

Title: Symplectic Vortices and a Quantum Kirwan map

Date: May 10, 2009 01:00 PM

URL: <http://pirsa.org/09050042>

Abstract: "A Hamiltonian action of a Lie group on a symplectic manifold (M, ω) gives rise to a gauge theoretic deformation of the Cauchy-Riemann equations, called the symplectic vortex equations. Counting solutions of these equations over the complex plane leads to a quantum version of the Kirwan map. In joint work with Christopher Woodward, we interpret this map as a weak morphism of cohomological field theories."

A Quantum Kirwan Map and
Symplectic Vortices

Fabian Ziltener,
partly joint with C. Woodward

Overview:

1. A quantum Kirwan map
2. Symplectic Vortices
3. Morphisms of Cohomological Field Theories

1. A quantum Kirwan map

Consider

(M, ω) : symplectic manifold,

G : compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

We fix a Hamiltonian action of G on M , with moment map $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. This means:

$$\langle d\mu(x)v, \xi \rangle = \omega(X_\xi(x), v), \quad \mu(gx) = \text{Ad}_g^* \mu(x),$$

$$\forall x \in M, v \in T_x M, \xi \in \mathfrak{g}, g \in G.$$

1. A quantum Kirwan map

Consider

(M, ω) : symplectic manifold,

G : compact connected Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} .

We fix a Hamiltonian action of G on M , with moment map $\mu: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$. This means:

$$\langle d\mu(x)v, \xi \rangle = \omega(X_\xi(x), v), \quad \mu(gx) = \text{Ad}_{g^{-1}}^* \mu(x),$$

$$\forall x \in M, v \in T_x M, \xi \in \mathfrak{g}, g \in G.$$

Assume:

(H) μ is proper and G acts freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)$.

\implies

symplectic quotient $(\bar{M}, \bar{\omega})$ well-defined and closed.

Example:

$$M := \mathbb{C}^{k \times n}, \quad \omega := \omega_0,$$

$$G := U(k), \quad \mu(\Theta) := \frac{i}{2}(\mathbf{1} - \Theta\Theta^*)$$

$\implies \bar{M} = G(k, n)$. (For $k = 1$: $\bar{\omega}$ = Fubini-Study form.)

Assume:

(H) μ is proper and G acts freely on $\mu^{-1}(0)$.

\implies

symplectic quotient $(\bar{M}, \bar{\omega})$ well-defined and closed.

Example:

$$M := \mathbb{C}^{k \times n}, \quad \omega := \omega_0,$$

$$G := U(k), \quad \mu(\Theta) := \frac{i}{2}(\mathbf{1} - \Theta\Theta^*)$$

$\implies \bar{M} = G(k, n)$. (For $k = 1$: $\bar{\omega}$ = Fubini-Studi form.)

I'm interested in the **quantum cohomology**

$$\mathrm{QH}^*(\bar{M}, \bar{\omega}) := H^*(\bar{M}) \otimes \Lambda.$$

The quantum cup product $\bar{*}$ on $\mathrm{QH}^*(\bar{M}, \bar{\omega})$ counts (pseudo-)holomorphic maps $S^2 \rightarrow \bar{M}$.

Example:

$$\mathrm{QH}^*(\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}) = \mathbb{Z}[p, q]/(p^{n+1} - q).$$

Equivariant quantum cohomology

(introduced by A. Givental and B. Kim):

$$\mathrm{QH}_G^*(M, \omega) := H_G^*(M) \otimes \Lambda_{\omega}^t.$$

$*_G$ counts holomorphic maps from S^2 to the fibers of $(M \times EG)/G$.

Question:

How are $\mathrm{QH}_G^*(M, \omega)$ and $\mathrm{QH}^*(\bar{M}, \bar{\omega})$ related?

I'm interested in the **quantum cohomology**

$$\mathrm{QH}^*(\tilde{M}, \bar{\omega}) := H^*(\tilde{M}) \otimes \Lambda.$$

The quantum cup product $\bar{*}$ on $\mathrm{QH}^*(\tilde{M}, \bar{\omega})$ counts (pseudo-)holomorphic maps $S^2 \rightarrow \tilde{M}$.

Example:

$$\mathrm{QH}^*(\mathbb{C}P^n, \omega_{\mathrm{FS}}) = \mathbb{Z}[p, q]/(p^{n+1} - q).$$

Equivariant quantum cohomology

(introduced by A. Givental and B. Kim):

$$\mathrm{QH}_G^*(M, \omega) := H_G^*(M) \otimes \Lambda_\omega^\mu.$$

$*_G$ counts holomorphic maps from S^2 to the fibers of $(M \times \mathrm{EG})/G$.

