Title: AMATH 875/PHYS 786 - Fall 2015 - Lecture 9

Date: Oct 16, 2015 01:30 PM

URL: http://pirsa.org/15100020

Abstract: <p>Course Description coming soon.</p>

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

GR for Cosmology, Achim Kempf, Fall 2015, Lecture 9

Note Title

Recall: So far, we have 2 ways to capture shape:

- Specified g $\Rightarrow$ specified distances in M
  $\Rightarrow$ implicitly specified "shape" of M

(Notice (for essay): See also my new paper 1510.02725)

Then, new:

- Specified ∇ $\Rightarrow$ specified parallel transport in M
  $\Rightarrow$ implicitly specified "shape" of M

Question:

How does ... determine "shape"? Through ...

1:26 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Recall: So far, we have 2 ways to capture shape:

- Specified g $\Rightarrow$ specified distances in M
  $\Rightarrow$ implicitly specified "shape" of M

(Notice (for essay): See also my new paper 1510.02725)

Then, new:

- Specified ∇ $\Rightarrow$ specified parallel transport in M
  $\Rightarrow$ implicitly specified "shape" of M

Question:

How does ∇ determine "shape"? Through:

Torsion & Curvature!

ENG 1:35 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$\Rightarrow$ implicitly specified shape of M

Question:

How does ∇ determine "shape"? Through:

Torsion & Curvature!

Recall:

$$\boxed{\bar{\Gamma}^r{}_{ab} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^r}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \bar{x}^a}\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^b}\Gamma^k{}_{ij} + \frac{\partial \bar{x}^r}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial^2 x^k}{\partial \bar{x}^a \partial \bar{x}^b}}$$

Notice:

The antisymmetric part of Γ transforms tensorially!

$$\Gamma^k_{(sym)\,ij} := \frac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^k{}_{ij} + \Gamma^k{}_{ji}\right)$$

$\Gamma^k{}_{ij} = \Gamma^k \ldots$

ENG 1:36 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Torsion & Curvature!

Recall:

$$\boxed{\bar{\Gamma}^r{}_{ab} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^r}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \bar{x}^a}\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^b}\Gamma^k{}_{ij} + \frac{\partial \bar{x}^r}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial^2 x^k}{\partial \bar{x}^a \partial \bar{x}^b}}$$

Notice: The antisymmetric part of Γ transforms tensorially!

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \Gamma^k_{(sym)\,ij} &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^k{}_{ij} + \Gamma^k{}_{ji}\right) \\ \Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij} &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^k{}_{ij} - \Gamma^k{}_{ji}\right) \end{aligned}\right\} \quad \Gamma^k{}_{ij} = \Gamma^k_{(sym)\,ij} + \Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij}$$

ENG 1:38 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Recall:

$$\boxed{\bar{\Gamma}^{r}{}_{ab} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^{r}}{\partial x^{k}} \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \bar{x}^{a}} \frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial \bar{x}^{b}} \Gamma^{k}{}_{ij} + \frac{\partial \bar{x}^{r}}{\partial x^{k}} \frac{\partial^2 x^{k}}{\partial \bar{x}^{a} \partial \bar{x}^{b}}}$$

Notice: The antisymmetric part of Γ transforms tensorially!

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{k}_{(sym)\,ij} &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^{k}{}_{ij} + \Gamma^{k}{}_{ji}\right) \\ \Gamma^{k}_{(asym)\,ij} &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^{k}{}_{ij} - \Gamma^{k}{}_{ji}\right) \end{aligned}\right\} \quad \Gamma^{k}{}_{ij} = \Gamma^{k}_{(sym)\,ij} + \Gamma^{k}_{(asym)\,ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}^{r}_{(asym)\,ab} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^{r}}{\partial x^{k}} \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \bar{x}^{a}} \frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial \bar{x}^{b}} \Gamma^{k}_{(asym)\,ij} \quad !$$

1:40 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Notice: The antisymmetric part of Γ transforms tensorially!

$$\Gamma^k_{(sym)\,ij} := \frac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^k_{ij} + \Gamma^k_{ji}\right)$$
$$\Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij} := \frac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^k_{ij} - \Gamma^k_{ji}\right)$$
$$\Gamma^k_{ij} = \Gamma^k_{(sym)\,ij} + \Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}^r_{(asym)\,ab} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^r}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \bar{x}^a}\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^b}\Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij} \quad !$$

Definition: $T^k{}_{ij} := 2\,\Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij}$ is the "Torsion tensor"

(Notice: Since Γ is not a tensor, but Γ_{asym} is, Γ_{sym} is not a tensor)

1:40 PM ENG

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Notice: The antisymmetric part of Γ transforms tensorially!

$$\left.\begin{aligned}\Gamma^k_{(sym)\,ij} &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^k_{ij} + \Gamma^k_{ji}\right)\\ \Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij} &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^k_{ij} - \Gamma^k_{ji}\right)\end{aligned}\right\}\quad \Gamma^k_{ij} = \Gamma^k_{(sym)\,ij} + \Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}^r_{(asym)\,ab} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^r}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \bar{x}^a}\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^b}\,\Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij} \quad !$$

Definition: $T^k{}_{ij} := 2\,\Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij}$ is the "Torsion tensor"

(Notice: Since Γ is not a tensor, but Γ_{asym} is, Γ_{sym} is not a tensor)

ENG 1:40 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Definition: $T^k{}_{ij} := 2\,\Gamma^k{}_{(asym)\,ij}$ is the "Torsion tensor"

(Notice: Since Γ is not a tensor, but Γ_{asym} is, Γ_{sym} is not a tensor)

In General Relativity: one assumes torsionless ∇, i.e.: $T = 0$.

Idea: "(Extended) equivalence principle:"

Christoffel Γ will express gravitational and pseudo forces.
Therefore, we require that around each $p \in M$ there exists a chart so that $\Gamma(p) = 0$ (i.e. no such forces in free fall).

This rules out the existence of torsion:

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

In General Relativity: one assumes torsionless ∇, i.e.: $T=0$.

Idea: "(Extended) equivalence principle:"

Christoffel Γ will express gravitational and pseudo forces. Therefore, we require that around each $p \in M$ there exists a chart so that $\Gamma(p)=0$ (i.e. no such forces in free fall).

This rules out the existence of torsion:

Why? The torsion is a tensor.

$\Rightarrow$ It transforms linearly with invertible Jacobian matrices

ENG 1:42 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Torsion & Curvature!

Recall:

$$\boxed{\bar{\Gamma}^{r}{}_{ab} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^{r}}{\partial x^{k}} \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \bar{x}^{a}} \frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial \bar{x}^{b}} \Gamma^{k}{}_{ij} + \frac{\partial \bar{x}^{r}}{\partial x^{k}} \frac{\partial^2 x^{k}}{\partial \bar{x}^{a} \partial \bar{x}^{b}}}$$

Notice: The antisymmetric part of Γ transforms tensorially!

