Title: New Vacuum and Representation For Loop Quantum Gravity Date: May 21, 2014 01:20 PM URL: http://pirsa.org/14050119 Abstract:

Thanks to Steffen for organizing QG Noons 2014!

(and for giving me a Penrose time slot)

Overview

Motivation.

What is vacuum?

Loop quantum gravity and Ashtekar-Lewandowski representation A very short tour.

The new vacuum and the new representation.

Dualization of the above.

More things I will not manage to cover.

Inner product, basis of excitations, inner product of excitations, diffeo symmetry, dynamics Conclusion and outlook

What is vacuum?

Vacuum

vacuum = minimal energy state

•however in GR: What is energy?

•vacuum = most typical/ simplest state, easiest to prepare ... sometimes: easiest to write down

•excitations: other states we can prepare (with some minimal effort)

•however, notion of vacuum depends on situation / dynamics:

condensate states: for instance scalar field have non-vanishing expectation values
usually accommodated by change of representation / Hilbert space, as condensation involves non-normalizable sates with respect to original Hilbert space

Vacuum

vacuum = minimal energy state

•however in GR: What is energy?

•vacuum = most typical/ simplest state, easiest to prepare ... sometimes: easiest to write down

•excitations: other states we can prepare (with some minimal effort)

•however, notion of vacuum depends on situation / dynamics:

condensate states: for instance scalar field have non-vanishing expectation values
usually accommodated by change of representation / Hilbert space, as condensation involves non-normalizable sates with respect to original Hilbert space

•vacuum state seemingly simple = state with no particles $|\emptyset\rangle$ (however very entangled ("non-local") state: Reh-Schlieder theorem)

•vacuum is invariant under basic symmetries of theory (here Poincare group)

•excitations: particle states - created by creation operators

•"discrete basis": Fock states $|n_{k_1}, n_{k_2}, n_{k_3}, n_{k_4}, ...
angle$

•Fock Hilbert space can be generated from vacuum via creation operators: vacuum is cyclic

•Fock space carries representation of observable algebra (creation and annihilation operators) Formalization: GNS construction, vacuum = functional on observable algebra

•vacuum state seemingly simple = state with no particles $|\emptyset\rangle$ (however very entangled ("non-local") state: Reh-Schlieder theorem)

•vacuum is invariant under basic symmetries of theory (here Poincare group)

•excitations: particle states - created by creation operators

•"discrete basis": Fock states $|n_{k_1}, n_{k_2}, n_{k_3}, n_{k_4}, ...
angle$

•Fock Hilbert space can be generated from vacuum via creation operators: vacuum is cyclic

•Fock space carries representation of observable algebra (creation and annihilation operators) Formalization: GNS construction, vacuum = functional on observable algebra

further remarks:

•"discrete basis": Fock states $|n_{k_1},n_{k_2},n_{k_3},n_{k_4},...
angle$

actually denote $|n_{k_1}, n_{k_2}, n_{k_3}, n_{k_4}, ... n_k = 0, \quad \forall k \neq k_i
angle$

("embedding of Hilbert spaces")

•explicit embedding map/vacuum non-trivial and non-local: depends on the dynamics

$$|n_k = 0\rangle \sim \exp(-\sqrt{k^2 + m^2}\phi(k)\phi(-k))$$

•this representation is very unpractical if excitations are condensed ...

further remarks:

•"discrete basis": Fock states $|n_{k_1},n_{k_2},n_{k_3},n_{k_4},...
angle$

actually denote $|n_{k_1}, n_{k_2}, n_{k_3}, n_{k_4}, ... n_k = 0, \quad \forall k \neq k_i
angle$

("embedding of Hilbert spaces")

•explicit embedding map/vacuum non-trivial and non-local: depends on the dynamics

$$|n_k = 0\rangle \sim \exp(-\sqrt{k^2 + m^2}\phi(k)\phi(-k))$$

•this representation is very unpractical if excitations are condensed ...

further remarks:

•"discrete basis": Fock states $|n_{k_1},n_{k_2},n_{k_3},n_{k_4},...
angle$

actually denote $|n_{k_1}, n_{k_2}, n_{k_3}, n_{k_4}, ... n_k = 0, \quad \forall k \neq k_i
angle$

("embedding of Hilbert spaces")

•explicit embedding map/vacuum non-trivial and non-local: depends on the dynamics

$$|n_k = 0\rangle \sim \exp(-\sqrt{k^2 + m^2} \phi(k)\phi(-k))$$

•this representation is very unpractical if excitations are condensed ...