Question:

How are $\mathrm{QH}_G^*(M, \omega)$ and $\mathrm{QH}^*(\tilde{M}, \bar{\omega})$ related?

Kirwan map:

$$\kappa_G : H_G^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(\tilde{M}),$$

induced by

$$\tilde{M} \rightarrow (\mu^{-1}(0) \times \mathrm{EG})/G \rightarrow (M \times \mathrm{EG})/G.$$

Kirwan map:

$$\kappa_G : H_G^*(M) \rightarrow H^*(\bar{M}),$$

induced by

$$\bar{M} \rightarrow (\mu^{-1}(0) \times EG)/G \rightarrow (M \times EG)/G.$$

Assume:

(M, ω) is weakly monotone and equivariantly convex at ∞ ,
 $(\bar{M}, \bar{\omega})$ is semi-positive.

Conjecture. *There exists a \mathcal{N}_ω^μ -algebra homomorphism*

$$\varphi : QH_G^*(M, \omega) \rightarrow QH^*(\bar{M}, \bar{\omega})$$

of the form

$$\varphi = \kappa_G \otimes \text{id} + \sum_{0 \neq B \in H_2^G(M)} \varphi_B \otimes e^B.$$

Remark: Assume that the action is monotone, (M, ω) is symplectically aspherical, and $H_G^*(M)$ is generated as a ring by classes of degree $<$ twice minimal Maslov number. Then A. R. Gaio and D. A. Salamon proved the conjecture.

K. Cieliebak and D. A. Salamon used this to calculate $QH^*(\bar{M}, \bar{\omega})$ for monotone torus actions on \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

Assume:

(M, ω) is weakly monotone and equivariantly convex at ∞ ,
 $(\bar{M}, \bar{\omega})$ is semi-positive.

Conjecture. *There exists a Λ_{ω}^H -algebra homomorphism*

$$\varphi : \text{QH}_G^*(M, \omega) \rightarrow \text{QH}^*(\bar{M}, \bar{\omega})$$

of the form

$$\varphi = \kappa_G \otimes \text{id} + \sum_{0 \neq B \in H_2^G(M)} \varphi_B \otimes e^B.$$

Remark: Assume that the action is monotone, (M, ω) is symplectically aspherical, and $H_G^*(M)$ is generated as a ring by classes of degree $<$ twice minimal Maslov number. Then A. R. Gaio and D. A. Salamon proved the conjecture.

K. Cieliebak and D. A. Salamon used this to calculate $\text{QH}^*(\bar{M}, \bar{\omega})$ for monotone torus actions on \mathbb{R}^{2n} .

2. Symplectic Vortices

Idea of proof of conjecture:

We define $\varphi = \text{Q}\kappa_G$ by counting symplectic vortices on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Fix $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$: invariant inner product on \mathfrak{g} ,

J : G -invariant and ω -compatible almost complex structure on M ,

$(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, j)$: real surface with compatible area form and complex structure,

$G \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow \Sigma$: principal bundle.

2. Symplectic Vortices

Idea of proof of conjecture:

We define $\varphi = Q\kappa_G$ by counting symplectic vortices on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Fix $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{g}}$: invariant inner product on \mathfrak{g} ,

J : G -invariant and ω -compatible almost complex structure on M ,

$(\Sigma, \omega_{\Sigma}, j)$: real surface with compatible area form and complex structure,

$G \hookrightarrow P \rightarrow \Sigma$: principal bundle.

A **symplectic vortex** is

a solution $(u, A) \in C_G^{\infty}(P, M) \times \mathcal{A}(P)$ of

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\partial}_{J,A}(u) & = 0 \\ F_A + (\mu \circ u)\omega_{\Sigma} & = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Equations introduced by K. Cieliebak, A.R. Gaio and D. A. Salamon, and independently by I. Mundet i Riera.

No F_A in 2. equation:

Cauchy-Riemann equations for map $\Sigma \rightarrow \bar{M}$.

No $(\mu \circ u)\omega_{\Sigma}$, $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}$:

holomorphic map $S^2 \rightarrow M$.