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{k}_{(sym)\,ij} &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^{k}{}_{ij} + \Gamma^{k}{}_{ji}\right) \\ \Gamma^{k}_{(asym)\,ij} &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^{k}{}_{ij} - \Gamma^{k}{}_{ji}\right) \end{aligned}\right\} \quad \Gamma^{k}_{ij} = \Gamma^{k}_{(sym)\,ij} + \Gamma^{k}_{(asym)\,ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}^{r}{}_{(asym)\,ab} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^{r}}{\partial x^{k}} \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \bar{x}^{a}} \frac{\partial x^{j}}{\partial \bar{x}^{b}} \Gamma^{k}_{(asym)\,ij} \quad !$$

ENG 1:43 PM

Notice: The antisymmetric part of Γ transforms tensorially!

$$\left.\begin{aligned} \Gamma^k_{(sym)\,ij} &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^k_{\ ij} + \Gamma^k_{\ ji}\right) \\ \Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij} &:= \tfrac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^k_{\ ij} - \Gamma^k_{\ ji}\right) \end{aligned}\right\} \quad \Gamma^k_{\ ij} = \Gamma^k_{(sym)\,ij} + \Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}^r_{(asym)\,ab} = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^r}{\partial x^k}\frac{\partial x^i}{\partial \bar{x}^a}\frac{\partial x^j}{\partial \bar{x}^b}\Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij} \quad !$$

Definition: $T^k_{\ ij} := 2\,\Gamma^k_{(asym)\,ij}$ is the "Torsion tensor"

(Notice: Since Γ is not a tensor, but Γ_{asym} is, Γ_{sym} is not a tensor.)

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

In General Relativity: one assumes torsionless ∇, i.e.: $T = 0$.

Idea: "(Extended) equivalence principle:"

Christoffel Γ will express gravitational and pseudo forces. Therefore, we require that around each $p \in M$ there exists a chart so that $\Gamma(p) = 0$ (i.e. no such forces in free fall).

This rules out the existence of torsion:

Why? The torsion is a tensor.

$\Rightarrow$ It transforms linearly with invertible Jacobian matrices

Idea: "(Extended) equivalence principle:"

Christoffel Γ will express gravitational and pseudo forces. Therefore, we require that around each $p \in M$ there exists a chart so that $\Gamma(p) = 0$ (i.e. no such forces in free fall).

This rules out the existence of torsion:

Why? The torsion is a tensor.

$\Rightarrow$ It transforms linearly with invertible Jacobian matrices

$$\bar{T}^i_{\ jk}(\bar{x}) = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^i}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^c}{\partial \bar{x}^k} T^a_{\ bc}(x)$$

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

exists a chart so that $\Gamma(p)=0$ (i.e. no such forces in free fall).

This rules out the existence of torsion:

Why? The torsion is a tensor.

$\Rightarrow$ It transforms linearly with invertible Jacobian matrices

$$\bar{T}^i{}_{jk}(\bar{x}) = \frac{\partial \bar{x}^i}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial x^b}{\partial \bar{x}^j} \frac{\partial x^c}{\partial \bar{x}^k} T^a{}_{bc}(x)$$

$\Rightarrow$ If T_{ij} vanishes in one cds, it vanishes in all cds.

Proposition:

Vice versa, if $T^i{}_{jk}(x)=0 \ \forall x \in M$,

then there is for every $p \in M$ a chart with $\Gamma^i{}_{jk}(p)=0$:

ENG 1:45 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Proposition: Vice versa, if $\Gamma^i_{jk}(x)=0\ \forall x\in\mathcal{M}$,

then there is for every $p\in\mathcal{M}$ a chart with $\Gamma^i_{jk}(p)=0$:

Recall: ξ is autoparallel to a path $\gamma: t\to x(t)$ if

$\xi \in T^1(\mathcal{M})$

$$\boxed{\nabla_{\dot\gamma}\xi = 0}$$

Meaning: ξ is parallel transported along the path γ in $\mathcal{M}$.

Explicitly: $\frac{d\xi^k}{dt}+\Gamma^k_{ij}\frac{dx^i}{dt}\xi^j=0$

Geodesics: A curve $\gamma: t\to x(t)$ is called a geodesic if $\dot\gamma$ is autoparallel along γ, i.e. if

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Recall: $\underset{\in T^1(\mathcal{M})}{\xi}$ is autoparallel to a path $\gamma : t \to x(t)$ if

$$\boxed{\nabla_{\dot\gamma}\,\xi = 0}$$

Meaning: ξ is parallel transported along the path γ in $\mathcal{M}$.

Explicitly: $\frac{d\xi^k}{dt} + \Gamma^k{}_{ij}\frac{dx^i}{dt}\xi^j = 0$

Geodesics: A curve $\gamma : t \to x(t)$ is called a geodesic if $\dot\gamma$ is autoparallel along γ, i.e. if

$$\boxed{\nabla_{\dot\gamma}\,\dot\gamma = 0}$$

Meaning: The path γ in $\mathcal{M}$ is such that the path's tangent vectors are parallel translates of each other.

ENG 1:49 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$T^1(\mathcal{M})$

$$\boxed{\nabla_{\dot\gamma}\, \xi = 0}$$

Meaning: ξ is parallel transported along the path γ in $\mathcal{M}$.

Explicitly: $\frac{d\xi^k}{dt} + \Gamma^k{}_{ij}\frac{dx^i}{dt}\xi^j = 0$

Geodesics: A curve $\gamma: t \to x(t)$ is called a geodesic if $\dot\gamma$ is autoparallel along γ, i.e. if

$$\boxed{\nabla_{\dot\gamma}\, \dot\gamma = 0}$$

Meaning: The path γ in $\mathcal{M}$ is such that the path's tangent vectors are parallel translates of each other.

$\Rightarrow$ In charts, geodesics $x^r(t)$ obey:

ENG 1:50 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$T'(\mathcal{M})$

$$\boxed{\nabla_{\dot\gamma}\,\xi = 0}$$

Meaning: ξ is parallel transported along the path γ in $\mathcal{M}$.

Explicitly: $\frac{d\xi^k}{dt} + \Gamma^k{}_{ij}\frac{dx^i}{dt}\xi^j = 0$

Geodesics: A curve $\gamma: t \to x(t)$ is called a geodesic if $\dot\gamma$ is autoparallel along γ, i.e. if

$$\boxed{\nabla_{\dot\gamma}\,\dot\gamma = 0}$$

Meaning: The path γ in $\mathcal{M}$ is such that the path's tangent vectors are parallel translates of each other.

$\Rightarrow$ In charts, geodesics $x^r(t)$ obey:

ENG 1:50 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$$\nabla_{\dot\gamma}\dot\gamma = 0$$

Meaning: The path γ in $\mathcal{M}$ is such that the path's tangent vectors are parallel translates of each other.

