

Title: Relative non-commutative Calabi-Yau structures and shifted Lagrangians

Date: Apr 20, 2016 09:30 AM

URL: <http://pirsa.org/16040079>

Abstract: We give a definition of relative Calabi-Yau structure on a dg functor $f: A \rightarrow B$, discussing a examples coming from algebraic geometry, homotopy theory, and representation theory. When $A=0$, this returns the usual definition of Calabi-Yau structure on a smooth dg category B . When A itself is endowed with a Calabi-Yau structure and relative Calabi-Yau structure on f is compatible with the absolute structure on A , then we sketch the construction of a shifted symplectic structure on the derived moduli space M_A of pseudo-perfect A -modules, as well as the construction of a Lagrangian structure on the induced map $f^* : M_B \rightarrow M_A$ of derived moduli. This is joint work with Tobias Dyckerhoff.

There is an adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mod } k & \xrightleftharpoons{S \otimes_k^-} & \text{Mod } S^e \\
 & \text{RHom}_{S^e}(S, -) & \\
 S \otimes_k^- & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{RHom}_{S^e}(S, S^e) \\
 \uparrow \text{prec} & & \downarrow \text{when} \\
 & & S \otimes_k^- \text{ takes perfects} \\
 & & \text{to perfects} \\
 & & \Leftrightarrow S \in \text{Perf } S^e
 \end{array}$$

Such a dg category
is called 'smooth'.

There is an adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mod } k & \xrightleftharpoons{S \otimes_k -} & \text{Mod } S^e \\
 & \uparrow \text{RHom}_{S^e}(S, -) & \\
 S^! \otimes_{S^e} - & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{RHom}_{S^e}(S, S^e) \\
 \text{pre} & \text{when} & \\
 S \otimes_k - & \text{takes perfects} & \\
 & \text{to perfects} & \\
 \Leftrightarrow & S \in \text{Perf}_{S^e} &
 \end{array}$$

Such a dg category
is called 'smooth'.

If S smooth, get

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mod } k & \xrightleftharpoons{- \otimes_k S} & \text{Mod } S^e \\
 & \uparrow - \otimes_{S^e} S &
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{CC}_*(S) \simeq S \otimes_{S^e} S \simeq \text{RHom}_{S^e}(S^!, S)$$

There is an adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mod } k & \xrightleftharpoons[S \otimes_k -]{S \otimes_k -} & \text{Mod } S^e \\
 & \uparrow \text{RHom}_{S^e}(S, -) & \\
 S^! \otimes_{S^e} - & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{RHom}_{S^e}(S, S^e) \\
 \uparrow \text{prec} & & \uparrow \text{when} \\
 S \otimes_k - & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \text{perfects} \\
 & & \downarrow \text{to perfects} \\
 \Leftrightarrow S \in \text{Perf}_{S^e}
 \end{array}$$

Such a dg category is called 'smooth'.

If S smooth, get

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Mod } k & \xrightleftharpoons[-\otimes_k S]{-\otimes_k S} & \text{Mod } S^e \\
 & \uparrow -\otimes_{S^e} S & \\
 \text{Mod } S^e & &
 \end{array}$$

$$\text{CC}_*(S) \simeq S \otimes_{S^e} S \simeq \text{RHom}_{S^e}(S^!, S)$$

Hochschild chains has extra symmetry making it a $S^!$ -mixed complex

$\begin{array}{ccc} & \uparrow b, B & \\ \text{differential} & & \text{action of } [S^!] \end{array}$

Negative cyclic chains

$$CC_*(S)^{S^1} \cong CC_*^-(S) = (CC_*(S)[[U]], b + Bu)$$

If coho grading, then

$$|b| = 1, |B| = -1, |u| = 2.$$

(Kontsevich, Ginzburg)

Def'n A Calabi-Yau structure of dim'n d on a (smooth) dg category S is

$$k[d] \xrightarrow{\theta} CC_*^-(S)$$

$$[\theta] \in HC_d^-(S)$$

s.t. under the map

$$CC_*^-(S) \rightarrow CC_*(S) \cong \text{RHom}_{\text{se}}(S^!, S)$$

$$\theta \mapsto (S^![d] \rightarrow S)$$

↑
an equivalence.

Negative cyclic chains

$$CC_*(S)^{S^1} \cong CC_*^-(S) = (CC_*(S)[[U]], b + Bu)$$

If onto grading, then

$$|b| = 1, |B| = -1, |u| = 2.$$

(Kontsevich, Ginzburg)

Def'n A Calabi-Yau structure of dim d on a (smooth) dg category S is

$$k[d] \xrightarrow{\theta} CC_*^-(S)$$

$$[\theta] \in HC_d^-(S)$$

s.t. under the map

$$CC_*^-(S) \rightarrow CC_*(S) \cong \text{RHom}_{\text{se}}(S^!, S)$$

$$\theta \mapsto (S^![d] \rightarrow S)$$

↑
an equivalence.

Ganatra's thesis

for Ao-Formulas / Perutz, Sheridan.

dual notion involving S -coinvariants.

\Rightarrow cyclically invariant non-degenerate pairings in Hom-spaces...

strong CY category.