A **symplectic vortex** is
 a solution $(u, A) \in C_G^\infty(P, M) \times \mathcal{A}(P)$ of

$$\begin{cases} \bar{\partial}_{J,A}(u) &= 0 \\ F_A + (\mu \circ u)\omega_\Sigma &= 0. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Equations introduced by K. Cieliebak,
 A.R. Gaio and D. A. Salamon, and
 independently by I. Mundet i Riera.

No F_A in 2. equation:
 Cauchy-Riemann equations for map $\Sigma \rightarrow \bar{M}$.

No $(\mu \circ u)\omega_\Sigma$, $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}$:
 holomorphic map $S^2 \rightarrow M$.

Consider $\Sigma := \mathbb{R}^2, \omega_{\mathbb{R}^2} := \omega_0, j := i$.

Fact: Then every finite energy vortex (u, A)
 such that $\overline{u(P)}$ is compact, naturally carries a
 class $[u]_G \in H_2^G(M)$.

Let $B \in H_2^G(M)$.

$\mathcal{M}_B := \{\text{vortex } (u, A) : [u]_G = B\} / \mathcal{G}(P)$.

Fact: There are natural evaluation maps

$$\text{ev}_z : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow (M \times \text{EG})/G, \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$\bar{\text{ev}}_\infty : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow \bar{M} \quad (\text{at } \infty \in \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}).$$

Consider $\Sigma := \mathbb{R}^2, \omega_{\mathbb{R}^2} := \omega_0, j := i$.

Fact: Then every finite energy vortex (u, A) such that $\overline{u(P)}$ is compact, naturally carries a class $[u]_G \in H_2^G(M)$.

Let $B \in H_2^G(M)$.

$\mathcal{M}_B := \{\text{vortex } (u, A) : [u]_G = B\} / \mathcal{G}(P)$.

Fact: There are natural evaluation maps

$$\text{ev}_z : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow (M \times \text{EG})/G, \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}^2,$$

$$\overline{\text{ev}}_\infty : \mathcal{M}_B \rightarrow \tilde{M} \quad (\text{at } \infty \in \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}).$$

Heuristically, for $\alpha \in H_G^*(M)$ and $\bar{\beta} \in H^*(\tilde{M})$, we define

$$Q\kappa_G^B(\alpha, \bar{\beta}) := \int_{\mathcal{M}_B} \text{ev}_0^* \alpha \smile \overline{\text{ev}}_\infty^* \bar{\beta}.$$

We fix dual bases $(\bar{e}_i)_{i=1, \dots, N}$ and $(\bar{e}^i)_{i=1, \dots, N}$ of $H^*(\tilde{M})$.

Idea: define

$$Q\kappa_G(\alpha) := \sum_{i, B} Q\kappa_G^B(\alpha, \bar{e}_i) \bar{e}^i e^B.$$

Heuristically, for $\alpha \in H_G^*(M)$ and $\bar{\beta} \in H^*(\bar{M})$, we define

$$Q_{\kappa_G^B}(\alpha, \bar{\beta}) := \int_{\mathcal{M}_B} \text{ev}_0^* \alpha \sim \bar{\text{ev}}_\infty^* \bar{\beta}.$$

We fix dual bases $(\bar{e}_i)_{i=1, \dots, N}$ and $(\bar{e}^i)_{i=1, \dots, N}$ of $H^*(\bar{M})$.

Idea: define

$$Q_{\kappa_G}(\alpha) := \sum_{i, B} Q_{\kappa_G^B}(\alpha, \bar{e}_i) \bar{e}^i e^B.$$

Reason why Q_{κ_G} should intertwine $*_G$ and $\bar{*}$:

Let $B \in H_2^G(M)$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in H_G^*(M)$, $\bar{\beta} \in H^*(\bar{M})$.

Claim: see blackboard.

Idea of proof of claim:

Set $z_\nu^+ := \nu$, $z_\nu^- := 1/\nu$

Consider a sequence W_ν of gauge classes of vortices on \mathbb{R}^2 such that $[W_\nu]_G = B$ and

$\text{ev}_{z_\nu^\pm}(W_\nu) \in X_1$, $\text{ev}_{-z_\nu^\pm}(W_\nu) \in X_2$, $\bar{\text{ev}}_\infty(W_\nu) \in \bar{X}$.

(See blackboard.)

Reason why Q_{κ_G} should intertwine $*_G$ and $\bar{*}$:

Let $B \in H_2^G(M)$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in H_G^*(M)$, $\bar{\beta} \in H^*(\bar{M})$.

Claim: see blackboard.