▫ ⇒ In charts, geodesics $x^r(t)$ obey:

$$\frac{d^2x^k}{dt^2} + \Gamma(x)^k{}_{ij}\,\frac{dx^i}{dt}\,\frac{dx^j}{dt} = 0 \qquad (*)$$

▫ Theory of ordinary differential equations:

⇒ Given $p=\gamma(0)$, each initial condition $\xi = \dot\gamma(0)$ belongs to a unique geodesic γ_ξ of nonzero length.

Subscript indicates initial condit...

ENG 1:51 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$$\frac{d^2x}{dt^2} + \Gamma(x)_{ij}\frac{dx}{dt}\frac{dx}{dt} = 0 \qquad (*)$$

- Theory of ordinary differential equations:

$\Rightarrow$ Given $p = \gamma(0)$, each initial condition $\xi = \dot{\gamma}(0)$ belongs to a unique geodesic γ_ξ of nonzero length.

subscript indicates initial condition vector

- **Notice:** If $\gamma_\xi(t)$ solves $(*)$ then $\gamma_\xi(\lambda t)$ also solves $(*)$ and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\gamma_{\lambda\xi}(t) = \gamma_\xi(\lambda t) \qquad (G)$$

(Exercise: verify)

1:52 PM

Theory of ordinary differential equations:

$\Rightarrow$ Given $p = \gamma(0)$, each initial condition $\xi = \dot{\gamma}(0)$ belongs to a unique geodesic γ_ξ of nonzero length.

Subscript indicates initial condition vector

Notice: If $\gamma_\xi(t)$ solves $(*)$ then $\gamma_\xi(\lambda t)$ also solves $(*)$ and for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$\gamma_{\lambda\xi}(t) = \gamma_\xi(\lambda t) \qquad (G)$$

(Exercise: verify)

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

"Exponential map":

- Consider a fixed point $p \in M$.

  The exponential map is defined through:

  $$\exp_p : T_p'(M) \to M$$ (really from a neighborhood of 0 in $T_p'(M)$ to a neighborhood of p in M.)

  $$\exp_p : \quad \xi \to \exp_p(\xi) := \gamma_\xi(1)$$

  where γ is the geodesic with $\gamma_\xi(0) = p$, $\dot{\gamma}_\xi(0) = \xi$.

- **Observe:**

  From (G) we obtain:

1:56 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

"Exponential map":

- Consider a fixed point $p \in M$.

The exponential map is defined through:

$$\exp_p : T_p{}'(M) \to M$$ (really from a neighborhood of 0 in $T_p{}'(M)$ to a neighborhood of p in M.)

$$\exp_p : \quad \xi \to \exp_p(\xi) := \gamma_\xi(1)$$

where γ is the geodesic with $\gamma_\xi(0) = p$, $\dot{\gamma}_\xi(0) = \xi$.

- Observe:

From (G) we obtain:

ENG 1:57 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

The exponential map is defined through:

$$\exp_p : T_p{}'(M) \to M$$

(really from a neighborhood of 0 in $T_p{}'(M)$ to a neighborhood of p in M.)

$$\exp_p : \quad \xi \to \exp_p(\xi) := \gamma_\xi(1)$$

where γ is the geodesic with $\gamma_\xi(0) = p$, $\dot{\gamma}_\xi(0) = \xi$.

Observe:

From (G) we obtain:

$$\gamma_\xi(\lambda) = \gamma_{\lambda\xi}(1) = \exp_p(\lambda\xi) \qquad (E)$$

1:58 PM ENG

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

"Geodesic" or "Riemann normal" coordinates:

- $\exp_p$ is a diffeomorphism from a neighborhood of $0 \in T_p'(M) \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ into a neighborhood of the point $p \in M$.
  isomorphic

$\Rightarrow$ $\exp_p$ provides a chart around p:

- Choose a basis, say $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$ of $T_p'(M)$, then:

$$\xi = \xi^i e_i$$

- Through $\exp_p$, the ξ^i become the coordinates of points in a neighborhood of $p \in M$:

1:59 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$\xi = \xi^i e_i$

- Through $\exp_p$, the ξ^i become the coordinates of points in a neighborhood of $p \in M$:

$$(\xi^1, \dots, \xi^m) \to \exp_p(\xi^i e_i) \in M$$

- These $\{\xi^i\}$ are called "normal" or "geodesic coordinates."

$\Rightarrow$ Geodesics, γ, through p are straight lines in a normal cds about p!

- Recall (E):



$$\gamma_\xi(\lambda) = \exp_p(\lambda \xi)$$

for varying λ

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

- These $\{\xi^i\}$ are called "normal" or "geodesic coordinates."

$\Rightarrow$ **Geodesics, γ, through p are straight lines in a normal cds about p!**

- Recall (E):



$$\underbrace{\gamma_\xi(\lambda)}_{} = \exp_p(\underbrace{\lambda\xi}_{})$$

for varying λ one moves along the geodesic in M.

for varying λ one moves on a straight line in the coordinate system of the ξ^i!

- Thus: In geodesic cds, geodesics

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$\Rightarrow$ Geodesics, γ, through p are straight lines in a normal cds about p!

- Recall (E):



$$\underbrace{\gamma_\xi(\lambda)}_{} = \exp_p(\underbrace{\lambda\xi}_{})$$

for varying λ one moves along the geodesic in M.

[for varying λ one moves on a straight line in the coordinate system of the ξ^i!

- Thus: In geodesic cds, geodesics through p are straight lines of constant velocity ξ.

ENG 2:02 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

→ Geodesics, γ, through p are straight lines in a normal cds about p:

Recall (E):



$$\underbrace{\gamma_\xi(\lambda)}_{} = \exp_p(\lambda \underset{\sim}{\xi})$$

for varying λ one moves along the geodesic in M.

{ for varying λ one moves on a straight line in the coordinate system of the ξ^i!

Thus: In geodesic cds, geodesics through p are straight lines of constant velocity ξ.

Does this mean $\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(p) = 0$? No!

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help



$$\underbrace{\gamma_\xi(\lambda)}_{\text{for varying } \lambda \text{ one moves along the geodesic in } M.} = \exp_p(\underbrace{\lambda\xi}_{\text{for varying } \lambda \text{ one moves on a straight line in the coordinate system of the } \xi^i!})$$

- **Thus:** In geodesic cds, geodesics through p are straight lines of constant velocity ξ.

- Does this mean $\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(p) = 0$? No!

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Geodesic eqn. at p: $\cancel{\frac{d^2x^k}{dt^2}} + \Gamma(p)^k{}_{ij}\frac{dx^i}{dt}\frac{dx^j}{dt} = 0$

Thus: $$\Big(\Gamma_{sym}{}^k{}_{ij}(p) + \underbrace{\Gamma_{asym}{}^k{}_{ij}(p)\Big)\frac{dx^i}{dt}\frac{dx^j}{dt}}_{=0} = 0$$

$= 0$ because of type $(\text{antisymmetric})_{ij}(\text{symmetric})^{ij}$

$\Rightarrow \Gamma_{sym}{}^k{}_{ij}(p) = 0$ in geodesic cds.

