

Title: AMATH 875/PHYS 786 - Fall 2015 - Lecture 15

Date: Nov 02, 2015 01:30 PM

URL: <http://pirsa.org/15110000>

Abstract: <p>Course Description coming soon.</p>

GR for Cosmology, Achim Kempf, Fall 15, Lecture 15

Note Title

Recall:

$$\text{E.g.: } L_{EM} = -\frac{1}{16\pi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}$$



$$S'[g_{\mu\nu}, \Psi] = \int \left(\frac{1}{16\pi G} R(g_{\mu\nu}(x)) + L_{\text{matter}}(g_{\mu\nu}(x), \Psi^{(i)}(x), \Psi_{,r}^{(i)}(x)) \right) \sqrt{g} d^4x$$

$$\frac{\delta S'}{\delta \Psi^{(i)}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \text{Eqns. of motion of matter}$$

(Maxwell, Klein Gordon eqns. etc)

$$\frac{\delta S'}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \text{Einstein equation:}$$

$$\nabla^\nu \nabla_\nu - R_{\mu\nu} = \dots$$

$$\frac{\delta S}{\delta g_{\mu\nu}} = 0$$

\Rightarrow Einstein equations:

$$R^{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}R = 8\pi G T^{\mu\nu}$$

What if we allow ourselves arbitrary bases in $T(M)$?

Recall:



□ Frames $\{\theta^\mu\}, \{e_\mu\}$:

Often, one uses as the bases of $T_o(M)$, and $T_o(M)'$ the

What if we allow ourselves arbitrary bases in $T_p(M)$?

Recall:

□ Frames $\{\theta^r\}, \{e_r\}$:



Often, one uses as the bases of $T_p(M)$, and $T_p(M)'$ the canonical bases $\{dx^r\}$ and $\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}\}$ respectively, which suggest themselves when one chooses coordinates, say (x^0, \dots, x^3) .

Thus, when changing coordinate system, $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$, one also usually automatically changes basis in $T_p(M), T_p(M)'$.

Important: The only reason why the components of a tensor can change when we change coordinates is that we can change basis in the (co-) tangent spaces, namely from one canonical basis to another canonical basis, when we change coord. system.

Recall:

a fixed vector has different coefficients in different bases:

$$\left(\xi^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \xi^{\nu} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^{\mu}} = \bar{\xi}^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^{\mu}} \Rightarrow \bar{\xi}^{\mu} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \xi^{\nu} \right)$$

$$\xi = \xi^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} = \bar{\xi}^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{x}^{\nu}}$$

We notice: If we choose a fixed basis, say $\{\theta^m\}$, $\{e_m\}$ then the coefficients of tensors no longer depend on the choice of coordinates!

We notice: If we choose a fixed basis, say $\{\theta^m\}, \{e_r\}$ then the coefficients of tensors no longer depend on the choice of coordinates!

E.g.: $\xi = \tilde{\xi}^r e_r$ the same numbers in every coordinate system.

Conversely: Even staying with one coordinate system, we can freely change our choice of basis in the (co-)tangent spaces:

$$\theta^r = A^r \circ \theta^v$$

scalar functions.

$$e'_r = (A^{-1})_r^v e_v$$

So we have ...

Conversely: Even staying with one coordinate system, we can freely change our choice of basis in the (co-)tangent spaces:

scalar functions.

$$\theta^r = A^r \circ \theta^v$$

$$e'_r = (A^{-1})_r \circ e_v$$

So we have e.g.:

$$\xi = \xi^r e_r = \xi^r A^v{}_r e'_v = \xi'^v e'_v$$

I.e.:

$$\xi'^v = A^v{}_r \xi^r$$

- Examples:
- The curvature form: $\Omega^r{}_v = A^r_a (A^{-1})_v{}^b \Omega^a{}_b$
 - But: the connection form $\omega^r{}_v(\xi) = \xi^k \Gamma^r_{kv}$ obeys:

$$\theta^r = \overset{\leftarrow}{A^r} \circ \theta^v$$

$$e'_r = (A^{-1})_r{}^v e_v$$

So we have e.g.:

$$\xi = \xi^r e_r = \xi^r A^v{}_r e'_v = \xi'^v e'_v$$

I.e.:

$$\xi'^v = A^v{}_r \xi^r$$



Examples: □ The curvature form: $\Omega^r{}_v = A^r_a (A^{-1})_v{}^b \Omega^a{}_b$

□ But: the connection form $\omega^r{}_v(\xi) = \xi^k \Gamma^r{}_k{}_v$ obeys:

$$\omega^r{}_v = A^r_a \omega^a{}_b (A^{-1})_v{}^b - (dA)^r{}_c (A^{-1})_v{}^c$$

How to specify frames?