[Ashtekar, Isham, Lewandowski 90's]



[Ashtekar, Isham, Lewandowski 90's]

- •cyclic vacuum state $|\emptyset\rangle$ = state with no spatial geometry
- •holonomy operators act as creation operators (also as annihilation operators)
- •basis of excitations: labelled by graphs
- •embedding of Hilbert spaces based on these graphs:

[Ashtekar, Isham, Lewandowski 90's]

- •cyclic vacuum state $|\emptyset\rangle$ = state with no spatial geometry
- •holonomy operators act as creation operators (also as annihilation operators)
- •basis of excitations: labelled by graphs
- •embedding of Hilbert spaces based on these graphs:

Embedding Hilbert spaces



Same state (based on one edge) represented in different graph Hilbert spaces.

"No edge" is equivalent to having an edge with j=0.

Vacuum and refining:

A notion of vacuum tells as also how to refine any state and to embed it into continuum Hilbert space. Defines the continuum Hilbert space.

Embedding Hilbert spaces



Same state (based on one edge) represented in different graph Hilbert spaces.

"No edge" is equivalent to having an edge with j=0.

Vacuum and refining:

A notion of vacuum tells as also how to refine any state and to embed it into continuum Hilbert space. Defines the continuum Hilbert space.

Cylindrical consistency

Any result of a computation (observables, inner product) should be independent of which representative one chooses.



Cylindrical consistency of basic observables



Cylindrical consistency condition for holonomies: reflect composition: g=g1.g2

Cylindrical consistency of basic observables



Cylindrical consistency condition for holonomies: reflect composition: g=g1.g2

Cylindrical consistency of basic observables



Cylindrical consistency condition for fluxes: Fluxes of surfaces associated to the same edge coincide.

(spatial) geometry operators (area, volume) built from fluxes:



totally squeezed state totally degenerate geometry \rightarrow

Very hard to extract low energy physics

(spatial) geometry operators (area, volume) built from fluxes:



totally squeezed state totally degenerate geometry \rightarrow

Very hard to extract low energy physics

(spatial) geometry operators (area, volume) built from fluxes:



totally squeezed state totally degenerate geometry

Very hard to extract low energy physics Are there other representations based on diffeomorphism invariant vacuum?

(F-LOST) Uniqueness theorem would suggest: no.

skip to 22

New Loop Quantum Gravity Hilbert space

- •cyclic vacuum state $|\emptyset\rangle$ = state with no curvature
- •Wilson surface operators (exponentiated fluxes) generate excitations



•basis of excitations: labelled by "surface network"

•surfaces based on arbitrarily refined embedded triangulation (or more general polyhedrization)

New Loop Quantum Gravity Hilbert space

- •cyclic vacuum state $|\emptyset\rangle$ = state with no curvature
- •Wilson surface operators (exponentiated fluxes) generate excitations



•basis of excitations: labelled by "surface network"

•surfaces based on arbitrarily refined embedded triangulation (or more general polyhedrization)

Set-up

- •manifold with auxiliary metric
- •set of embedded triangulations

embedded vertices: carry coordinate labels

•edges: geodesics with respect to auxiliary metric (replaces piecewise linear)

•triangles, tetrahedra: given by minimal surfaces

•dual complex (for instance barycentric, however details do not matter) with a root node (fixing a reference frame)

•so far: representation only for gauge invariant operators (modulo root)

embeddings given by refining Alexander moves: implemented by "gluing BF amplitudes"
equips the set of triangulations with a partial (directed)* order

Set-up

- •manifold with auxiliary metric
- •set of embedded triangulations

•embedded vertices: carry coordinate labels

•edges: geodesics with respect to auxiliary metric (replaces piecewise linear)

•triangles, tetrahedra: given by minimal surfaces

•dual complex (for instance barycentric, however details do not matter) with a root node (fixing a reference frame)

•so far: representation only for gauge invariant operators (modulo root)

embeddings given by refining Alexander moves: implemented by "gluing BF amplitudes"
equips the set of triangulations with a partial (directed)* order

Set-up

- •manifold with auxiliary metric
- •set of embedded triangulations

embedded vertices: carry coordinate labels

•edges: geodesics with respect to auxiliary metric (replaces piecewise linear)

•triangles, tetrahedra: given by minimal surfaces

•dual complex (for instance barycentric, however details do not matter) with a root node (fixing a reference frame)

•so far: representation only for gauge invariant operators (modulo root)

embeddings given by refining Alexander moves: implemented by "gluing BF amplitudes"
equips the set of triangulations with a partial (directed)* order

Cylindrical consistency conditions

	AL embedding	BF embedding
holonomies	compose	stay constant
fluxes	stay constant	compose

We need to compose fluxes!