$\Rightarrow$ **Indeed:** If the torsion vanishes, $\Gamma_{asym}{}^k{}_{ij}(p) = \frac{1}{2}T^k{}_{ij}(p) = 0$ then for each $p \in M$ there exists a chart in which the entire gravity and pseudo force field vanishes at p:

$\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(p) = 0$

Note:

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Geodesic eqn. at p: $$\cancel{\frac{d^2x^k}{dt^2}} + \Gamma(p)^k{}_{ij}\frac{dx^i}{dt}\frac{dx^j}{dt} = 0$$

Thus: $$\underbrace{\left(\Gamma_{sym}{}^k{}_{ij}(p) + \underbrace{\Gamma_{asym}{}^k{}_{ij}(p)}\right)\underbrace{\frac{dx^i}{dt}\frac{dx^j}{dt}}}_{=0} = 0$$

$= 0$ because of type $(\text{antisymmetric})_{ij}\,(\text{symmetric})^{ij}$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_{sym}{}^k{}_{ij}(p) = 0 \text{ in geodesic cds.}$$

$\Rightarrow$ **Indeed:** If the torsion vanishes, $\Gamma_{asym}{}^k{}_{ij}(p) = \frac{1}{2}T^k{}_{ij}(p) = 0$ then for each $p \in M$ there exists a chart in which the entire gravity and pseudo force field vanishes at p:

$\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(p) = 0$

Note:

Quantum fluctuations

ENG 2:07 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$$\frac{d^2x^k}{dt^2} + \Gamma^k(p)_{ij}\frac{dx^i}{dt}\frac{dx^j}{dt} = 0$$

Thus: $$\Big(\Gamma_{sym}{}^{k}{}_{ij}(p) + \underbrace{\Gamma_{asym}{}^{k}{}_{ij}(p)\Big)\frac{dx^i}{dt}\frac{dx^j}{dt}}_{=0} = 0$$

$= 0$ because of type $(\text{antisymmetric})_{ij}\,(\text{symmetric})^{ij}$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_{sym}{}^{k}{}_{ij}(p) = 0 \text{ in geodesic cds.}$$

$\Rightarrow$ **Indeed:** If the torsion vanishes, $\Gamma_{asym}{}^{k}{}_{ij}(p) = \frac{1}{2} T^k{}_{ij}(p) = 0$ then for each $p \in M$ there exists a chart in which the entire gravity and pseudo force field vanishes at p:

$$\Gamma^k{}_{ij}(p) = 0$$

Note:
Quantum fluctuations may induce torsion!
So, let's nevertheless ask:

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Thus: $$\left(\Gamma_{sym}{}^{k}{}_{ij}(p) + \underbrace{\Gamma_{asym}{}^{k}{}_{ij}(p)\Big) \frac{dx^i}{dt}\frac{dx^j}{dt}}_{=0 \text{ because of type } (\text{antisymmetric})_{ij}\,(\text{symmetric})^{ij}} = 0\right.$$

$$\Rightarrow \Gamma_{sym}{}^{k}{}_{ij}(p) = 0 \text{ in geodesic cds.}$$

$\Rightarrow$ **Indeed:** If the torsion vanishes, $\Gamma_{asym}{}^{k}{}_{ij}(p) = \frac{1}{2} T^{k}{}_{ij}(p) = 0$ then for each $p \in M$ there exists a chart in which the entire gravity and pseudo force field vanishes at p:

$$\Gamma^{k}{}_{ij}(p) = 0$$

Note:
Quantum fluctuations may induce torsion!
So, let's nevertheless ask:

What would torsion mean, geometrically?

ENG 2:08 PM

Abstract definition of Torsion:

- Assume ξ_1 and ξ_2 are tangent vectors at $p \in M$:

Then, the <u>Torsion map</u> is defined as:

$$\mathcal{T} : T_p{}^1(M) \times T_p{}^1(M) \to T_p{}^1(M)$$

This will be the amount by which an infinitesimal parallelogram spanned by ξ_1 and ξ_2 does not close.

$$\mathcal{T} : \xi_1, \xi_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(\xi_1, \xi_2) := \overbrace{\nabla_{\xi_1}\xi_2 - \nabla_{\xi_2}\xi_1 - [\xi_1, \xi_2]}$$

for proof it's a tensor, see Straumann

- It is used to define the <u>Torsion tensor</u>, T,

$$T \in T_p{}^1{}_2(M)$$

we could also write: $= \omega(\mathcal{T}(\xi_1, \xi_2))$

contraction yields a number

through:

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Then, the **Torsion map** is defined as:

$$\mathcal{T}: T_p^1(M) \times T_p^1(M) \to T_p^1(M)$$

This will be the amount by which an infinitesimal parallelogram spanned by ξ_1 and ξ_2 does not close.

$$\mathcal{T}: \xi_1, \xi_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(\xi_1, \xi_2) := \overbrace{\nabla_{\xi_1}\xi_2 - \nabla_{\xi_2}\xi_1 - [\xi_1, \xi_2]}$$

- It is used to define the **Torsion tensor**, T,

for proof it's a tensor, see Straumann

$$T \in T_p{}^1{}_2(M)$$

we could also write: $= \omega(\mathcal{T}(\xi_1, \xi_2))$

through:

contraction yields a number

feeding 1 covector & 2 vectors to a (1,2) tensor yields a number

$$\longrightarrow T(\omega, \xi_1, \xi_2) := \overbrace{\langle \underset{\in T_{p,1}(M)}{\omega}, \underbrace{\mathcal{T}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}_{\in T_p^1(M)} \rangle} \in \mathbb{R}$$

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Then, the **Torsion map** is defined as:

$$\mathcal{T} : T_p^1(M) \times T_p^1(M) \to T_p^1(M)$$

This will be the amount by which an infinitesimal parallelogram spanned by ξ_1 and ξ_2 does not close.

$$\mathcal{T} : \xi_1, \xi_2 \longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(\xi_1, \xi_2) := \overbrace{\nabla_{\xi_1}\xi_2 - \nabla_{\xi_2}\xi_1 - [\xi_1, \xi_2]}$$

for proof it's a tensor, see Straumann

- It is used to define the **Torsion tensor**, T,

$$T \in T_{p\,2}^{\,1}(M)$$

through:

we could also write: $= \omega(\mathcal{T}(\xi_1, \xi_2))$

feeding 1 covector & 2 vectors to a (1,2) tensor yields a number $\longrightarrow$

$$T(\omega, \xi_1, \xi_2) := \overbrace{\langle \underbrace{\omega}_{\in T_{p,1}(M)}, \underbrace{\mathcal{T}(\xi_1, \xi_2)}_{\in T_p^1(M)} \rangle}^{\text{contraction yields a number}} \in \mathbb{R}$$

2:11 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Compare with prior definition:

- Choose canonical bases $\omega := dx^k$, $\xi_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, $\xi_2 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$:

- $$J^k{}_{ij} := dx^k\left(J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)\right)$$

$$= \left\langle dx^k, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)\right\rangle$$ (more convenient notation)

$$= \left\langle dx^k, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right]}\right\rangle$$