In an arbitrary coordinate system, we may specify the bases in terms of the canonical bases:

$$\theta^i(x) = A^i_j(x) dx^j$$

(Another possibility? Take n scalar functions f^1, \dots, f^n and define $\theta^i := df^i$. For generic functions these $\{\theta^i\}$ will be linearly independent almost everywhere)

Note: the $A^i_j(x)$ change nontrivially when changing the coordinate system!

Our choice now: orthonormal frames, or "Tetrads":

□ We say that a frame $\{\theta^i\}, \{e_i\}$ is orthonormal if in this frame, for all pEM:

Our choice now: orthonormal frames, or "Tetrads":

- We say that a frame $\{\theta^r\}, \{e_r\}$ is orthonormal if in this frame, for all $p \in M$:

$$g(e_r, e_s) = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{r,s} = \gamma_{rs} \quad \text{i.e. if: } g = -\theta^r \otimes \theta^s + \sum_{i=1}^3 \theta^i \otimes \theta^i$$

- Existence? Always: At each $p \in M$ may choose e.g. $\theta^r = dx^r$ where dx^r are canonical ON basis at centre of a geodesic cds.

- Uniqueness?

For a given space-time, (M, g) , any ON frame

□ Existence? Always: At each $p \in M$ may choose e.g.
 $\theta^r = dx^r$ where dx^r are canonical ON basis at centre of a geodesic cds.

□ Uniqueness?

For a given space-time, (M, g) , any ON frame yields a new ON frame by transforming the bases through



$$\theta'^r(x) = \Lambda(x)^r_a \theta^a(x),$$

if the linear maps $\Lambda(x)$ preserve the orthonormality:

$$\gamma_{\mu\nu} \theta'^r \otimes \theta'^{\nu} = \gamma_{ab} \theta^a \otimes \theta^b$$

recall: this is the defining equation
for Lorentz transformations.

i.e. if: $\Lambda^r_a \Lambda^b_b \gamma_{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{\mu\nu}$ (*)

Q Uniqueness?

For a given space-time, (M, g) , any ON frame yields a new ON frame by transforming the bases through

$$\theta'^\mu(x) = \Lambda(x)^\mu{}_\nu \theta^\nu(x),$$

if the linear maps $\Lambda(x)$ preserve the orthonormality:

$$\eta_{\mu\nu} \theta'^\mu \otimes \theta'^\nu = \eta_{ab} \theta^a \otimes \theta^b$$

i.e. if: $\Lambda^a{}_b \Lambda^b{}_c \eta_{\mu\nu} = \eta_{ab}$ (*)

recall: this is the defining equation
for Lorentz transformations.

\Rightarrow Frames are unique up to local Lorentz transformations.

Re-express the degrees of freedom:

- o We used to specify space-times through these data: (M, g)
- o Now, let us specify space-times, equivalently, through data $(M, \{\theta^i\})$:

Namely:

Assume the $\{\theta^i\}$ are given w. resp. to a basis $\{dx^\nu\}$.

through functions A^ν_ν ,

$$\theta^\nu(x) = A^\nu_\nu(x) dx^\nu$$

$$\theta^r(x) = A^\mu_a(x) dx^a$$

so that: $g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = g_{\mu\nu}$ in the basis $\{\theta^i\}$!

Notice: knowing the $A^\mu_a(x)$, we can reconstruct $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ in basis $\{dx^\mu\}$:

We use that the abstract g is the same in every basis:

$$g = \underbrace{g_{\mu\nu} \theta^{\mu} \otimes \theta^{\nu}}_{\text{because it's tetrad}} = \underbrace{g_{\mu\nu} A^\mu_a A^\nu_b}_{=} dx^a \otimes dx^b = g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu \otimes dx^\nu$$



- c - μ, ν, a, b, \dots

Notice: knowing the $A^{\mu\nu}(x)$, we can reconstruct $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$ in basis $\{dx^\mu\}$:

We use that the abstract g is the same in every basis:

$$g = \underbrace{\eta_{\mu\nu} \theta^{\mu} \otimes \theta^{\nu}}_{\text{because it's tetrad}} = \eta_{\mu\nu} \overbrace{A^{\mu}_a A^{\nu}_b dx^a \otimes dx^b}^{=} = g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^{\mu} \otimes dx^{\nu}$$

\Rightarrow

$$g_{ab}(x) = \eta_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu}_a(x) A^{\nu}_b(x)$$



\Rightarrow

$\{\theta^i(x)\}$ indeed determines $g_{\mu\nu}(x)$:

The $A''_w(x)$ carry all physical (here shape) info!

But how exactly does $\tilde{A}_w(x)$ encode $c_{jk}, \omega_j^i, \Omega_j^i$?

□ Start with orthonormal frame:

$$\Theta^i(x) = A_{;j}^i(x) dx^j$$

1.) How do the $A_{j,k}^i(x)$ determine the $C_{j,k}^i(x)$?

