

Title: Infrared instability of massless scalars in De Sitter space-time.

Date: Oct 28, 2010 04:00 PM

URL: <http://pirsa.org/10100071>

Abstract: Vacuum expectation value of the square of the quantum field operator of massless or light scalar field is calculated in the De Sitter space-time. The suggested method of calculation is different from the standard one used in the 80th. The calculations are heavily based on the De Sitter covariance of the relevant quantities. The found result is significantly different for the old one for the massless field but coincides with the classical result for light massive field. Possible explanation of the discrepancy by a spontaneous breaking of De Sitter invariance or by finite duration of the (quasi) De Sitter stage is discussed.

INFRARED INSTABILITY OF MASSLESS SCALARS IN DE SITTER SPACE-TIME.

A.D. Dolgov

ITEP, 117218, Moscow, Russia

INFN, Ferrara 40100, Italy

University of Ferrara, Ferrara 40100, Italy

IR Issues and Loops

in De Sitter Space

PI, Waterloo, Ontario

October 27-30, 2010.

Content of the talk:

Calculations of $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ in the DeSitter space-time by two different methods give coinciding results for small but non-zero mass, while they have the same magnitude but **differ by sign for $m = 0$** .

This may point to breaking of DS invariance by quantum effects or to problems with UV renormalization.

A scalar field with the action:

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} (\nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi - m^2 \varphi^2 - \xi R \varphi^2).$$

Equation of motion in FRW metric:

$$\ddot{\varphi} - \Delta\varphi/a^2 + 3H\dot{\varphi} + m^2\varphi + \xi R\varphi = 0.$$

Energy-momentum tensor operator:

$$T^{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{ab} \left(\nabla_c \varphi \nabla^c \varphi - m^2 \varphi^2 \right) \\ + \nabla^a \varphi \nabla^b \varphi - \xi \left(R^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ab} R \right) \varphi^2 \\ - \xi \left(\nabla^a \nabla^b - g^{ab} \nabla_c \nabla^c \right) \varphi^2.$$

NB: T_a^b is covariantly conserved:

$$\nabla_b T_a^b = 0.$$

The conservation is assumed to be respected by quantum effects. No anomaly

Energy-momentum tensor operator:

$$T^{ab} = -\frac{1}{2}g^{ab} \left(\nabla_c \varphi \nabla^c \varphi - m^2 \varphi^2 \right) \\ + \nabla^a \varphi \nabla^b \varphi - \xi \left(R^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ab} R \right) \varphi^2 \\ - \xi \left(\nabla^a \nabla^b - g^{ab} \nabla_c \nabla^c \right) \varphi^2.$$

NB: T_a^b is covariantly conserved:

$$\nabla_b T_a^b = 0.$$

The conservation is assumed to be respected by quantum effects. **No anomalies here,**

$$\begin{aligned}
T^{ab} = & -\frac{1}{2}g^{ab} \left(\nabla_c \varphi \nabla^c \varphi - m^2 \varphi^2 \right) \\
& + \nabla^a \varphi \nabla^b \varphi - \xi \left(R^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ab} R \right) \varphi^2 \\
& - \xi \left(\nabla^a \nabla^b - g^{ab} \nabla_c \nabla^c \right) \varphi^2.
\end{aligned}$$

NB: T_a^b is covariantly conserved:

$$\nabla_b T_a^b = 0.$$

The conservation is assumed to be respected by quantum effects. **No anomalies here,**

Vacuum expectation value of the energy momentum tensor of φ in DS is proportional to **metric tensor**:

$$\langle T_{ab} \rangle_{ren} = \frac{g_{ab}}{64\pi^2} \left\{ m^2 \left[m^2 - \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R \right. \right. \\ \left. \left[\psi \left(\frac{3}{2} + \nu \right) + \psi \left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) - \ln \left(\frac{12m^2}{|R|} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 R^2 + \frac{R^2}{2160} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. m^2 \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R - \frac{m^2 R}{2160} \right] \right\}$$