Idea of proof of claim:

Set $z_\nu^+ := \nu$, $z_\nu^- := 1/\nu$

Consider a sequence W_ν of gauge classes of vortices on \mathbb{R}^2 such that $[W_\nu]_G = B$ and

$\text{ev}_{z_\nu^\pm}(W_\nu) \in X_1$, $\text{ev}_{-z_\nu^\pm}(W_\nu) \in X_2$, $\bar{\text{ev}}_\infty(W_\nu) \in \bar{X}$.

(See blackboard.)

Goal: Define Q_κ rigorously.

Compactification:

Fix $p > 2$. We define

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{loc}}^p := W_{\text{loc},G}^{1,p}(P, M) \times \mathcal{A}_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(P),$$

and for $w := (u, A) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{loc}}^p$:

$$e_w := \frac{1}{2}(|d_A u|^2 + |F_A|^2 + |\mu \circ u|^2),$$

$$E(w) := \int_\Sigma e_w.$$

Goal: Define Q_κ rigorously.

Compactification:

Fix $p > 2$. We define

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{loc}}^p := W_{\text{loc},G}^{1,p}(P, M) \times \mathcal{A}_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(P),$$

and for $w := (u, A) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{loc}}^p$:

$$e_w := \frac{1}{2}(|d_A u|^2 + |F_A|^2 + |\mu \circ u|^2),$$

$$E(w) := \int_{\Sigma} e_w.$$

Consider $\Sigma := \mathbb{R}^2$.

Theorem 1 (Z.). Assume (H) and that (M, ω) is equivariantly convex at ∞ , and

$$\int u^* \omega = 0, \quad \forall u \in C^\infty(S^2, M).$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ let $w_\nu = (u_\nu, A_\nu)$ be a vortex such that $\overline{u_\nu(P)}$ is compact, and $z_1^\nu, \dots, z_k^\nu \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Assume

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} E(w^\nu) < \infty,$$

$$\limsup_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} |z_i^\nu - z_j^\nu| > 0, \quad \forall i \neq j.$$

Then there exists a subsequence of $(w^\nu, z_0^\nu := \infty, z_1^\nu, \dots, z_k^\nu)$ that converges to some stable map of vortices on \mathbb{R}^2 and holomorphic maps $S^2 \rightarrow \bar{M}$.

Consider $\Sigma := \mathbb{R}^2$.

Theorem 1 (Z.). Assume (H) and that (M, ω) is equivariantly convex at ∞ , and

$$\int u^* \omega = 0, \quad \forall u \in C^\infty(S^2, M).$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, and for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}$ let $w_\nu = (u_\nu, A_\nu)$ be a vortex such that $\overline{u_\nu(P)}$ is compact, and $z_1^\nu, \dots, z_k^\nu \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

Assume

$$\sup_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}} E(w_\nu) < \infty,$$

$$\limsup_{\nu \rightarrow \infty} |z_i^\nu - z_j^\nu| > 0, \quad \forall i \neq j.$$

Then there exists a subsequence of $(w_\nu, z_0^\nu := \infty, z_1^\nu, \dots, z_k^\nu)$ that converges to some stable map of vortices on \mathbb{R}^2 and holomorphic maps $S^2 \rightarrow \bar{M}$.

Proof:

Combines Gromov- and Uhlenbeck-compactness.

Main difficulty: \mathbb{R}^2 has infinite area, energy can escape to $\infty \in \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$.

Proof:

Combines Gromov- and Uhlenbeck-compactness.

Main difficulty: \mathbb{R}^2 has infinite area,
energy can escape to $\infty \in \mathbb{R}^2 \cup \{\infty\}$.

Fredholm theory:

View LHS of (2) as $\tilde{S}(w)$, where
 $\tilde{S} : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is a \mathcal{G} -equivariant section.

This descends to $S : \mathcal{B} := \tilde{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} := \tilde{\mathcal{E}}/\mathcal{G}$.

Vertical differential of S at
 $W = \mathcal{G}^*w \in S^{-1}(0)$:

$$\mathcal{D}_w : \ker L_w^* \cong T_W \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_w \cong \mathcal{E}_W,$$
$$\mathcal{D}_w(v, \alpha) := \begin{pmatrix} (\nabla^A v + L_u \alpha)^{0,1} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_v J) d_A u j \\ d_A \alpha + \omega_\Sigma d\mu(u)v \end{pmatrix}.$$

Fredholm theory:

View LHS of (2) as $\bar{S}(w)$, where
 $\bar{S} : \bar{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}$ is a \mathcal{G} -equivariant section.