Recall:
$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = \Gamma^k{}_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$

$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) f = 0 \quad \forall f$

$$= \left\langle dx^k, \Gamma^r{}_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} - \Gamma^r{}_{ji} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} \right\rangle = \Gamma^r{}_{ij}\delta^k_r - \Gamma^r{}_{ji}\delta^k_r$$

ENG 2:12 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

enough:

feeding 1 covector & 2 vectors to a (1,2) tensor yields a number $\longrightarrow$ $$J(\omega,\xi_1,\xi_2) := \langle \underset{\in T_{p,1}(M)}{\omega}, \overbrace{\underbrace{T(\xi_1,\xi_2)}_{\in T_p{}'(M)}}^{\text{a number}} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$$

Compare with prior definition:

- Choose canonical bases $\omega := dx^k$, $\xi_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, $\xi_2 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$:

- $$J^k{}_{ij} := dx^k\left(J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)\right)$$

$$= \langle dx^k, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)\rangle$$ (more convenient notation)

$$= \langle dx^k, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - [\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}] \rangle$$

2:12 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

feeding 1 covector & 2 vectors to a (1,2) tensor yields a number $\longrightarrow J(\omega,\xi_1,\xi_2) := \langle \underbrace{\omega}_{\in T_p^{*}(M)}, \underbrace{J(\xi_1,\xi_2)}_{\in T_p(M)} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$

Compare with prior definition:

- Choose canonical bases $\omega := dx^k$, $\xi_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, $\xi_2 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$:

- $$J^k{}_{ij} := dx^k\left(J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)\right)$$

$$= \left\langle dx^k, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)\right\rangle$$ (more convenient notation)

$$= \left\langle dx^k, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i},\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right]} \right\rangle$$

ENG 2:13 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Compare with prior definition:

- Choose canonical bases $\omega := dx^k$, $\xi_1 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$, $\xi_2 := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$:

- $$J^k{}_{ij} := dx^k\left(J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)\right)$$

$$= \left\langle dx^k, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)\right\rangle$$ (more convenient notation)

$$= \left\langle dx^k, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right]}\right\rangle$$

Recall:

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = \Gamma^k{}_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) f = 0 \quad \forall f$$

$$= \left\langle dx^k, \Gamma^r{}_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} - \Gamma^r{}_{ji} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}\right\rangle = \Gamma^r{}_{ij} \delta^k{}_r - \Gamma^r{}_{ji} \delta^k{}_r$$

ENG 2:14 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$$J^k{}_{ij} := dx^k\left(J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)\right)$$

$$= \left\langle dx^k, J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right)\right\rangle$$ (more convenient notation)

$$= \left\langle dx^k, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i} - \underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right]}\right\rangle$$

Recall:

$$\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} = \Gamma^k{}_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}\right) f = 0 \quad \forall f$$

$$= \left\langle dx^k, \Gamma^r{}_{ij} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r} - \Gamma^r{}_{ji} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^r}\right\rangle = \Gamma^r{}_{ij} \delta^k_r - \Gamma^r{}_{ji} \delta^k_r$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{J^k{}_{ij} = \Gamma^k{}_{ij} - \Gamma^k{}_{ji}}$$

ENG 2:15 PM

Geometric meaning of torsion? Parallelograms would not close!

Travel from p infinitesimally in ξ and then η direction, and compare with the reverse. (In flat space: $x^\mu + \eta^\mu + \xi^\mu = x^\mu + \xi^\mu + \eta^\mu$.)



$\xi, \eta \in T_p'$

$\tilde{\xi} \in T_r'$

$\tilde{\eta} \in T_q'$

Recall parallel transport: $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} v = 0$

$$\frac{dv^k}{dt} + \Gamma^k_{ij} \frac{dx^i}{dt} v^j = 0$$

$\tilde{\xi}(r) = ?$

$$\tilde{\xi}^k(x^i + \eta^i) \approx \xi^k(x^i) + \frac{d\xi^k}{dt}(x^i)$$

Now use $v := \xi$, $\frac{dx^i}{dt} = \eta^i$:

$$= \xi^k(x^i) - \Gamma(x)^k_{ij} \eta^i \xi^j$$

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

... from p infinitesimally in ξ and then η direction, and compare with the reverse. (In flat space: $x^\mu + \eta^\mu + \xi^\mu = x^\mu + \xi^\mu + \eta^\mu$.)



$\xi, \eta \in T_p^1$

$\tilde{\xi} \in T_r^1$

$\tilde{\eta} \in T_q^1$

Recall parallel transport: $\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} v = 0$

$$\frac{dv^k}{dt} + \Gamma^k_{ij} \frac{dx^i}{dt} v^j = 0$$

$\tilde{\xi}(r) = ?$

$$\tilde{\xi}^k(x^i + \eta^i) \approx \xi^k(x^i) + \frac{d\xi^k}{dt}(x^i)$$

Now use $v := \xi$, $\frac{dx^i}{dt} = \eta^i$:

$$= \xi^k(x^i) - \Gamma(x)^k{}_{ij}\, \eta^i \xi^j$$

$\Rightarrow$ Cds. of $\bar{u}$: $x^a + \eta^a + \xi^a - \Gamma(x)^a{}_{ij}\, \eta^i \xi^j$

ENG 2:19 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$\tilde{\xi}(r) = ?$

$$\tilde{\xi}^k(x^i+\eta^i) \approx \xi^k(x^i) + \frac{d\xi^k}{dt}(x^i)$$

Now use $v := \xi$, $\frac{dx^i}{dt} = \eta^i$:

$$= \xi^k(x^i) - \Gamma(x)^k{}_{ij}\,\eta^i \xi^j$$

$\Rightarrow$ Cds. of $\bar{u}$: $x^a + \eta^a + \xi^a - \Gamma(x)^a{}_{ij}\,\eta^i \xi^j$

Analogously obtain: Cds. of u: $x^a + \xi^a + \eta^a - \Gamma(x)^a{}_{ij}\,\xi^i \eta^j$

Torsion!

$\Rightarrow$ Cd. distance from u to $\bar{u}$ is: $\left(\Gamma(x)^a{}_{ji} - \Gamma(x)^a{}_{ij}\right)\eta^i \xi^j = T^a_{ji}\,\eta^i \xi^j$ ✓

ENG 2:21 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$$\xi^k(x^i+\eta^i) \approx \xi^k(x^i) + \frac{d\xi}{dt}(x^i)$$ Now use $v := \xi$, $\frac{dx^i}{dt} = \eta^i$:

$$= \xi^k(x^i) - \Gamma(x)^k{}_{ij}\,\eta^i \xi^j$$

$\Rightarrow$ Cds. of $\bar{u}$: $x^a + \eta^a + \xi^a - \Gamma(x)^a{}_{ij}\,\eta^i \xi^j$

Analogously obtain: Cds. of u: $x^a + \xi^a + \eta^a - \Gamma(x)^a{}_{ij}\,\xi^i \eta^j$

Torsion!