Vacuum expectation value of the energy momentum tensor of φ in DS is proportional to **metric tensor**:

$$\langle T_{ab} \rangle_{ren} = \frac{g_{ab}}{64\pi^2} \left\{ m^2 \left[m^2 - \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R \right. \right. \\ \left. \left[\psi \left(\frac{3}{2} + \nu \right) + \psi \left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) - \ln \left(\frac{12m^2}{|R|} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 R^2 + \frac{R^2}{2160} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. m^2 \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R - \frac{m^2 R}{18} \right] \right\}$$

momentum tensor of φ in DS is proportional to **metric tensor**:

$$\langle T_{ab} \rangle_{ren} = \frac{g_{ab}}{64\pi^2} \left\{ m^2 \left[m^2 - \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R \right. \right. \\ \left. \left[\psi \left(\frac{3}{2} + \nu \right) + \psi \left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) - \ln \left(\frac{12m^2}{|R|} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 R^2 + \frac{R^2}{2160} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. m^2 \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R - \frac{m^2 R}{18} \right] \right\}$$

(Dowker, Critchley, 1976; Bunch, Davies, 1978). Here ψ is the logarithmic derivative

Vacuum expectation value of the energy momentum tensor of φ in DS is proportional to **metric tensor**:

$$\langle T_{ab} \rangle_{ren} = \frac{g_{ab}}{64\pi^2} \left\{ m^2 \left[m^2 - \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R \right. \right. \\ \left. \left[\psi \left(\frac{3}{2} + \nu \right) + \psi \left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) - \ln \left(\frac{12m^2}{|R|} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 R^2 + \frac{R^2}{2160} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. m^2 \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R - \frac{m^2 R}{18} \right] \right\}$$

momentum tensor of φ in DS is proportional to **metric tensor**:

$$\langle T_{ab} \rangle_{ren} = \frac{g_{ab}}{64\pi^2} \left\{ m^2 \left[m^2 - \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R \right. \right. \\ \left. \left[\psi \left(\frac{3}{2} + \nu \right) + \psi \left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) - \ln \left(\frac{12m^2}{|R|} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 R^2 + \frac{R^2}{2160} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. m^2 \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R - \frac{m^2 R}{18} \right] \right\}$$

(Dowker, Critchley, 1976; Bunch, Davies, 1978). Here ψ is the logarithmic derivative

$$\left[\psi \left(\frac{3}{2} + \nu \right) + \psi \left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) - \ln \left(\frac{12m^2}{|R|} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 R^2 + \frac{R^2}{2160} - m^2 \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R - \frac{m^2 R}{18} \right]$$

(Dowker, Critchley, 1976; Bunch, Davies 1978). Here ψ is the logarithmic derivative of the Gamma-function and

$$\nu^2 = \frac{9}{4} + 12 \left(\frac{m^2}{R} - \xi \right).$$

For $m \rightarrow 0$ and $\xi = 0$, the energy-momentum tensor becomes:

$$T_{ab} = \frac{g_{ab}H^4}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{3}{32} + \frac{1}{960} - \frac{1}{32} \right).$$

The first term is the standard non-anomalous term in the energy density at $m = 0$. The other two terms are anomalous. The second term survives in the conformal limit, while the third disappears only for $\xi = 1/6$ and does not vanish for $m = 0$ and $\xi = 0$.

Vacuum expectation value of the energy momentum tensor of φ in DS is proportional to **metric tensor**:

$$\langle T_{ab} \rangle_{ren} = \frac{g_{ab}}{64\pi^2} \left\{ m^2 \left[m^2 - \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R \right. \right. \\ \left. \left[\psi \left(\frac{3}{2} + \nu \right) + \psi \left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) - \ln \left(\frac{12m^2}{|R|} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 R^2 + \frac{R^2}{2160} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. m^2 \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R - \frac{m^2 R}{2160} \right] \right\}$$

$$\langle T_{ab} \rangle_{ren} = \frac{g_{ab}}{64\pi^2} \left\{ m^2 \left[m^2 - \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R \right. \right. \\ \left. \left[\psi \left(\frac{\nu}{2} + \nu \right) + \psi \left(\frac{\nu}{2} - \nu \right) - \ln \left(\frac{1}{|R|} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 R^2 + \frac{R^2}{2160} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. m^2 \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R - \frac{m^2 R}{18} \right] \right\}$$