This descends to $S : \mathcal{B} := \bar{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} := \bar{\mathcal{E}}/\mathcal{G}$.

Vertical differential of S at
 $W = \mathcal{G}^*w \in S^{-1}(0)$:

$$\mathcal{D}_w : \ker L_w^* \cong T_W \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{E}}_w \cong \mathcal{E}_W,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_w(v, \alpha) := \begin{pmatrix} (\nabla^A v + L_u \alpha)^{0,1} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_v J) d_A u j \\ d_A \alpha + \omega_\Sigma d\mu(u)v \end{pmatrix}.$$

Explanations:

$$L_w : \text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \rightarrow T_w \bar{\mathcal{B}}, \quad L_w \xi = (L_u \xi, -d_A \xi).$$

We denote

$$TM^u := (u^* TM)/G \rightarrow \Sigma, \quad \mathfrak{g}_P := (P \times \mathfrak{g})/G \rightarrow \Sigma,$$

$$E_u := TM^u \oplus \bigwedge^1(\mathfrak{g}_P) \rightarrow \Sigma,$$

$$E'_u := \bigwedge^{0,1}(TM^u) \oplus \bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_P) \rightarrow \Sigma.$$

Then $T_w \bar{\mathcal{B}} = \Gamma(E_u)$, $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_w = \Gamma(E'_u)$.

$\nabla :=$ Levi-Civita connection of $\omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$.

(∇, A) induces a connection ∇^A on E_u .

Explanations:

$$L_w : \text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \rightarrow T_w \bar{\mathcal{B}}, \quad L_w \xi = (L_u \xi, -d_A \xi).$$

We denote

$$TM^u := (u^* TM)/G \rightarrow \Sigma, \quad \mathfrak{g}_P := (P \times \mathfrak{g})/G \rightarrow \Sigma,$$

$$E_u := TM^u \oplus \bigwedge^1(\mathfrak{g}_P) \rightarrow \Sigma,$$

$$E'_u := \bigwedge^{0,1}(TM^u) \oplus \bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_P) \rightarrow \Sigma.$$

$$\text{Then } T_w \bar{\mathcal{B}} = \Gamma(E_u), \quad \bar{\mathcal{E}}_w = \Gamma(E'_u).$$

$\nabla :=$ Levi-Civita connection of $\omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$.

(∇, A) induces a connection ∇^A on E_u .

Consider $\Sigma := \mathbb{R}^2$.

Fix $p > 2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we denote

$$\|f\|_{p,\lambda} := \left\| f(1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \right\|_p.$$

We define:

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\lambda^p := \left\{ (u, A) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{loc}}^p \mid \overline{u(P)} \text{ compact, } \left\| \sqrt{e_{(u,A)}} \right\|_{p,\lambda} < \infty \right\}$$

Consider $\Sigma := \mathbb{R}^2$.

Fix $p > 2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we denote

$$\|f\|_{p,\lambda} := \left\| f(1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \right\|_p.$$

We define:

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\lambda^p := \left\{ (u, A) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{loc}}^p \mid \overline{u(P)} \text{ compact, } \left\| \sqrt{e_{(u,A)}} \right\|_{p,\lambda} < \infty \right\}$$

Let $w := (u, A) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\lambda^p$.

For $\zeta := (v, \alpha) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(E_u)$ we define

$$\|\zeta\|_{w,p,\lambda} := \|\zeta\|_\infty + \left\| |\nabla^A \zeta| + |d\mu(u)v| + |\alpha| \right\|_{p,\lambda}.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{X}_w^{p,\lambda} := \left\{ \zeta \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(E_u) \mid L_w^* \zeta = 0, \|\zeta\|_{w,p,\lambda} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_w^{p,\lambda} := \left\{ \zeta' \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(E'_u) \mid \|\zeta'\|_{p,\lambda} < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $w := (u, A) \in \bar{B}_\lambda^p$.

For $\zeta := (v, \alpha) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(E_u)$ we define

$$\|\zeta\|_{w,p,\lambda} := \|\zeta\|_\infty + \left\| |\nabla^A \zeta| + |d\mu(u)v| + |\alpha| \right\|_{p,\lambda}.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{X}_w^{p,\lambda} := \left\{ \zeta \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(E_u) \mid L_w^* \zeta = 0, \|\zeta\|_{w,p,\lambda} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_w^{p,\lambda} := \left\{ \zeta' \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(E'_u) \mid \|\zeta'\|_{p,\lambda} < \infty \right\}.$$

Theorem 2 (Z.). Assume (H) and $\dim M > 2 \dim G$.