Recall from lecture 11:

$$d\theta^i(x) = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{jk}(x) \theta^j(x) \wedge \theta^k(x)$$

□ Start with orthonormal frame:

$$\Theta^i(x) = A^i_j(x) dx^j$$

1.) How do the $A^i_j(x)$ determine the $C^i_{jk}(x)$?

Recall from lecture 11:

$$d\Theta^i(x) = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{jk}(x) \Theta^j(x) \wedge \Theta^k(x)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Here: } d\Theta^i(x) &= A^i_{jk}(x) dx^k \wedge dx^j \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{ab} \Theta^a \wedge \Theta^b = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{ab} A^a_k A^b_j dx^k \wedge dx^j \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow A^i_{jk} = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{ab} A^a_k A^b_j$$

$$\Rightarrow C^i_{ab}(x) = -2 A^i_{jk}(x) (A^{-1}(x))^{j*}_k (A^{-1}(x))^{k*}_b$$

$$\text{Here: } d\Theta^i(x) = A_{j,k}^i(x) dx^k \wedge dx^j$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} C_{ab}^i \theta^a \wedge \theta^b = -\frac{1}{2} C_{ab}^i A_a^a A_b^b dx^a \wedge dx^b$$

$$\Rightarrow A_{j,k}^i = -\frac{1}{2} C_{ab}^i A_a^a A_b^b$$

$$\Rightarrow C_{ab}^i(x) = -2 A_{j,k}^i(x) (A^{-1}(x))^{j,k} (A^{-1}(x))^{k,b}$$

2.) The $C_{jk}^i(x)$ yield the $\Gamma_{jk}^i(x)$ through:



(lecture 11)

$$\Gamma_{ki}^\ell := \frac{1}{2} \left(C_{ki}^\ell - g_{is} g^{sj} C_{ri}^s - g_{rs} g^{sj} C_{ij}^s \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} g^{sj} (g_{ijk} + g_{jki} - g_{kij})$$

These all vanish
because $g_{\mu\nu} = 0$ now

Note: This is a simplification for the moment. It is not true in general.

$$\Rightarrow C_{ab}^i(x) = -2 A_{,j,k}^i(x) (A^{-1}(x))^{j\kappa} (A^{-1}(x))^k_b$$

2.) The $C_{jk}^i(x)$ yield the $\Gamma_{jk}^i(x)$ through:

$$\Gamma_{ki}^j := \frac{1}{2} \left(C_{ki}^j - g_{is} g^{sj} C_{ki}^s - g_{ks} g^{sj} C_{is}^s \right) \quad (\text{lecture 11})$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} g^{sj} (g_{ijk} + g_{jki} - g_{kij}) \quad \begin{array}{l} \leftarrow \text{These all vanish} \\ \text{because } g_{\mu\nu,0} = 0 \text{ now} \end{array}$$

Notice: This simplifies for orthonormal frames with $g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \delta_{\mu\nu}$!

3.) The $\Gamma_{kj}^i(x)$ yield the $\omega_j^i(x)$:

$$\omega_j^i(x) := \Gamma_{kj}^i(x) \theta^k(x)$$

"T1, and ... ,"

□ Start with orthonormal frame:

$$\Theta^i(x) = A^i_j(x) dx^j$$

1.) How do the $A^i_j(x)$ determine the $C^i_{jk}(x)$?

Recall from lecture 11:



$$d\Theta^i(x) = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{jk}(x) \Theta^j(x) \wedge \Theta^k(x)$$

$$\text{Here: } d\Theta^i(x) = A^i_{j,k}(x) dx^k \wedge dx^j$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{ab} \Theta^a \wedge \Theta^b = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{ab} A^a_k A^b_j dx^k \wedge dx^j$$

$$\Rightarrow A^i_{j,k} = -\frac{1}{2} C^i_{ab} A^a_k A^b_j$$

$$\Rightarrow C^i_{ab}(x) = -2 A^i_{j,k}(x) (A^{-1}(x))^{j,k}$$

3.) The $\Gamma_{kj}^i(x)$ yield the $w_j^i(x)$:

$$w_j^i(x) := \Gamma_{kj}^i(x) \theta^k(x)$$

4.) The 2nd structure equation yields:

$$\Omega_j^i(x) := dw_j^i + w_k^i \wedge w_j^k$$

Recall important identities: (torsionless case)

□ Structure eqn. I :

Recall important identities: (torsionless case)

□ Structure eqn. I :

$$\Theta^i = D\theta^i = d\theta^i + \omega^i; \lrcorner \theta^i = 0$$

□ Structure eqn II :

↑ (Ordinarily: $\theta^i = dx^i \Rightarrow d\theta^i = 0$
and $\omega^i; \lrcorner \theta^i = 0$ is $\Gamma_{jk}^i = \Gamma_{kj}^i$)

$$\Omega^i_j = dw^i_j + \omega^i_k \lrcorner \omega^k_j$$

□ Bianchi identity I :

$$\Omega^i_j \lrcorner \theta^i = 0$$

□ Bianchi identity II :

$$D\Omega^i_j = 0$$

↖ (Recall: $R^i_{...} = \Gamma^i_{j..} + \Gamma^i_{..j} + \Gamma^i_{k} \Gamma^k_{..} + \Gamma^i_{..k} \Gamma^k_{j}$)