Show If S smooth, CY

can show $\mathcal{S}^{\text{pr}} = \{s \in S \mid S(-, s) := S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Perf}_k\}$
is CY in proper sense.

so that

$\omega_X^{\circ}[-d]$ is a line bundle.

$$S = \text{Coh}(X),$$

$$CC_*(S) \simeq \text{RHom}_{X \times X}(\Delta_* \mathcal{O}, \Delta_* \omega_X^{\circ})$$

in particular

$$HH_d(\text{Coh } X) \simeq H^0(X, \omega_X^{\circ}[-d])$$

The non-degeneracy cond'n of def'n $\Leftrightarrow \theta : \mathcal{O}_X \xrightarrow{\sim} \omega_X^\vee[-d]$

So $\text{Coh}(X)$ being CY of dim d happens when X is CY.

Need a lift from HH to HC^-

$\text{HH} \Rightarrow \text{HC}^-$ spectral sequence

see $\text{HC}_d^-(\text{Coh } X) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{HH}_d(\text{Coh } X)$

Ex. M ^{connected} compact oriented manifold w/ $[M] \in H_d(M; k)$

$S = \text{Loc } M \simeq \text{Perf } C_* \Omega M$

Goodwillie $CC_*(\text{Loc } M) \simeq C_*(LM)$

$\leftarrow S \begin{matrix} \uparrow \\ \text{equiv.} \\ \uparrow \end{matrix}$

check non-degeneracy $[M] \in C_*(k)$ in terms of 'Spivak stable normal bundle'

\Rightarrow Poincaré duality w/ local coefficients. Mal'nev

Moduli of objects
d'après Toën-Vaquié.

$$\text{dSt}_k \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Perf}} \\ \xleftarrow{M} \end{array} \text{dcat}^{\text{op}}$$

quasi-equiv.

Given $S \in \text{dcat}$, M_S is ...

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{\text{dSt}}(\text{Spec } A, M_S) &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{dcat}^{\text{op}}}(\text{Perf } A, S) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{dcat}}(S^{\text{op}}, \text{Perf } A) \end{aligned}$$

Thm (Toën-Vaquié) If S is of finite type, then M_S is locally of finite presentation & locally geometric. And moreover given $k \cdot \text{pt}$ $s \in M_S$

$$T_{M_S/s} \simeq \text{RHom}(s, s)[1].$$

EX. X p.t. scheme, $S = \text{Coh}(X)$

Then k -pts of M_S are
given by $\text{Coh}(X)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Perf}_k$

Restrict \mathcal{F} to be perfect
and have compact support.

can show $\int^{\text{pr}} = \{S \in \mathcal{S} \mid S(-, S) = S^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Perf}_k\}$
 is CY in proper sense.

in particular

$$\text{HH}_d(\text{Coh } X) \cong H^d(X, \omega_X[-d])$$

Ex. X p.t. scheme, $\mathcal{S} = \text{Coh}(X)$

Then k -pts of $M_{\mathcal{S}}$ are
 given by $\text{Coh}(X)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\text{RHom}(-, \mathcal{F})} \text{Perf}_k$

Restrict \mathcal{F} to be perfect
 and have compact support.

Thm (- Dyckerhoff)

Let \mathcal{S} be a finite type dg
 category w/ CY structure

$\theta \in \text{HC}_d^-(\mathcal{S})$ of dim'n d

Then $M_{\mathcal{S}}$ has an induced
 symplectic structure of ~~deg~~ $2-d$.

is called 'smooth',

differential of $[S^1]$

Sketch of construction

The universal property of M_S gives a universal module

$$S^{op} \longrightarrow \text{Perf } M_S$$

adjoint to $M_S = M_S$.

Apply CC_* : S^1 -equiv.

$$CC_*(S) \simeq CC_*(S^{op}) \xrightarrow{\quad} CC_*(\text{Perf } M_S)$$

Need to compose w/ the map

$$CC_*(\text{Perf } M_S) \longrightarrow CC_*(M_S)$$

(Rmk. If M_S were quasi-compact, this would be an equiv.)

is called 'smooth',

differential of $[S^1]$

Sketch of construction

The universal property of M_S gives a universal module

$$S^{op} \longrightarrow \text{Perf } M_S$$

adjoint to $M_S = M_S$.

Apply CC_* : S^1 -equiv.

$$CC_*(S) \simeq CC_*(S^{op}) \xrightarrow{\quad} CC_*(\text{Perf } M_S)$$

Need to compose w/ the map

$$CC_*(\text{Perf } M_S) \longrightarrow CC_*(M_S)$$

(Rmk. If M_S were quasi-compact, this would be an equiv.)

Using Hochschild-Kostant-Rosenberg get an equiv of mixed complexes

$$CC_*(M_S) \xrightarrow{\simeq} DR(M_S)$$

$$k[d] \xrightarrow{\theta} CC_*(S)$$
$$[\theta] \in HC_d^-(S)$$

Finally, project to wt 2
to get map

$$CC_*(S) \rightarrow DR(M_S)(2)$$
$$\theta \longmapsto \omega.$$

$$k[d] \xrightarrow{\theta} CC_*(S)$$

$$[\theta] \in HC_d^-(S)$$

Finally, project to wt 2
to get map

$$CC_*(S) \rightarrow DR(M_S)(2)$$

$$\theta \mapsto \omega.$$

Get closed 2-form.

Need to check non-degenerate.

$$k[d] \xrightarrow{\theta} CC_*(S)$$

$$[\theta] \in HC_d^-(S)$$

Finally, project to wt 2
to get map

$$CC_*(S) \rightarrow DR(M_S)(2)$$

$$\theta \mapsto \omega.$$

Get closed 2-form.

Need to check non-degenerate.

This involves comparing
two a priori different maps

$$\mathbb{T}_{M_S}[-1] \rightarrow \mathbb{T}_{M_S}^*[1-d]$$

Compatibility is a generalised
compatibility between
Chern/Atiyah.