Let $p > 2$, $\lambda > 1 - 2/p$ and $w := (u, A) \in \bar{B}_\lambda^p$ be a smooth pair.

Then:

(i) $\mathcal{X}_w^{p,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_w^{p,\lambda}$ are complete.

(ii) If $1 - 2/p < \lambda < 2 - 2/p$ then $\mathcal{D}_w : \mathcal{X}_w^{p,\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_w^{p,\lambda}$ is Fredholm, and

$$\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_w^{p,\lambda} = \dim M - 2 \dim G + 2 \text{Maslov}(w).$$

Theorem 2 (Z.). Assume (H) and $\dim M > 2 \dim G$.

Let $p > 2$, $\lambda > 1 - 2/p$ and $w := (u, A) \in \tilde{B}_\lambda^p$ be a smooth pair.

Then:

(i) $\mathcal{X}_w^{p,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{Y}_w^{p,\lambda}$ are complete.

(ii) If $1 - 2/p < \lambda < 2 - 2/p$ then $\mathcal{D}_w : \mathcal{X}_w^{p,\lambda} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_w^{p,\lambda}$ is Fredholm, and

$$\text{ind } \mathcal{D}_w^{p,\lambda} = \dim M - 2 \dim G + 2 \text{Maslov}(w).$$

Proof:

1. Fredholm result for augmented vertical differential and
2. surjectivity of L_w^* .

The proof of 1. is based on:

- Existence of a good trivialization of E_u .
(Respects splitting

$$T_{u(p)}M = (\text{im } L^{\mathbb{C}})^{\perp} \oplus \text{im } L_{u(p)}^{\mathbb{C}}$$

for $p \in \pi^{-1}(z) \subseteq P$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_R$ and R large.)

- Work by R. B. Lockhart and R. C. McOwen.

Proof:

1. Fredholm result for augmented vertical differential and
2. surjectivity of L_w^* .

The proof of 1. is based on:

- Existence of a good trivialization of E_u .
(Respects splitting

$$T_{u(p)}M = (\text{im } L^C)^\perp \oplus \text{im } L_{u(p)}^C$$

for $p \in \pi^{-1}(z) \subseteq P$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_R$
and R large.)

- Work by R. B. Lockhart and R. C. McOwen.

Main difficulty:

Kondrachov compactness fails on \mathbb{R}^2 . In fact:

The terms $\alpha \mapsto (L_u \alpha)^{0,1}$ and $v \mapsto \omega_0 d\mu(u)v$ occurring in \mathcal{D}_w are not compact.

Explanations:

$$L_w : \text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \rightarrow T_w \bar{\mathcal{B}}, \quad L_w \xi = (L_u \xi, -d_A \xi).$$

We denote

$$TM^u := (u^* TM)/G \rightarrow \Sigma, \quad \mathfrak{g}_P := (P \times \mathfrak{g})/G \rightarrow \Sigma,$$

$$E_u := TM^u \oplus \bigwedge^1(\mathfrak{g}_P) \rightarrow \Sigma,$$

$$E'_u := \bigwedge^{0,1}(TM^u) \oplus \bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_P) \rightarrow \Sigma.$$

Then $T_w \bar{\mathcal{B}} = \Gamma(E_u)$, $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_w = \Gamma(E'_u)$.

$\nabla :=$ Levi-Civita connection of $\omega(\cdot, J\cdot)$.

(∇, A) induces a connection ∇^A on E_u .

Consider $\Sigma := \mathbb{R}^2$.

Fix $p > 2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we denote

$$\|f\|_{p,\lambda} := \left\| f(1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \right\|_p.$$

We define:

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\lambda^p := \left\{ (u, A) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{loc}}^p \mid \overline{u(P)} \text{ compact, } \left\| \sqrt{e_{(u,A)}} \right\|_{p,\lambda} < \infty \right\}$$

Fredholm theory:

View LHS of (2) as $\tilde{S}(w)$, where
 $\tilde{S} : \tilde{\mathcal{B}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}$ is a \mathcal{G} -equivariant section.

This descends to $S : \mathcal{B} := \tilde{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E} := \tilde{\mathcal{E}}/\mathcal{G}$.