(Dowker, Critchley, 1976; Bunch, Davies, 1978). Here ψ is the logarithmic derivative of the Gamma-function and

$$\nu^2 = \frac{9}{4} + 12 \left(\frac{m^2}{R} - \xi \right).$$

For $m \rightarrow 0$ and $\xi = 0$, the energy-momentum tensor becomes:

$$T_{ab} = \frac{g_{ab}H^4}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{3}{32} + \frac{1}{960} - \frac{1}{32} \right).$$

The first term is the standard non-anomalous term in the energy density at $m = 0$. The other two terms are anomalous. The second term survives in the conformal limit, while the third disappears only for $\xi = 1/6$ and does not vanish for $m = 0$ and $\xi = 0$.

For $m \rightarrow 0$ and $\xi = 0$, the energy-momentum tensor becomes:

$$T_{ab} = \frac{g_{ab}H^4}{\pi^2} \left(\frac{3}{32} + \frac{1}{960} - \frac{1}{32} \right).$$

The first term is the standard non-anomalous term in the energy density at $m = 0$. The other two terms are anomalous. The second term survives in the conformal limit, while the third disappears only for $\xi = 1/6$ and does not vanish for $m = 0$ and $\xi = 0$.

Quantum average value of φ^2 in De Sitter space-time is singular when $m \rightarrow 0$. In particular, for $\xi = 0$ and $m^2 \ll H^2$:

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \approx \frac{3H^4}{8\pi^2 m^2},$$

(Bunch, Davies, 1978).


Quantum average value of φ^2 in De Sitter space-time is singular when $m \rightarrow 0$. In particular, for $\xi = 0$ and $m^2 \ll H^2$:

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \approx \frac{3H^4}{8\pi^2 m^2},$$

(Bunch, Davies, 1978).

If $m = 0$ from the very beginning:

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \rightarrow \frac{H^3 t}{4\pi^2},$$

 Vilenkin and Ford, Starobinsky, Linde
(all 1982).

Our result is the same with opposite sign. $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ is not positive definite due to UV renormalization, as e.g. $\langle G_{\mu\nu}^2 \rangle$ in QCD.

If $m = 0$ from the very beginning:

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \rightarrow \frac{H^3 t}{4\pi^2},$$

Vilenkin and Ford, Starobinsky, Linde
(all 1982).

Our result is the same with opposite sign. $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ is not positive definite due to UV renormalization, as e.g. $\langle G_{\mu\nu}^2 \rangle$ in QCD.

The usual (old) result is derived by straightforward quantization:

$$\varphi(t, x) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left[f_p(t) e^{i p x} a_p^\dagger + h.c. \right]$$

where the mode functions are:

$$f_p(t) = c_1(p) H_{3/2}^{(1)}(p\eta) + c_2(p) H_{3/2}^{(2)}(p\eta)$$

where η is the conformal time.

In the limit of large p the flat space expression should be recovered, so

$$c_1(p \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 0.$$

The usual (old) result is derived by straightforward quantization:

$$\varphi(t, x) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^{3/2}} \left[f_p(t) e^{ipx} a_p^\dagger + h.c. \right]$$

where the mode functions are:

$$f_p(t) = c_1(p) H_{3/2}^{(1)}(p\eta) + c_2(p) H_{3/2}^{(2)}(p\eta)$$

where η is the conformal time.

In the limit of large p the flat space expression should be recovered, so

$$c_1(p \rightarrow \infty) \rightarrow 0.$$

$$f_p(t) = \frac{iH}{\sqrt{2p^3}} \left(1 + \frac{k}{iH} \right) e^{(ik/H)},$$

where $k = p \exp(-Ht)$.

Hence

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{2(2\pi)^3 k} \left(1 + \frac{H^2}{k^2} \right).$$

The first infinite term is the flat space contribution and is subtracted.

$$f_p(t) = \frac{iH}{\sqrt{2p^3}} \left(1 + \frac{k}{iH} \right) e^{(ik/H)},$$

where $k = p \exp(-Ht)$.