$\Rightarrow$ Cd. distance from u to $\bar{u}$ is: $\left(\Gamma(x)^a{}_{ji} - \Gamma(x)^a{}_{ij}\right)\eta^i \xi^j = T^a_{\ ji}\,\eta^i \xi^j$ ✓

Comment: We had:

$$\tilde{\xi}^k(x^i+\eta^i) \approx \xi^k(x^i) + \frac{d\xi^k}{dt}(x^i) = \xi^k(x^i) - \Gamma(x)^k{}_{ij}\,\eta^i \xi^j$$

ENG 2:23 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Comment: We had:

$$\tilde{\xi}^k(x^i+\eta^i) \approx \xi^k(x^i) + \frac{d\xi^k}{dt}(x^i) = \xi^k(x^i) - \Gamma(x)^k{}_{ij}\,\eta^i \xi^j$$

this is also:

$$= \xi^k(x^i) - \left(\eta^i \xi^k{}_{,i} + \Gamma(x)^k{}_{ij}\,\eta^i \xi^j\right) + \eta^i \xi^k{}_{,i}$$

$$= \xi^k(x^i) - \eta^i \xi^k{}_{;i} + \eta^i \xi^k{}_{,i}$$

Thus: cd distance from u to $\tilde{u}$ is:

$$\left(\cancel{x^a} + \cancel{\eta^a} + \cancel{\xi^a} - \eta^i \xi^k{}_{;i} + \eta^i \xi^k{}_{,i}\right) - \left(\cancel{x^a} - \cancel{\xi^a} - \cancel{\eta^a} + \xi^i \eta^k{}_{;i} - \eta^i \xi^k{}_{,i}\right) = T^a_{j;i}\,\eta^i \xi^j$$

Recall that indeed: $T: \eta, \xi \longrightarrow T(\eta,\xi) = \nabla_\eta \xi - \nabla_\xi \eta - [\eta, \xi]$

Curvature:

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Curvature:

Assume ξ_1, ξ_2 and ξ_3 are tangent vectors at $p \in M$.

- The curvature map, R, is defined through:

$$R: \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \rightarrow \underbrace{R(\xi_1, \xi_2)}_{\text{an operator, or map, acting on } \xi_3}\xi_3 = \overbrace{\left(\nabla_{\xi_1}\nabla_{\xi_2} - \nabla_{\xi_2}\nabla_{\xi_1} - \nabla_{[\xi_1, \xi_2]}\right)\xi_3}^{\in T_p^1(M)}$$

- It defines the curvature tensor, R,

$$R \in T^1_3(M)$$

can be fed one covector and 3 vectors to yield a number

through:

$$\omega(R(\xi_1, \xi_2)\xi_3)$$

$=$

ENG 2:28 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

- The curvature map, R, is defined through:

$$R: \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \to \underbrace{R(\xi_1, \xi_2)}_{\text{an operator, or map, acting on } \xi_3}\xi_3 = \overbrace{\left(\nabla_{\xi_1}\nabla_{\xi_2} - \nabla_{\xi_2}\nabla_{\xi_1} - \nabla_{[\xi_1, \xi_2]}\right)\xi_3}^{\in T_p^1(M)}$$

- It defines the curvature tensor, R,

$$R \in T^1_3(M)$$

can be fed one covector and 3 vectors to yield a number

through:

$$R(\omega, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) := \overbrace{\langle \omega, R(\xi_1, \xi_2)\xi_3 \rangle}^{= \omega(R(\xi_1, \xi_2)\xi_3)} \in \mathbb{R}$$

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

- The curvature map, R, is defined through:

$$R: \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \to \underbrace{R(\xi_1,\xi_2)}_{\text{an operator, or map, acting on } \xi_3}\xi_3 = \overbrace{\left(\nabla_{\xi_1}\nabla_{\xi_2} - \nabla_{\xi_2}\nabla_{\xi_1} - \nabla_{[\xi_1,\xi_2]}\right)\xi_3}^{\in T_p{}'(M)}$$

- It defines the curvature tensor, R,

can be fed one covector and 3 vectors to yield a number

$$R \in T^1_3(M)$$

through:

$$R(\omega, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) := \overbrace{\langle \omega, R(\xi_1,\xi_2)\xi_3 \rangle}^{= \omega(R(\xi_1,\xi_2)\xi_3)} \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$R \in T^1_3(M)$$

through:

$$R(\omega, \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) := \overbrace{\langle \omega, R(\xi_1, \xi_2)\xi_3 \rangle}^{=\ \omega(R(\xi_1,\xi_2)\xi_3)} \in \mathbb{R}$$

In a chart:

$$R^i{}_{jkl} = \left\langle dx^i, R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle dx^i, \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} - \nabla_{\underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right]}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right\rangle$$

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

In a chart:

$$R^i{}_{jkl} = \left\langle dx^i, R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle dx^i, \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} - \nabla_{\underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right]}_{=0}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle dx^i, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} \Gamma^s{}_{lj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} \Gamma^s{}_{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s}\right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle dx^i, \left(\Gamma^s{}_{lj,k} + \Gamma^r{}_{lj}\Gamma^s{}_{kr} - \Gamma^s{}_{kj,l} - \Gamma^r{}_{kj}\Gamma^s{}_{lr}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^s}\right\rangle$$

ENG 2:33 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

In a chart:

$$R^i{}_{jk\ell} = \left\langle dx^i, R\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle dx^i, \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell}} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} - \nabla_{\underbrace{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell}\right]}_{=0}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle dx^i, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} \Gamma^s{}_{\ell j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\ell}} \Gamma^s{}_{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle dx^i, \left(\Gamma^s{}_{\ell j,k} + \Gamma^r{}_{\ell j}\Gamma^s{}_{kr} - \Gamma^s{}_{kj,\ell} - \Gamma^r{}_{kj}\Gamma^s{}_{\ell r}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \right\rangle$$

$$= \Gamma^i{}_{\ell j,k} - \Gamma^i{}_{kj,\ell} + \Gamma^s{}_{\ell j}\Gamma^i{}_{ks} - \Gamma^s{}_{kj}\Gamma^i{}_{\ell s}$$

ENG 2:34 PM

$$= \left\langle dx^i, \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} - \underbrace{\nabla_{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right]}}_{=0} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle dx^i, \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} \Gamma^s_{lj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} \Gamma^s_{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle dx^i, \left(\Gamma^s_{lj,k} + \Gamma^r_{lj} \Gamma^s_{kr} - \Gamma^s_{kj,l} - \Gamma^r_{kj} \Gamma^s_{lr} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \right\rangle$$

$$= \Gamma^i_{lj,k} - \Gamma^i_{kj,l} + \underbrace{\Gamma^s_{lj} \Gamma^i_{ks} - \Gamma^s_{kj} \Gamma^i_{ls}}$$

(at origin of geodesic cds they vanish.)

Curvature tensor's meaning?