↗ (From diffeomorphism invariance)

□ Structure eqn. I :

$$\Theta^i = D\theta^i = d\theta^i + \omega^i{}_j \theta^j = 0$$

□ Structure eqn II :

$$\Omega^i{}_j = dw^i{}_j + \omega^i{}_k \omega^k{}_j$$

↑ (Ordinarily: $\theta^i = dx^i \Rightarrow d\theta^i = 0$
and $\omega^i{}_j \theta^j = 0$ is $\Gamma^i_{jk} = \Gamma^i_{kj}$)

□ Bianchi identity I:

$$\Omega^i{}_j \wedge \theta^j = 0$$

□ Bianchi identity II:

$$D\Omega^i{}_j = 0$$

↖ (Recall: $R^i{}_{...} = \Gamma^i_{j..} + \Gamma^i_{..j} + \Gamma^i_{..k} \Gamma^k_{j..}$)

↗ (From diffeomorphism invariance)

And, in the case of ON frames :

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\nu\mu} = 0$$

Tetrad formulation of GR:

Consider the action, for now, without cosmological constant and without matter:

$$S_{\text{grav}} := \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_B R \sqrt{g} d^4x$$

↑
0-form

Recall Hodge $*$: If $v = \frac{1}{p!} v_{i_1 \dots i_p} \theta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{i_p}$

then $*v = \frac{1}{p!} \sqrt{g} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_m} v^{i_1 \dots i_p} \theta^{i_{p+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{i_m}$

$\epsilon = \pm 1$, totally anti-symmetric

i.e. $*: \Lambda^p \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-p}$

Thus:

and without matter:

$$S_{\text{grav}} := \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_B R \sqrt{g} d^4x$$

Recall Hodge $*$:

$$\text{If } v = \frac{1}{p!} v_{i_1 \dots i_p} \theta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{i_p}$$

then $*v = \frac{1}{p!} \sqrt{g} \epsilon_{i_1 \dots i_m} v^{i_1 \dots i_p} \theta^{i_{p+1}} \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^{i_m}$

i.e. $*: \Lambda^p \rightarrow \Lambda^{n-p}$

Thus:

$$S'_{\text{grav}} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int_B *R$$

Aim now: Re-express $S'_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of Θ^ν and Ω^ν_ν .

□ Define: "capital η " is a $(0,2)$ tensor-valued 2-form

$$H_{\alpha\beta} := *(\Theta^\nu_1 \Theta^\rho) = \frac{1}{2} V_j^1 \epsilon_{\alpha\beta\rho\delta} \Theta^\nu_1 \Theta^\delta$$

$$H_{\alpha\rho\nu} := *(\Theta^\nu_1 \Theta^\rho_1 \Theta^\sigma) = \frac{1}{2} V_j^1 \epsilon_{\alpha\rho\nu\delta} \Theta^\delta$$

↑ a $(0,3)$ tensor-valued 1-form.

□ Proposition:

$$*R = H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} \quad \begin{pmatrix} \text{it is a } (0,0) \text{ tensor-valued} \\ 4\text{-form} \end{pmatrix}$$

i.e.:

$$\int_{\text{grav}}(\theta^r) = \int H_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu}$$

Q Proof:

Use $\Omega^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} R^{\mu\nu}_{\kappa\lambda} \theta^\kappa \wedge \theta^\lambda \Rightarrow$

$$H_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\rho\sigma}_{\kappa\lambda} \underbrace{\theta^\kappa \wedge \theta^\delta \wedge \theta^\kappa \wedge \theta^\lambda}_{\epsilon_{\rho\delta\kappa\lambda} \theta^\rho \otimes \theta^\delta \otimes \theta^\kappa \otimes \theta^\lambda}$$

Use also: $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{\rho\delta\kappa\lambda} = 2 (\delta_{\nu\lambda} \delta_{\mu\delta} - \delta_{\nu\delta} \delta_{\mu\lambda}) \Rightarrow$

... - 2 - ...

$$H_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot 2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R^{\rho\sigma}_{\kappa\lambda} \underbrace{\theta^{\kappa}_1 \theta^{\delta}_1 \theta^{\nu}_1 \theta^{\lambda}_1}_{\epsilon_{\delta\kappa\lambda}} \underbrace{\theta^{\rho}_1 \otimes \theta^{\delta}_1 \otimes \theta^{\nu}_1 \otimes \theta^{\lambda}_1}_{\epsilon_{\rho\delta\nu\lambda}}$$