Hence

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = \int \frac{d^3k}{2(2\pi)^3 k} \left(1 + \frac{H^2}{k^2} \right).$$

The first infinite term is the flat space contribution and is subtracted.

Long wave modes, UV and IR cutoff:

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = \frac{H^2}{16\pi^3} \int_0^H \frac{d^3 k}{H \exp(-Ht) k^3} = \frac{H^3 t}{4\pi^2}.$$

In terms of physical momentum
 $p = k \exp(-Ht)$:


$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = \frac{H^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{Ht} d \ln \left(\frac{p}{H} \right) = \frac{H^3 t}{4\pi^2}.$$

The result sits on small p and/or k ,
for which c_1 may be nonzero and c_2
different from its flat space limit.

Long wave modes, UV and IR cutoff:

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = \frac{H^2}{16\pi^3} \int_0^H \frac{d^3 k}{H \exp(-Ht) k^3} = \frac{H^3 t}{4\pi^2}.$$

In terms of physical momentum

 $p = k \exp(-Ht):$

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = \frac{H^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{Ht} d \ln \left(\frac{p}{H} \right) = \frac{H^3 t}{4\pi^2}.$$

The result sits on small p and/or k , for which c_1 may be nonzero and c_2 different from its flat space limit.

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = \frac{H^2}{16\pi^3} \int_0^H \frac{d^3 k}{H \exp(-Ht) k^3} = \frac{H^3 t}{4\pi^2}.$$

In terms of physical momentum
 $p = k \exp(-Ht)$:

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = \frac{H^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{Ht} d \ln \left(\frac{p}{H} \right) = \frac{H^3 t}{4\pi^2}.$$

The result sits on small p and/or k ,
 for which c_1 may be nonzero and c_2
 different from its flat space limit.

Alternative derivation using equation of motion for $f \equiv \langle \varphi \rangle^2$ (AD, Pelliccia, 2005):

$$\nabla_a \nabla^a \varphi^2 = 2\varphi \nabla_a \nabla^a \varphi + 2\nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi.$$

Hence

$$(\nabla_a \nabla^a + m^2 + \xi R)f = 2 \langle \nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi \rangle - m^2 f - \xi R f.$$

Almost closed equation, except for $(\nabla \varphi)^2$ term.

Alternative derivation using equation of motion for $f \equiv \langle \varphi \rangle^2$ (AD, Pelliccia, 2005):

$$\nabla_a \nabla^a \varphi^2 = 2\varphi \nabla_a \nabla^a \varphi + 2\nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi.$$

Hence

$$(\nabla_a \nabla^a + m^2 + \xi R)f = 2 \langle \nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi \rangle - m^2 f - \xi R f.$$

Almost closed equation, except for $(\nabla \varphi)^2$ term.

Alternative derivation using equation of motion for $f \equiv \langle \varphi \rangle^2$ (AD, Pelliccia, 2005):

$$\nabla_a \nabla^a \varphi^2 = 2\varphi \nabla_a \nabla^a \varphi + 2\nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi.$$

Hence

$$(\nabla_a \nabla^a + m^2 + \xi R)f = 2 \langle \nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi \rangle - m^2 f - \xi R f.$$

Almost closed equation, except for $(\nabla \varphi)^2$ term.

Express the first term in the r.h.s. through the energy density of φ , assuming that its energy-momentum has DeSitter covariant form:

$$(1 - 6\xi) \nabla_a \nabla^a f - 2m^2 f = -2 \langle T^a_a \rangle = -8 \langle \rho \rangle .$$

In homogenous case:

$$(1 - 6\xi) \left(\ddot{f} + 3H \dot{f} \right) - 2m^2 f = -8 \langle \rho \rangle$$

NB: ρ well behaves in infrared

Express the first term in the r.h.s. through the energy density of φ , assuming that its energy-momentum has DeSitter covariant form:

$$(1 - 6\xi) \nabla_a \nabla^a f - 2m^2 f = -2 \langle T^a_a \rangle = -8 \langle \rho \rangle .$$

In homogenous case:

$$(1 - 6\xi) \left(\ddot{f} + 3H \dot{f} \right) - 2m^2 f = -8 \langle \rho \rangle$$

NB: ρ well behaves in infrared

Alternative derivation using equation of motion for $f \equiv \langle \varphi \rangle^2$ (AD, Pelliccia, 2005):

$$\nabla_a \nabla^a \varphi^2 = 2\varphi \nabla_a \nabla^a \varphi + 2\nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi.$$

Hence

$$(\nabla_a \nabla^a + m^2 + \xi R)f = 2 \langle \nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi \rangle - m^2 f - \xi R f.$$

Almost closed equation, except for $(\nabla \varphi)^2$ term.