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$$= \left\langle dx^i , \left(\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} - \underbrace{\nabla_{\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}\right]}}_{=0} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle dx^i , \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}} \Gamma^s_{lj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} - \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^l}} \Gamma^s_{kj} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \right\rangle$$

$$= \left\langle dx^i , \left(\Gamma^s_{lj,k} + \Gamma^r_{lj} \Gamma^s_{kr} - \Gamma^s_{kj,l} - \Gamma^r_{kj} \Gamma^s_{lr} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^s} \right\rangle$$

$$= \Gamma^i_{lj,k} - \Gamma^i_{kj,l} + \underbrace{\Gamma^s_{lj} \Gamma^i_{ks} - \Gamma^s_{kj} \Gamma^i_{ls}}_{\text{(at origin of geodesic cds they vanish.)}}$$

ENG 2:36 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Geometry:

Curvature expresses noncommutativity of two parallel transports, namely:

Proposition: (Ricci Identity)

Assume the torsion vanishes and that ξ is a vector field. Then:

$$\boxed{\xi^a{}_{;cd} - \xi^a{}_{;dc} = R^a{}_{cdb}\, \xi^b}$$

2:40 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Proposition: (Ricci Identity)

Assume the torsion vanishes and that ξ is a vector field. Then:

$$\boxed{\xi^a{}_{;cd} - \xi^a{}_{;dc} = R^a{}_{cdb}\,\xi^b}$$

(here: $\xi^a{}_{;cd} := \xi^a{}_{;c;d}$ etc.)

Remark: (a bit messy to derive because need Taylor expansion, see, e.g., text by Stewart or Einstein)

It implies that for parallel transport along infinitesimal parallelogram:



File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

that ξ is a vector field. Then:

$$\boxed{\xi^a{}_{;cd} - \xi^a{}_{;dc} = R^a{}_{cdb}\,\xi^b}$$

(here: $\xi^a{}_{;cd} := \xi^a{}_{;c;d}$ etc.)

Remark: (a bit messy to derive because need Taylor expansion, see, e.g., text by Stewart or Einstein)

It implies that for parallel transport along infinitesimal parallelogram:



$$\boxed{(\hat{\xi}-\xi)^a \approx \eta^b \nu^c R^a{}_{bcd}\,\xi^d}$$

2:42 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

that ξ is a vector field. Then:

$$\boxed{\xi^a{}_{;cd} - \xi^a{}_{;dc} = R^a{}_{cdb}\,\xi^b}$$

(here: $\xi^a{}_{;cd} := \xi^a{}_{;c;d}$ etc.)

Remark:

(a bit messy to derive because need Taylor expansion, see, e.g., text by Stewart or Einstein)

It implies that for parallel transport along infinitesimal parallelogram:



$$\boxed{(\hat{\xi}-\xi)^a \approx \eta^b \nu^c R^a{}_{bcd}\,\xi^d}$$

2:43 PM ENG

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

- Assume ξ, η, v are vector fields.

- Then, $R(\xi,\eta)v := \nabla_\xi(\nabla_\eta v) - \nabla_\eta(\nabla_\xi v) - \nabla_{[\xi,\eta]} v$ reads

use: $\nabla_\eta v = \nabla_{\eta^i \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}\left(v^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right) = \eta^i \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}}\left(v^j \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}\right) = \eta^i v^j{}_{;i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j} \dots$

in basis: $R^a{}_{bcd}\,\xi^b \eta^c v^d = \left(v^a{}_{;d}\,\eta^d\right)_{;c}\xi^c - \left(v^a{}_{;d}\,\xi^d\right)_{;c}\eta^c$

$$- v^a{}_{;d}\underbrace{\left(\eta^d{}_{;c}\,\xi^c - \xi^d{}_{;c}\,\eta^c\right)}$$

used Torsion $= T(\xi_1,\xi_2) := \nabla_{\xi_1}\xi_2 - \nabla_{\xi_2}\xi_1 - [\xi_1,\xi_2] = 0$

i.e.: $[\xi,\eta] = \nabla_\xi \eta - \nabla_\eta \xi$

Terms cancel:

$$\Longrightarrow R^a{}_{bcd}\,\xi^b \eta^c v^d = \left(v^a{}_{;d;c} - v^a{}_{;c;d}\right)\xi^c \eta^d$$

ENG 2:46 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

The "Bianchi Identities":

- They are automatic relations among torsion and curvature, by construction.

- Preparation: $\boxed{\nabla \text{ for maps!}}$

Consider an arbitrary $\mathcal{F}(M)$-linear map:

(e.g. Torsion or Curvature map)

$$K : \underbrace{\xi_1 \times \xi_2}_{\text{tangent vectors}} \times \dots \times \xi_r \longrightarrow \underbrace{K(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r)}_{\text{tangent vector}}$$

i.e. at each $p \in M$:

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Preparation: $\boxed{\nabla \text{ for maps!}}$

Consider an arbitrary $\mathcal{F}(M)$-linear map:

(e.g. Torsion or Curvature map)

$$K : \underbrace{\xi_1 \times \xi_2 \times \dots \times \xi_r}_{\text{tangent vectors}} \longrightarrow \underbrace{K(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r)}_{\text{tangent vector}}$$

i.e. at each $p \in M$:

$$K : T_p(M)^r \longrightarrow T_p(M)'$$

We can view K as a tensor $\tilde{K} \in T_p(M)'$

ENG 2:49 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Preparation: $\boxed{\nabla \text{ for maps!}}$

Consider an arbitrary $\mathcal{F}(M)$-linear map:

(e.g. Torsion or Curvature map)

$$K: \underbrace{\xi_1 \times \xi_2 \times \dots \times \xi_r}_{\text{tangent vectors}} \longrightarrow \underbrace{K(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r)}_{\text{tangent vector}}$$

i.e. at each $p \in M$:

$$K: T_p(M)^r \longrightarrow T_p(M)'$$

We can view K as a tensor $\tilde{K} \in T_p(M)^1_r$,

(as we did for R and T)

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

- We can view K as a tensor $\tilde{K} \in T_p(M)^1_r$,

(as we did for R and J)

namely:

$$\tilde{K}(\omega, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) := \langle \omega, K(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) \rangle$$

- Now let the usual derivative of the tensor $\tilde{K}$ define the derivative of the map K:

$$\langle \omega, (\underbrace{\nabla_\xi}_{\text{new concept: covariant derivative of a map } K : T_p(M)^r \to T_p(M)^1} K)(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_r) \rangle := \underbrace{\nabla_\xi \tilde{K}(\omega, \xi_1, \dots \xi_r)}_{\text{usual cov. derivative of a } (1,r) \text{ tensor}}$$

ENG 2:50 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

1st Bianchi Identity:

$$\sum_{\text{cyclic}} R(\xi,\eta)\nu = \sum_{\text{cyclic}}\Big(T(T(\xi,\eta),\nu)+(\nabla_\xi T)(\eta,\nu)\Big)$$

2nd Bianchi Identity:

$$\sum_{\text{cyclic}}\Big((\nabla_\xi R)(\eta,\nu)+R(T(\xi,\eta),\nu)\Big)=0$$

with obvious simplification in case $T=0$.