Use also: $\epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \epsilon_{\rho\delta\kappa\lambda} = 2 (\delta_{\nu\lambda} \delta_{\mu\delta} - \delta_{\nu\delta} \delta_{\mu\lambda}) \Rightarrow$

(need later for
derivation of
the Einstein
equation)

$$H_{\mu\nu} \Omega^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4} R^{\mu\nu}_{\mu\nu} \theta^1_1 \theta^2_1 \theta^3_1 \theta^4_1 = \times R \quad \checkmark$$

□ Proposition: $D H_{\mu\nu} = 0$

constant because ON basis

Recall the "first structure equation": $D\theta^i = 0$

□ Proof: $D H_{\mu\nu} = D \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \theta^{\rho}_1 \theta^{\sigma}_1 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} (D\theta^{\rho}_1 \theta^{\sigma}_1 + \theta^{\rho}_1 D\theta^{\sigma}_1)$

The main proposition:

variation, not co-derivative

Variation of the action with respect to $\delta \Theta^r(x)$ yields:i.e., we vary the $A^{\mu}(x)$ by local Lorentz transformations

$$S(*R) = (\delta \Theta^r) \lrcorner H_{\mu\nu\rho} \lrcorner \Omega^{\rho\sigma} + d(\text{something})$$



Stokes:
 $\int_B df = \int_{\partial B} f$

It implies:

$$16\pi G \delta S_{\text{grav}} = \int_B \delta \Theta^r \lrcorner H_{\mu\nu\rho} \lrcorner \Omega^{\rho\sigma} + \int_{\partial B} (\text{something})$$

\leftarrow negative variation to vanish at boundary ∂B , so: $= 0$

Definition: The "energy-momentum 1-form" T_r is defined as the solution to:

cd

(cont'd - 1)

⇒ The equation of motion, i.e., the Einstein equation,

$$\frac{\delta(S_{\text{grav}} + S'_{\text{matter}})}{\delta \theta^r} = 0$$

becomes:

$$-\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\sigma} = 8\pi G * T_\mu^\sigma$$

Exercise: add the cosmological constant.

Remark: The Einstein form $G_\mu := G_{\mu\nu} \Theta^\nu$ obeys

$$*G_\mu = -\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\sigma}$$

↙ (It is a $(0,1)$ -tensor-valued 1-form)

$$\frac{\delta(S_{\text{grav}} + S'_{\text{matter}})}{\delta \theta^r} = 0$$

becomes:

$$-\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu g} \wedge \Omega^{v\bar{s}} = 8\pi G * T_\mu$$

Exercise: add the cosmological constant.

Remark: The Einstein form $G_\mu := G_{\mu\nu} \theta^\nu$ obeys

$$*G_\mu = -\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu g} \wedge \Omega^{v\bar{s}}$$

\Rightarrow

$$G_\mu = 8\pi G T_\mu$$

Exercise: add the cosmological constant.

(it is a $(0,1)$ -tensor-valued 1-form)

Remark: The Einstein form $G_\mu := G_{\mu\nu} \theta^\nu$ obeys

$$*G_\mu = -\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\sigma}$$

\Rightarrow

$$G_\mu = 8\pi G T_\mu$$



Proof of the main proposition:

$$S(*R) = (*\theta^\mu) \wedge H_{\mu\nu\sigma} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\sigma} + d(\text{something})$$

Indeed:

... - - - ...

$$\delta(\mathbb{R}) \equiv (\delta\theta^F) \wedge H_{F^3} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{**} \neq d(\text{something})$$

Indeed:

$$\delta(\mathbb{R}) \equiv (\delta H_{F^3}) \wedge \mathbb{R}^{**} + H_{F^3} \wedge \delta \mathbb{R}^{**}$$

Consider the first term:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H_{F^3} &\equiv \delta \left(\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \theta^F_1 \theta^F_2 \right) \\ &\equiv (\delta \theta^F) \wedge H_{F^3} \end{aligned}$$

by definition of H_{F^3} above:
 $H_{F^3} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{g} \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho} \theta^F_3$

$\Rightarrow \delta(\mathbb{R}) \equiv (\delta\theta^F) \wedge H_{F^3} \wedge \mathbb{R}^{**} \neq H_{F^3} \wedge \delta \mathbb{R}^{**}$

Examine this term:
Examine this term:

$$= d\delta\omega^{\mu\nu} + \delta\omega^\nu g_{\nu}{}^\lambda \omega^{\mu\lambda} + \omega^\mu g_{\mu}{}^\lambda \delta\omega^{\lambda\nu}$$

$$\Rightarrow H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta\Omega^{\mu\nu} = d(H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta\omega^{\mu\nu}) - (dH_{\mu\nu}) \wedge \delta\omega^{\mu\nu} \\ + H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta\omega^\nu g_{\nu}{}^\lambda \omega^{\mu\lambda} + H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \omega^\nu g_{\nu}{}^\lambda \delta\omega^{\mu\lambda}$$

by Df. of D : $(\delta\omega^{\mu\nu}) \wedge \underbrace{DH_{\mu\nu}}_{\text{recall: } = 0} + d(H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta\omega^{\mu\nu})$
 by Prop. above.