Express the first term in the r.h.s. through the energy density of φ , assuming that its energy-momentum has DeSitter covariant form:

$$(1 - 6\xi) \nabla_a \nabla^a f - 2m^2 f = -2 \langle T^a_a \rangle = -8 \langle \rho \rangle .$$

In homogenous case:

$$(1 - 6\xi) \left(\ddot{f} + 3H \dot{f} \right) - 2m^2 f = -8 \langle \rho \rangle$$

NB: ρ well behaves in infrared

through the energy density of φ , assuming that its energy-momentum has DeSitter covariant form:

$$(1 - 6\xi) \nabla_a \nabla^a f - 2m^2 f = -2 \langle T^a_a \rangle = -8 \langle \rho \rangle .$$

In homogenous case:

$$(1 - 6\xi) \left(\ddot{f} + 3H \dot{f} \right) - 2m^2 f = -8 \langle \rho \rangle$$

NB: ρ well behaves in infrared

If DS invariance is unbroken, $\rho = \text{const}$ and the equation can be solved analytically. For $\xi = 0$ the general solution is:

$$f = C_1 \exp(\lambda_1 t) + C_2 \exp(\lambda_2 t) + \frac{4\langle \rho \rangle}{m^2}$$

where $\lambda_{1,2} = -3H/2 \pm \sqrt{9H^2/4 + 2m^2}$.
The time moment of the onset of DS stage is essential.

If DS invariance is unbroken, $\rho = \text{const}$ and the equation can be solved analytically. For $\xi = 0$ the general solution is:

$$f = C_1 \exp(\lambda_1 t) + C_2 \exp(\lambda_2 t) + \frac{4\langle \rho \rangle}{m^2}$$

where $\lambda_{1,2} = -3H/2 \pm \sqrt{9H^2/4 + 2m^2}$.
The time moment of the onset of DS stage is essential.

For small mass, $m^2/H^2 \ll 1$, and short time, $t < 3H/2m^2$, the solution is

$$f = C_1 e^{-3Ht} + C_2 \left(1 + \frac{2m^2}{3H} t \right) + \frac{4\langle \varrho \rangle}{m^2}$$

If $C_{1,2}$ are not singular at $m = 0$, the dominant term for $m \rightarrow 0$ is

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = 4\langle \varrho \rangle / m^2,$$

formally the same as for $\xi = 1/6$ but remember that $\langle T_a^a \rangle = T_a^a(\xi, m)$.

For small mass, $m^2/H^2 \ll 1$, and short time, $t < 3H/2m^2$, the solution is

$$f = C_1 e^{-3Ht} + C_2 \left(1 + \frac{2m^2}{3H} t \right) + \frac{4\langle \rho \rangle}{m^2}$$

If $C_{1,2}$ are not singular at $m = 0$, the dominant term for $m \rightarrow 0$ is

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = 4\langle \rho \rangle / m^2,$$

formally the same as for $\xi = 1/6$ but remember that $\langle T_a^a \rangle = T_a^a(\xi, m)$.

Express the first term in the r.h.s. through the energy density of φ , assuming that its energy-momentum has DeSitter covariant form:

$$(1 - 6\xi) \nabla_a \nabla^a f - 2m^2 f = -2 \langle T^a_a \rangle = -8 \langle \rho \rangle .$$

In homogenous case:

$$(1 - 6\xi) \left(\ddot{f} + 3H \dot{f} \right) - 2m^2 f = -8 \langle \rho \rangle$$

NB: ρ well behaves in infrared

For small mass, $m^2/H^2 \ll 1$, and short time, $t < 3H/2m^2$, the solution is

$$f = C_1 e^{-3Ht} + C_2 \left(1 + \frac{2m^2}{3H} t \right) + \frac{4\langle \rho \rangle}{m^2}$$

If $C_{1,2}$ are not singular at $m = 0$, the dominant term for $m \rightarrow 0$ is

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = 4\langle \rho \rangle / m^2,$$

formally the same as for $\xi = 1/6$ but remember that $\langle T_a^a \rangle = T_a^a(\xi, m)$.