Here: Fluxes associated to surfaces (3+1 dim) are added.

Integrated simplicial fluxes

In d=3 (need a surface tree for parallel transport):



black arrows: elementary fluxes blue: piece of a surface red: bonsai tree for piece of surface parallel transport (dashed red) takes place in tetrahedra `below' the surface

For composition of integrated fluxes need to specify a `bridge' edge (which connects the two surface trees).

New Cylindrical consistency

construction realizes exponentiated fluxes as cylindrically consistent observables
cylindrically consistent observables now based on composition of fluxes:
requires more structure than for holonomies (fluxes need to be parallel transported for composition)

•flatness ensures that choices for this structure do not matter in the quantum theory

Cylindrical consistency ensures that the BF representation can be defined as a continuum Hilbert space.

How did we evade F-LOST uniqueness

Given observable algebra

(holonomies for all possible* paths and fluxes for all possible* surfaces) and assuming

•(spatially) diffeomorphism invariant vacuum	
•cyclic vacuum	STILL
 irreducible representation 	SATISFIED
 representation of spatial diffeomorphisms 	

•weakly continuous exponentiated fluxes

Not SATISFIED

the Ashtekar-Lewandowski representation is unique.

We changed the observable algebra.

We consider

•simplicial fluxes, associated to triangles in (arbitrarily refined) triangulations

•holonomies in the dual of these triangulations

How did we evade F-LOST uniqueness

Given observable algebra

(holonomies for all possible* paths and fluxes for all possible* surfaces) and assuming

•(spatially) diffeomorphism invariant vacuum	
•cyclic vacuum	STILL
 irreducible representation 	SATISFIED
 representation of spatial diffeomorphisms 	

•weakly continuous exponentiated fluxes

Not SATISFIED

the Ashtekar-Lewandowski representation is unique.

We changed the observable algebra.

We consider

•simplicial fluxes, associated to triangles in (arbitrarily refined) triangulations

•holonomies in the dual of these triangulations

How did we evade F-LOST uniqueness

Given observable algebra

(holonomies for all possible* paths and fluxes for all possible* surfaces) and assuming

•(spatially) diffeomorphism invariant vacuum	
•cyclic vacuum	STILL
•irreducible representation	SATISFIED
 representation of spatial diffeomorphisms 	

•weakly continuous exponentiated fluxes

Not SATISFIED

the Ashtekar-Lewandowski representation is unique.

We changed the observable algebra.

We consider

•simplicial fluxes, associated to triangles in (arbitrarily refined) triangulations

•holonomies in the dual of these triangulations



onclusions and outlook

Refining by time evolution:

We have a better understanding of how to construct the physical vacuum as a continuum object, starting from spin foam amplitudes.

New Vacuum and Representation:

The construction of the BF vacuum /representation is a nice exercise towards this end: Realization of a condensate state and representation.

Opens up lots of new avenues for further devolopments:

Very near to spin foam dynamics. Can discuss simplicity constraints [a la Zapata 96]

Might facilitate extraction of low energy physics, cosmology etc.

Many generalizations possible. One is:

Does it allow SL(2,C) Hilbert space, supporting self dual variables?

onclusions and outlook

Refining by time evolution:

We have a better understanding of how to construct the physical vacuum as a continuum object, starting from spin foam amplitudes.

New Vacuum and Representation:

The construction of the BF vacuum /representation is a nice exercise towards this end: Realization of a condensate state and representation.

Opens up lots of new avenues for further devolopments:

Very near to spin foam dynamics. Can discuss simplicity constraints [a la Zapata 96]

Might facilitate extraction of low energy physics, cosmology etc.

Many generalizations possible. One is:

Does it allow SL(2,C) Hilbert space, supporting self dual variables?