\Rightarrow Indeed:

$$\delta(*R) = (\delta\theta^\nu) \wedge H_{\mu\nu} g_{\nu}{}^\lambda \Omega^{\mu\lambda} + d(H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \delta\omega^{\mu\nu}) \quad \checkmark$$



General Relativity as a "gauge theory"

Recall:

$$S_{\text{grav}}(\theta^{\mu}) = \int H_{\mu\nu} \Lambda \Omega^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{Einstein action}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu} \Lambda \Omega^{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G * T_{\mu\nu} \quad \text{Einstein equation}$$

are now the same in all coordinate systems.

In addition:

They are the same also with any choice of ON bases in the tangent spaces, i.e., we have a local symmetry under:

$$\Lambda^M{}_{\mu} \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}^M{}_{\mu} - 1^M{}_{\mu} \Lambda^{\nu}{}_{\nu}$$

Recall:

$$\int_{\text{grav}} (\theta^\nu) = \int H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{Einstein action}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G * T_\mu \quad \text{Einstein equation}$$

are now the same in all coordinate systems.

In addition:

They are the same also with any choice of ON bases in the tangent spaces, i.e., we have a local symmetry under:

$$\theta^\nu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}^\nu(x) = A^\nu{}_\sigma(x) \theta^\sigma(x)$$

The $A^\nu{}_\sigma(x)$ are local Lorentz transformations.

$$\theta^\mu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}^\mu(x) = A^\nu_\mu(x) \theta^\nu(x)$$

The $A^\nu_\mu(x)$ are local Lorentz transformations.

Upshot: □ We can start with any matter theory that is invariant under global Lorentz transformations and, through general relativity, turn it into a theory that is invariant under local Lorentz transformations.



□ Thereby:

Derivatives become covariant derivatives.

A new field is introduced: connection's Γ

Upshot: \square We can start with any matter theory that is invariant under global Lorentz transformations and, through general relativity, turn it into a theory that is invariant under local Lorentz transformations.

\square Thereby:

Derivatives become covariant derivatives.

A new field is introduced: gravity's Γ .



\rightsquigarrow This is analogous to the gauge principle of particle physics:

\square A global symmetry is "gauged" to become local

→ This is analogous to the gauge principle of particle physics:

- A global symmetry is "gauged" to become local.
- Derivatives become covariant derivatives
- A new field is introduced.

The gauge principle:



Action for a Dirac field (electrons, quarks etc.):

$$S[\Psi] = \int \bar{\Psi} (i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \Psi d^4x$$

The gauge principle:

Action for a Dirac field (electrons, quarks etc.):

$$S[\psi] = \int \bar{\psi} \left(i \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu - m \right) \psi d^4x$$

↙

It has a global symmetry:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) := e^{i\alpha} \psi(x), \text{ i.e., } \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x) = e^{-i\alpha} \bar{\psi}(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow S[\psi] \rightarrow S[\tilde{\psi}] = S[\psi]$$

However, no local symmetry:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) = e^{-i\alpha(x)} \psi(x) \quad \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x) = e^{+i\alpha(x)} \bar{\psi}(x)$$

$$S[\psi] = \int \bar{\Psi} (i g e^\mu \partial_\mu - m) \Psi d^4x$$

It has a global symmetry:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) := e^{i\alpha} \psi(x), \text{ i.e., } \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x) = e^{-i\alpha} \bar{\psi}(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow S[\psi] \rightarrow S[\tilde{\psi}] = S[\psi]$$

However, no local symmetry:

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) := e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x) \quad \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \bar{\tilde{\psi}}(x) = e^{-i\alpha(x)} \bar{\psi}(x)$$

$$S[\psi] \rightarrow S[\tilde{\psi}] \neq S[\psi] !$$

Gauge principle: Introduce a new field $A_\mu(x)$ that transforms

Gauge principle: Introduce a new field $A_\mu(x)$ that transforms so as to absorb the extra term:

$$S[\psi, A] := \int \bar{\psi}(x) \left(i g^* \underbrace{(\partial_\mu + i A_\mu(x))}_{\text{"Covariant derivative"}} - m \right) \psi(x) d^4x$$

Now under

$$\psi(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}(x) := e^{i\lambda(x)} \psi(x)$$

$$A_\mu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{A}_\mu(x) := A_\mu(x) - i \partial_\mu \lambda(x)$$

the action obeys:

$$S[\psi, A] \rightarrow S[\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{A}]$$

$$= \int \bar{\psi}(x) e^{-i\lambda(x)} \left(i g^* (\partial_\mu + i A_\mu - i \partial_\mu \lambda - m) e^{i\lambda(x)} \psi(x) \right) d^4x$$

Generalization to Yang-Mills theory

Gauging $\psi(x) \rightarrow e^{i\alpha(x)} \psi(x)$ introduced $A_\mu(x)$.

and $A_\mu(x)$ turns out to exist: The EM 4-potential.