If only non-anomalous terms in T_{ab} are taken into account, i.e.

$T_{ab} = 3g_{ab}H^4/32\pi^2$, we obtain the classical result:

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = \frac{3H^4}{8\pi^2 m^2}$$

With trace anomaly included the coefficient is different:

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \approx \frac{61H^4}{240\pi^2 m^2}.$$

WHY???

If $m = 0$ from the very beginning the solution is (for $\xi = 0$):

$$f = C_1 + C_2 e^{-3Ht} - \frac{8 \langle \rho \rangle}{3 H} t \approx -\frac{8 \langle \rho \rangle}{3 H} t$$

That is $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \approx -H^3 t / 4\pi^2$. This solution is the same as the earlier found by the absolute value (if anomaly is not included) but **the sign is opposite**. Possible explanation by Woodard and Tsamis (private communication) are some subtle problems with ultraviolet-

If $m = 0$ from the very beginning the solution is (for $\xi = 0$):

$$f = C_1 + C_2 e^{-3Ht} - \frac{8 \langle \rho \rangle}{3 H} t \approx -\frac{8 \langle \rho \rangle}{3 H} t$$

That is $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \approx -H^3 t / 4\pi^2$. This solution is the same as the earlier found by the absolute value (if anomaly is not included) but **the sign is opposite**. Possible explanation by Woodard and Tsamis (private communication) are some subtle problems with ultraviolet renormalization.

solution is (for $\xi = 0$):

$$f = C_1 + C_2 e^{-3Ht} - \frac{8 \langle \varrho \rangle}{3 H} t \approx -\frac{8 \langle \varrho \rangle}{3 H} t$$

That is $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \approx -H^3 t / 4\pi^2$. This solution is the same as the earlier found by the absolute value (if anomaly is not included) but **the sign is opposite**. Possible explanation by Woodard and Tsamis (private communication) are some subtle problems with ultraviolet renormalization.

$$f = C_1 + C_2 e^{-3Ht} - \frac{8 \langle \rho \rangle}{3 H} t \approx -\frac{8 \langle \rho \rangle}{3 H} t$$

That is $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \approx -H^3 t / 4\pi^2$. This solution is the same as the earlier found by the absolute value (if anomaly is not included) but **the sign is opposite**. Possible explanation by Woodard and Tsamis (private communication) are some subtle problems with ultraviolet renormalization.

$$f = C_1 + C_2 e^{-3Ht} - \frac{8 \langle \rho \rangle}{3 H} t \approx -\frac{8 \langle \rho \rangle}{3 H} t$$

That is $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle \approx -H^3 t / 4\pi^2$. This solution is the same as the earlier found by the absolute value (if anomaly is not included) but **the sign is opposite**. Possible explanation by Woodard and Tsamis (private communication) are some subtle problems with ultraviolet renormalization.

The same solution can be obtained from the general one in the limit of $m \rightarrow 0$ under condition that the solution is not singular at $m = 0$. To this end C_2 should be singular:

$C_2 = C_{20} - 4\langle \rho \rangle / m^2$, where C_{20} is a non-singular constant.

A problem with the equation in the conformal limit $m = 0$ and $\xi = 1/6$:

$$(1 - 6\xi) (\ddot{f} + 3H\dot{f}) - 2m^2 f = -8 \langle \rho \rangle.$$

The l.h.s. vanishes in the conformal limit, $m = 0$, $\xi = 1/6$, while the r.h.s. is non-zero due to conformal anomaly. This may explain disagreement with the standard results if anomalous terms are included into T_{ab} but not into the equation.

Anomalous contribution should be taken into account in the l.h.s.

