0 G) 60) ob disjon unions of Jisks. E 18 = 2-rat of k-linear extensives i w/ Delight tensor producet, 1001 Basic Information, Disk -> CB braided tensor category.

t w/ D. Ben-Zvi, nf = xcisian a Kiom 1 A. Brochier a tensor category refrons in modules D & C

1(G) Rep1(C) Ham reduction. \vee $\exists \vee \cdot \vee$ $\forall \exists \vee = \vee$ $\forall \forall \vee \land \lor \lor$ G $\mathcal{D}_{q}(\frac{\mathcal{G}}{\mathcal{G}})$ + $(1-q^2)(a'_1a'_2(a'_2))$ = $(a'_1a'_2(a'_2))$ 2(== Dow