We "derived" the electromagnetic force!

Notice: $e^{i\alpha(x)} \in U(1)$

$$U(1) = \{ G \in \mathbb{C} \mid G^* = G^{-1} \}$$

Now give the Dirac particles an extra index (isospin bundle)

$$S[\psi] = \int \bar{\psi}_a \left(i g \gamma^\mu \delta_{ab} \partial_\mu - m \delta_{ab} \right) \psi_b d^4x \quad \left(\sum_{a,b} \text{ implied} \right)$$

It's invariant under:

$$\psi_a(x) \rightarrow G_{ab} \psi_b(x)$$

$$\dots \text{ (implied)}$$

$$\left(\sum_{b=1}^N \text{ implied} \right)$$

Now give the Dirac particles an extra index (isospin bundle)

$$S'[\Psi] = \int \bar{\Psi}_a \left(i g \gamma^5 \delta_{ab} \partial_\mu - m \delta_{ab} \right) \Psi_b^\text{right} d^4x \quad \left(\sum_{a,b} \text{implied} \right)$$

It's invariant under:

$$\Psi_a(x) \rightarrow G_{ab} \Psi_b(x) \quad \left(\sum_{b=1}^N \text{implied} \right)$$

where $G \in SU(N)$

$$SU(N) = \{ G \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid G^+ = G^{-1}, \det(G) = 1 \}$$

Now, we gauge, i.e., require invariance under:

$$\Psi_a(x) \rightarrow G_{ab}(x) \Psi_b(x) \quad \text{where } G \in SU(N)$$

\rightsquigarrow Invariance of the action now requires new field $B_\mu(x)$:

Now, we gauge, i.e., require invariance under:

$$\psi_a(x) \rightarrow G_{ab}(x) \psi_b(x) \quad \text{where } G \in SU(N)$$

\rightsquigarrow Invariance of the action now requires new field $B_\mu(x)$:

$$S'[\psi] = \int \bar{\psi}_a \left(i g e^\mu \underbrace{\left(\delta_{ab} \partial_\mu + i B_\mu(x)_\nu T_{ab}^\nu \right)}_{\text{"covariant derivative"}} - m \delta_{ab} \right) \psi_b d^4x$$

$$\text{and } B_\mu(x)_\nu \rightarrow \tilde{B}_\mu(x)_\nu = B_\mu(x)_\nu + \text{complicated}$$

Here: $T_{ab}^\nu \in su(N)$ are a Lie algebra basis, i.e. they are generators of infinitesimal $SU(N)$ transformations.

$$S'[4] = \int \bar{\Psi}_a \left(i g e^r \left(\delta_{ab} \partial_r + i B_\mu(x)_r T_{ab}^* \right) - m \delta_{ab} \right) \Psi_b d^4x$$

"covariant derivative"

and $B_\mu(x)_r \rightarrow \tilde{B}_\mu(x)_r = B_\mu(x)_r + \text{complicated}$

Here: $T_{ab}^* \in su(N)$ are a Lie algebra basis, i.e. they
are generators of infinitesimal $SU(N)$ transformations.

Upshot: $N=2$ Weak force (though mixed with $N=1$ EM)
 $N=3$ Strong force QCD.

Recall:

$$\zeta(\theta^\nu) = (u \dots \theta^{\nu}) \quad \text{Einstein action}$$



Recall:

$$S_{\text{grav}}(\theta^\nu) = \int H_{\mu\nu} \Lambda \Omega^{\mu\nu} \quad \text{Einstein action}$$

$$-\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu} \Lambda \Omega^{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G * T_\mu \quad \text{Einstein equation}$$

are the same also with any choice of ON bases in the tangent spaces, i.e., we have a local symmetry under:

$$\theta^\nu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}^\nu(x) = A^\nu{}_\sigma(x) \theta^\sigma(x)$$

The $A^\nu{}_\sigma(x)$ are local Lorentz transformations.

Our covariant derivative:

$$\nabla_{e_\nu} (v^\sigma(x) e_\sigma) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} v^\sigma(x) \right) e_\sigma + v^\sigma(x) \underbrace{w^\lambda{}_\sigma(e_\mu)}_{\text{Do the } w^\lambda{}_\sigma \text{ instead compute}} e_\lambda$$

$\nabla_{e_\nu} (v^\sigma(x) e_\sigma)$

Plus relation $A^\nu{}_\sigma$

$$-\frac{1}{2} H_{\mu\nu} \wedge \Omega^{\nu\delta} = 8\pi G * T_\mu \quad \text{Einstein equation}$$

are the same also with any choice of ON bases in the tangent spaces, i.e., we have a local symmetry under:

$$\theta^\nu(x) \rightarrow \tilde{\theta}^\nu(x) = A^\nu{}_\sigma(x) \theta^\sigma(x)$$

The $A^\nu{}_\sigma(x)$ are local Lorentz transformations.