Analogy to the Maxwell equations in DS with anomaly (AD, 1981):

$$\partial_\nu F_\mu^\nu + \frac{2\alpha N_f}{3\pi} (\partial_\nu \ln a) F_\mu^\nu = 0,$$

where a is the cosmological scale factor. The equation describes massless photon production in DS.

In fact the equation is nonlocal but in DS it can be essentially reduced to

A problem with the equation in the conformal limit $m = 0$ and $\xi = 1/6$:

$$(1 - 6\xi) (\ddot{f} + 3H\dot{f}) - 2m^2 f = -8 \langle \rho \rangle.$$

The l.h.s. vanishes in the conformal limit, $m = 0$, $\xi = 1/6$, while the r.h.s. is non-zero due to conformal anomaly. This may explain disagreement with the standard results if anomalous terms are included into T_{ab} but not into the equation.

A problem with the equation in the conformal limit $m = 0$ and $\xi = 1/6$:

$$(1 - 6\xi) \left(\ddot{f} + 3H \dot{f} \right) - 2m^2 f = -8 \langle \rho \rangle.$$

The l.h.s. vanishes in the conformal limit, $m = 0$, $\xi = 1/6$, while the r.h.s. is non-zero due to conformal anomaly. This may explain disagreement with the standard results if anomalous terms are included into T_{ab} but not into the equation.

Anomalous contribution should be taken into account in the l.h.s.

Analogy to the Maxwell equations in DS with anomaly (AD, 1981):

$$\partial_\nu F_\mu^\nu + \frac{2\alpha N_f}{3\pi} (\partial_\nu \ln a) F_\mu^\nu = 0,$$

where a is the cosmological scale factor. The equation describes massless photon production in DS.

In fact the equation is nonlocal but in DS it can be essentially reduced to the local one.


Anomalous contribution should be taken into account in the l.h.s.

Analogy to the Maxwell equations in DS with anomaly (AD, 1981):

$$\partial_\nu F_\mu^\nu + \frac{2\alpha N_f}{3\pi} (\partial_\nu \ln a) F_\mu^\nu = 0,$$

where a is the cosmological scale factor. The equation describes massless photon production in DS.

In fact the equation is nonlocal but in DS it can be essentially reduced to the local one.



For free scalar field in curved space-time one has to calculate its Green's function and inverse of it would give the equation of motion with anomalous corrections.

It may have infrared problems.

Conclusion

I. Alternative calculations of $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ free of infrared problems give the same result for non-zero mass as the standard one.

II. The same calculations for $m = 0$ differ by sign from the the previously found results in large time limit.

III. Possible explanations:

- a. Modification of the mode functions at low momenta.
- b. UV renormalization (NT, RW).
- c. Ambiguity in the choice vacuum.
- d. Breakdown of DS symmetry.
- d. Finite duration of DS stage (AD, Einhorn, Zakharov, 1993)
- e. Nothing of the above.

THE END



III. Possible explanations:

- a. Modification of the mode functions at low momenta.
- b. UV renormalization (NT_W RW).
- c. Ambiguity in the choice vacuum.
- d. Breakdown of DS symmetry.
- d. Finite duration of DS stage (AD, Einhorn, Zakharov, 1993)
- e. Nothing of the above.

THE END

Conclusion

I. Alternative calculations of $\langle \varphi^2 \rangle$ free of infrared problems give the same result for non-zero mass as the standard one.

II. The same calculations for $m = 0$ differ by sign from the the previously found results in large time limit.

III. Possible explanations:

- a. Modification of the mode functions at low momenta.
- b. UV renormalization (NT, RW).
- c. Ambiguity in the choice vacuum.
- d. Breakdown of DS symmetry.
- d. Finite duration of DS stage (AD, Einhorn, Zakharov, 1993)
- e. Nothing of the above.

Anomalous contribution should be taken into account in the l.h.s.

Analogy to the Maxwell equations in DS with anomaly (AD, 1981):

$$\partial_\nu F_\mu^\nu + \frac{2\alpha N_f}{3\pi} (\partial_\nu \ln a) F_\mu^\nu = 0,$$

where a is the cosmological scale factor. The equation describes massless photon production in DS.