Vertical differential of S at
 $W = \mathcal{G}^*w \in S^{-1}(0)$:

$$\mathcal{D}_w : \ker L_w^* \cong T_W \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_w \cong \mathcal{E}_W,$$

$$\mathcal{D}_w(v, \alpha) := \begin{pmatrix} (\nabla^A v + L_u \alpha)^{0,1} + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_v J) d_A u j \\ d_A \alpha + \omega_\Sigma d\mu(u)v \end{pmatrix}.$$

Explanations:

$$L_w : \text{Lie } \mathcal{G} \rightarrow T_w \tilde{\mathcal{B}}, \quad L_w \xi = (L_u \xi, -d_A \xi).$$

We denote

$$TM^u := (u^* TM)/G \rightarrow \Sigma, \quad \mathfrak{g}_P := (P \times \mathfrak{g})/G \rightarrow \Sigma,$$

$$E_u := TM^u \oplus \bigwedge^1(\mathfrak{g}_P) \rightarrow \Sigma,$$

$$E'_u := \bigwedge^{0,1}(TM^u) \oplus \bigwedge^2(\mathfrak{g}_P) \rightarrow \Sigma.$$

Then $T_w \tilde{\mathcal{B}} = \Gamma(E_u)$, $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_w = \Gamma(E'_u)$.

$\nabla :=$ Levi-Civita connection of $\omega(\cdot, J \cdot)$.

(∇, A) induces a connection ∇^A on E_u .

Proof:

1. Fredholm result for augmented vertical differential and
2. surjectivity of L_w^* .

The proof of 1. is based on:

- Existence of a good trivialization of E_u .
(Respects splitting

$$T_{u(p)}M = (\text{im } L^C)^\perp \oplus \text{im } L_{u(p)}^C$$

for $p \in \pi^{-1}(z) \subseteq P$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_R$
and R large.)

- Work by R. B. Lockhart and R. C. McOwen.

Main difficulty:

Kondrachov compactness fails on \mathbb{R}^2 . In fact:

The terms $\alpha \mapsto (L_u \alpha)^{0,1}$ and $v \mapsto \omega_0 d\mu(u)v$ occurring in \mathcal{D}_w are not compact.

Consider $\Sigma := \mathbb{R}^2$.

Fix $p > 2$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

For $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we denote

$$\|f\|_{p,\lambda} := \left\| f(1 + |\cdot|^2)^{\frac{\lambda}{2}} \right\|_p.$$

We define:

$$\bar{\mathcal{B}}_\lambda^p := \left\{ (u, A) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_{\text{loc}}^p \mid \overline{u(P)} \text{ compact, } \left\| \sqrt{e_{(u,A)}} \right\|_{p,\lambda} < \infty \right\}$$

Let $w := (u, A) \in \bar{\mathcal{B}}_\lambda^p$.

For $\zeta := (v, \alpha) \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(E_u)$ we define

$$\|\zeta\|_{w,p,\lambda} := \|\zeta\|_\infty + \left\| |\nabla^A \zeta| + |d\mu(u)v| + |\alpha| \right\|_{p,\lambda}.$$

We define

$$\mathcal{X}_w^{p,\lambda} := \left\{ \zeta \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(E_u) \mid L_w^* \zeta = 0, \|\zeta\|_{w,p,\lambda} < \infty \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_w^{p,\lambda} := \left\{ \zeta' \in L_{\text{loc}}^p(E'_u) \mid \|\zeta'\|_{p,\lambda} < \infty \right\}.$$

Main difficulty:

Kondrachov compactness fails on \mathbb{R}^2 . In fact:

The terms $\alpha \mapsto (L_u \alpha)^{0,1}$ and $v \mapsto \omega_0 d\mu(u)v$ occurring in \mathcal{D}_u are not compact.

3. Morphisms of Cohomological Field Theories (joint with C. Woodward)

We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n$ the moduli space of stable n -marked curves of genus 0.

An (even) **genus 0 CohFT** consists of

V : an \mathbb{R} -vector space,

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n : V^n \times H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad n \geq 3,$$

$(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: symmetric non-degenerate.

Condition:

For $\alpha \in V^n$, $\beta \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n)$ and $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfying $2 \leq |I| \leq n - |I|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha; \beta \smile \text{PD}(\text{im}(t_{n,I})) \rangle_n = \\ \sum_{i,j} \langle \alpha_I, e_i; \beta_j' \rangle_{|I|+1} \langle \alpha_{\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I}, e^i; \beta_j'' \rangle_{n-|I|+1} \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. Fredholm result for augmented vertical differential and
2. surjectivity of L_w^* .