Our covariant derivative:

$$\nabla_{e_\mu} (v^\nu(x) e_\nu) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} v^\nu(x) \right) e_\nu + v^\nu(x) \underbrace{\omega^\sigma_\nu(e_\mu)}_{\rightarrow} e_\sigma$$

Do the ω^σ_ν indeed generate infinitesimal Lorentz transformations?

Plays rôle of A_μ, B_μ
but is now gravity!

$$\nabla_{e_\nu} (v^\mu(x) e_\nu) = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} v^\nu(x) \right) e_\nu + v^\nu(x) \underbrace{w^\sigma_\nu(e_\mu)}_{\text{Plays rôle of } A_\mu, B_\mu} e_\sigma$$

Do the w^σ_ν indeed generate infinitesimal Lorentz transformations?

Plays rôle of A_μ, B_μ
but is now gravity!

→ Interpretation of the connection in ON frames:

Q: The connection 1-forms w^σ_ν are not, we know, tensor-valued 1-forms. Wherein do they take their values?

A: The connection 1-forms take values in the set of infinitesimal Lorentz transformations

7 L . L. 7

→ Interpretation of the connection in ON frames:

Q: The connection 1-forms ω^v are not, we know, tensor-valued 1-forms. Wherein do they take their values?

A: The connection 1-forms take values in the set of infinitesimal Lorentz transformations

Intuition?

The connection yields the change under infinitesimal parallel transport - and parallel transport preserves the metric, i.e. it preserves the lengths of vectors, i.e.

Recall:

"Lorentz transformations Λ^{μ}_{α} " are lin. maps obeying:

$$\Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha} \Lambda^{\nu}_{\beta} \gamma_{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}$$

\Rightarrow Infinitesimal Lorentz transformations

$$\Lambda^{\mu}_{\alpha} = \delta^{\mu}_{\alpha} + \varepsilon^{\mu}_{\alpha}$$

$$\text{with } (\varepsilon^{\mu}_{\alpha})^2 = 0$$

obey:

$$(\delta^{\mu}_{\alpha} + \varepsilon^{\mu}_{\alpha})(\delta^{\nu}_{\beta} + \varepsilon^{\nu}_{\beta}) \gamma_{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$\text{i.e.: } \varepsilon^{\mu}_{\alpha} \gamma_{\mu\beta} + \varepsilon^{\nu}_{\beta} \gamma_{\alpha\nu} = 0$$

\Rightarrow Infinitesimal Lorentz transformations "JLT" are given by

i.e.: $\epsilon^{\mu}{}_{a} \eta_{\mu b} + \epsilon^{\nu}{}_{b} \eta_{\nu a} = 0$

\Rightarrow Infinitesimal Lorentz transformations "JLT" are given by

all $\lambda^{\mu}{}_{a} = \delta^{\mu}{}_{a} + \epsilon^{\mu}{}_{a}$ which obey:

$$\epsilon_{ba} + \epsilon_{ab} = 0$$

Q: Are connection 1-forms JLT-valued?

Proposition:

In orthonormal frames, the 1-form $\omega_{\mu\nu}$ obeys

$$\omega_{\mu\nu} + \omega_{\nu\mu} = 0$$

i.e. it takes values that are infinitesimal Lorentz transformations.

Proof:

D

... various various forms, ... form - processes

$$\omega_{\rho\nu} + \omega_{\nu\rho} = 0$$

i.e. it takes values that are infinitesimal Lorentz transformations.

Proof:

□ Recall: Absolute exterior derivative: (an anti-derivation)

$$Dt^{a..b}_{\quad c..d} = dt^{a..b}_{\quad c..d} + \underbrace{\omega^a_{\quad i} \alpha t^{i..b}_{\quad c..d}}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{any tensor-valued} \\ \text{differential form.}}} + \dots - \underbrace{\omega^c_{\quad i} \alpha t^{a..b}_{\quad i..d}}_{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{play the role of the } \Gamma^a_{bc}}} - \dots$$

Thus:

Recall that by using a tetrad, we achieved that $g_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \gamma_{\mu\nu}$ everywhere!

$$0 = \nabla g_{\mu\nu} = Dg_{\mu\nu} = dg_{\mu\nu} - \underbrace{\omega^i_{\mu} \wedge g_{i\nu} - \omega^i_{\nu} \wedge g_{i\mu}}_{\substack{=0 \text{ because } g_{\mu\nu} = \gamma_{\mu\nu} = \text{const} \\ \text{can drop the } \wedge \text{ because } g \text{ is a 0-form.}}} \quad \square$$

i.e. $0 = \omega_{\nu\rho} + \omega_{\rho\nu} \quad \checkmark$