In fact the equation is nonlocal but in DS it can be essentially reduced to

For small mass, $m^2/H^2 \ll 1$, and short time, $t < 3H/2m^2$, the solution is

$$f = C_1 e^{-3Ht} + C_2 \left(1 + \frac{2m^2}{3H} t \right) + \frac{4\langle \rho \rangle}{m^2}$$

If $C_{1,2}$ are not singular at $m = 0$, the dominant term for $m \rightarrow 0$ is

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = 4\langle \rho \rangle / m^2,$$

formally the same as for $\xi = 1/6$ but remember that $\langle T_a^a \rangle = T_a^a(\xi, m)$.

Alternative derivation using equation of motion for $f \equiv \langle \varphi \rangle^2$ (AD, Pelliccia, 2005):

$$\nabla_a \nabla^a \varphi^2 = 2\varphi \nabla_a \nabla^a \varphi + 2\nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi.$$

Hence

$$(\nabla_a \nabla^a + m^2 + \xi R)f = 2 \langle \nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi \rangle - m^2 f - \xi R f.$$

Almost closed equation, except for $(\nabla \varphi)^2$ term.

Long wave modes, UV and IR cutoff:

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = \frac{H^2}{16\pi^3} \int_0^H \frac{d^3k}{H \exp(-Ht) k^3} = \frac{H^3 t}{4\pi^2}.$$

In terms of physical momentum

$$p = k \exp(-Ht):$$

$$\langle \varphi^2 \rangle = \frac{H^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{Ht} d \ln \left(\frac{p}{H} \right) = \frac{H^3 t}{4\pi^2}.$$


The result sits on small p and/or k , for which c_1 may be nonzero and c_2 different from its flat space limit.

Vacuum expectation value of the energy momentum tensor of φ in DS is proportional to **metric tensor**:

$$\langle T_{ab} \rangle_{ren} = \frac{g_{ab}}{64\pi^2} \left\{ m^2 \left[m^2 - \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R \right. \right. \\ \left. \left[\psi \left(\frac{3}{2} + \nu \right) + \psi \left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) - \ln \left(\frac{12m^2}{|R|} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 R^2 + \frac{R^2}{2160} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. m^2 \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R - \frac{m^2 R}{2160} \right] \right\}$$

Vacuum expectation value of the energy momentum tensor of φ in DS is proportional to **metric tensor**:

$$\langle T_{ab} \rangle_{ren} = \frac{g_{ab}}{64\pi^2} \left\{ m^2 \left[m^2 - \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R \right. \right. \\ \left. \left[\psi \left(\frac{3}{2} + \nu \right) + \psi \left(\frac{3}{2} - \nu \right) - \ln \left(\frac{12m^2}{|R|} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right)^2 R^2 + \frac{R^2}{2160} \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \left. m^2 \left(\xi - \frac{1}{6} \right) R - \frac{m^2 R}{18} \right] \right\}$$

Express the first term in the r.h.s. through the energy density of φ , assuming that its energy-momentum has DeSitter covariant form: 

$$(1 - 6\xi) \nabla_a \nabla^a f - 2m^2 f = -2 \langle T^a_a \rangle = -8 \langle \rho \rangle .$$

In homogenous case:

$$(1 - 6\xi) \left(\ddot{f} + 3H \dot{f} \right) - 2m^2 f = -8 \langle \rho \rangle$$

NB: ρ well behaves in infrared

A problem with the equation in the conformal limit $m = 0$ and $\xi = 1/6$:

$$(1 - 6\xi) (\ddot{f} + 3H\dot{f}) - 2m^2 f = -8 \langle \rho \rangle.$$

The l.h.s. vanishes in the conformal limit, $m = 0$, $\xi = 1/6$, while the r.h.s. is non-zero due to conformal anomaly. This may explain disagreement with the standard results if anomalous terms are included into T_{ab} but not into the equation.

III. Possible explanations:

- a. Modification of the mode functions at low momenta.
- b. UV renormalization (NT, RW).
- c. Ambiguity in the choice vacuum.
- d. Breakdown of DS symmetry.
- d. Finite duration of DS stage (AD, Einhorn, Zakharov, 1993)
- e. Nothing of the above.