or like the homogeneous Maxwell equations

ENG 2:53 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$$\sum_{cyclic} R(\xi,\eta)\nu = \sum_{cyclic}\Big(T(T(\xi,\eta),\nu) + (\nabla_\xi T)(\eta,\nu)\Big)$$

2nd Bianchi Identity:

$$\sum_{cyclic}\Big((\nabla_\xi R)(\eta,\nu) + R(T(\xi,\eta),\nu)\Big) = 0$$

with obvious simplification in case $T = 0$.

or like the homogeneous Maxwell equations

Note: They are automatically obeyed equations, just like any set of lin. operators obeys the Jacobi identity with respect to $[\ ,\ ]$. Indeed that's why:

ENG 2:53 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Proof of 1st Bianchi: (assuming no torsion)

$$\underbrace{\sum_{cyclic} R(\xi,\eta)\nu}_{} = 0$$

$$\|$$

Indeed:

$$\overbrace{(\nabla_\xi \nabla_\eta - \nabla_\eta \nabla_\xi)\nu - \nabla_{[\xi,\eta]}\nu + \text{cyclic}}$$

shift by 1 cyclically ↓ shift by 1 cyclically ↓

$$= \nabla_\xi(\nabla_\eta \nu - \nabla_\nu \eta) - \nabla_{[\eta,\nu]}\xi + \text{cyclic}$$

Exercise: Prove that without torsion: $\boxed{\nabla_\eta \nu - \nabla_\nu \eta = [\eta,\nu]}$ (easy!)

$$= \nabla_\xi [\eta,\nu] - \nabla_{[\eta,\nu]}\xi + \text{cyclic}$$

// because again ...

ENG 2:53 PM

$$\underbrace{\sum_{\text{cyclic}} R(\xi,\eta)\nu}_{\parallel} = 0$$

Indeed:

$$\overbrace{(\nabla_\xi\nabla_\eta - \nabla_\eta\nabla_\xi)\nu - \nabla_{[\xi,\eta]}\nu + \text{cyclic}}$$

$\downarrow$ shift by 1 cyclically $\quad\downarrow$ shift by 1 cyclically

$$= \nabla_\xi(\nabla_\eta\nu - \nabla_\nu\eta) - \nabla_{[\eta,\nu]}\xi + \text{cyclic}$$

Exercise: Prove that without torsion: $\boxed{\nabla_\eta\nu - \nabla_\nu\eta = [\eta,\nu]}$ (easy!)

$$= \underbrace{\nabla_\xi[\eta,\nu] - \nabla_{[\eta,\nu]}\xi}_{\parallel \text{ because again } \nabla_a b - \nabla_b a = [a,b]} + \text{cyclic}$$

$$= [\xi,[\eta,\nu]] + \text{c}$$

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

$$\underbrace{\sum_{\text{cyclic}} R(\xi,\eta)\nu}_{\shortparallel} = 0$$

Indeed:

$$\overbrace{(\nabla_\xi \nabla_\eta - \nabla_\eta \nabla_\xi)\nu - \nabla_{[\xi,\eta]}\nu + \text{cyclic}}$$

shift by 1 cyclically, shift by 1 cyclically

$$= \nabla_\xi(\nabla_\eta \nu - \nabla_\nu \eta) - \nabla_{[\eta,\nu]}\xi + \text{cyclic}$$

Exercise: Prove that without torsion: $\boxed{\nabla_\eta \nu - \nabla_\nu \eta = [\eta,\nu]}$ (easy!)

$$= \underbrace{\nabla_\xi [\eta,\nu] - \nabla_{[\eta,\nu]}\xi}_{\shortparallel \text{ because again } \nabla_a b - \nabla_b a = [a,b]} + \text{cyclic}$$

$$= \overbrace{[\xi,[\eta,\nu]]} + \text{cyclic}$$

2:54 PM ENG

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Indeed:

$$\overbrace{(\nabla_\xi \nabla_\eta - \nabla_\eta \nabla_\xi)\nu - \nabla_{[\xi,\eta]}\nu}^{\parallel} + \text{cyclic}$$

shift by 1 cyclically   shift by 1 cyclically

$$= \nabla_\xi(\nabla_\eta \nu - \nabla_\nu \eta) - \nabla_{[\eta,\nu]}\xi + \text{cyclic}$$

Exercise: Prove that (without torsion): $\boxed{\nabla_\eta \nu - \nabla_\nu \eta = [\eta,\nu]}$ (easy!)

$$= \underbrace{\nabla_\xi [\eta,\nu] - \nabla_{[\eta,\nu]}\xi}_{\parallel \text{ because again } \nabla_a b - \nabla_b a = [a,b]} + \text{cyclic}$$

$$= \overbrace{[\xi,[\eta,\nu]]} + \text{cyclic}$$

by Jacobi identity for all

ENG 2:55 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

without torsion

$$= \underbrace{\nabla_\xi [\eta,\nu] - \nabla_{[\eta,\nu]}\xi}_{\overbrace{[\xi,[\eta,\nu]]}} + \text{cyclic}$$

// because again $\nabla_a b - \nabla_b a = [a,b]$

$$= [\xi,[\eta,\nu]] + \text{cyclic}$$

$= 0$ by Jacobi identity for all lin. maps.

Recall:

Assume A, B, C are linear maps $V \to V$

Then: $[A,[B,C]] + [C,[A,B]] + [B,[C,A]] \ldots$

2:56 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Recall:

Assume A, B, C are linear maps $V \to V$

Then: $[A,[B,C]] + [C,[A,B]] + [B,[C,A]] = 0$

i.e., the Jacobi identity holds.

Proof: Simply spell out the commutators.

Remark: This means that, e.g., in quantum mechanics, all objects that that need to be representable as operators on the Hilbert space must obey the Jacobi identity, e.g., generators of symmetries

This is why the Jacobi identity is one of the axioms of Lie Algebras

ENG 2:56 PM

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Recall:

Assume A, B, C are linear maps $V \rightarrow V$

Then: $[A,[B,C]] + [C,[A,B]] + [B,[C,A]] = 0$

i.e., the Jacobi identity holds.

Proof: Simply spell out the commutators.

Remark: This means that, e.g., in quantum mechanics, all objects that that need to be representable as operators on the Hilbert space must obey the Jacobi identity, e.g., generators of symmetries

This is why the Jacobi identity is one of the axioms of Lie Algebras

File Edit View Insert Actions Tools Help

Recall:

Assume A, B, C are linear maps $V \to V$

Then: $[A,[B,C]] + [C,[A,B]] + [B,[C,A]] = 0$

i.e., the Jacobi identity holds.

Proof: Simply spell out the commutators.

Remark: This means that, e.g., in quantum mechanics, all objects that that need to be representable as operators on the Hilbert space must obey the Jacobi identity, e.g., generators of symmetries

This is why the Jacobi identity is one of the axioms of Lie Algebras

ENG 2:59 PM