The proof of 1. is based on:

- Existence of a good trivialization of E_u .
(Respects splitting

$$T_{u(p)}M = (\text{im } L^C)^\perp \oplus \text{im } L_{u(p)}^C$$

for $p \in \pi^{-1}(z) \subseteq P$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_R$
and R large.)

- Work by R. B. Lockhart and R. C. McOwen.

Main difficulty:

Kondrachov compactness fails on \mathbb{R}^2 . In fact:

The terms $\alpha \mapsto (L_u \alpha)^{0,1}$ and $v \mapsto \omega_0 d\mu(u)v$ occurring in \mathcal{D}_w are not compact.

Main difficulty:

Kondrachov compactness fails on \mathbb{R}^2 . In fact:

The terms $\alpha \mapsto (L_u \alpha)^{0,1}$ and $v \mapsto \omega_0 d\mu(u)v$ occurring in \mathcal{D}_u are not compact.

3. Morphisms of Cohomological Field Theories (joint with C. Woodward)

We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n$ the moduli space of stable n -marked curves of genus 0.

An (even) **genus 0 CohFT** consists of

V : an \mathbb{R} -vector space,

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n : V^n \times H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad n \geq 3,$$

$(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: symmetric non-degenerate.

Condition:

For $\alpha \in V^n$, $\beta \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n)$ and $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfying $2 \leq |I| \leq n - |I|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha; \beta \smile \text{PD}(\text{im}(t_{n,I})) \rangle_n = \\ \sum_{i,j} \langle \alpha_I, e_i; \beta_j' \rangle_{|I|+1} \langle \alpha_{\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I}, e^i; \beta_j'' \rangle_{n-|I|+1} \end{aligned}$$

Proof:

1. Fredholm result for augmented vertical differential and
2. surjectivity of L_w^* .

The proof of 1. is based on:

- Existence of a good trivialization of E_u .
(Respects splitting

$$T_{u(p)}M = (\operatorname{im} L^C)^\perp \oplus \operatorname{im} L_{u(p)}^C$$

for $p \in \pi^{-1}(z) \subseteq P$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus B_R$
and R large.)

- Work by R. B. Lockhart and R. C. McOwen.

Main difficulty:

Kondrachov compactness fails on \mathbb{R}^2 . In fact:

The terms $\alpha \mapsto (L_u \alpha)^{0,1}$ and $v \mapsto \omega_0 d\mu(u)v$ occurring in \mathcal{D}_w are not compact.

Main difficulty:

Kondrachov compactness fails on \mathbb{R}^2 . In fact:

The terms $\alpha \mapsto (L_u \alpha)^{0,1}$ and $v \mapsto \omega_0 d\mu(u)v$ occurring in \mathcal{D}_u are not compact.

3. Morphisms of Cohomological Field Theories (joint with C. Woodward)

We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n$ the moduli space of stable n -marked curves of genus 0.

An (even) **genus 0 CohFT** consists of

V : an \mathbb{R} -vector space,

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_n : V^n \times H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad n \geq 3,$$

$(\cdot, \cdot) : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$: symmetric non-degenerate.

Condition:

For $\alpha \in V^n$, $\beta \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n)$ and $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ satisfying $2 \leq |I| \leq n - |I|$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \alpha; \beta \smile \text{PD}(\text{im}(t_{n,I})) \rangle_n &= \\ \sum_{i,j} \langle \alpha_I, e_i; \beta_j' \rangle_{|I|+1} \langle \alpha_{\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus I}, e^i; \beta_j'' \rangle_{n-|I|+1} \end{aligned}$$

Morphisms:

Consider

$$\{\infty\} \times \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_i \neq z_j, \forall i \neq j\} / \text{translation}.$$

This has a natural compactification $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n,1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let V and W be CohFT.

A **morphism of CohFT's** from V to W is a collection

$$\varphi_n : V^n \times H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{n,1}(\mathbb{C})) \rightarrow W, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

satisfying conditions that correspond to collapsing some of the marked points or moving them away from each other.
(See blackboard.)

This notion was introduced by C. Woodward.

Heuristically, for $n \geq 0$ we define a map

$$Q\kappa_G^n : QH_G(M, \omega)^n \times H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_n) \rightarrow QH^*(\overline{M}, \overline{\omega}),$$

similarly as above.

Conjecture. $(Q\kappa_G^n)_{n \geq 0}$ is a morphism of CohFT's from $GW_G(M, \omega)$ to $GW(\overline{M}, \overline{\omega})$.