

Title: The Clock and the Quantum - Panel Discussion 1

Date: Oct 02, 2008 02:00 PM

URL: <http://pirsa.org/08100053>

Abstract: Julian Barbour Huw Price Lee Smolin Roderich Tumulka William Unruh

Can Asphraim do
an experiment that
would prove to us
that things really do
happen?

Or could he alternatively
prove to us that nothing
actually happens?

It has been suggested by some that many people who adhere to the many worlds interpretation, ~~and~~ seem to like it so much that would adhere to some form of it even without quantum mechanics. Think of David Lewis or Max Tegmark. In other words, MWI may be more a statement of psychology than physics. React.

It has been suggested by some that many people who adhere to the many worlds interpretation, ~~seem~~ seem to like it so much that they would adhere to some form of it even without quantum mechanics. Think of David Lewis or Max Tegmark. In other words, MWI may be more a statement of psychology than physics. React.


Huw & Wharton & others

Why call it "RETRO-
causality"? In Huw's
model all the nodes are
tied together: left and
right as well as up and
down. I.e. it seems he
imagines a true block univers^e
Causes can be taken to go
in every direction.

Huw & Wharton & others

Why call it "RETRO-
causality"? In Huw's
model all the nodes are
tied together: left and
right as well as up and
down. I.e., it seems he
imagines a true block univers^e.
Causes can be taken to go
in every direction.

Why is the
two-state theory
(interpretation) not
retrocausal?



unting
easure.)


ns.

s

2S.

(N-r)

(0,1)'s.



Suppose for the sake of argument that quantum theory is not fundamental (it is a first order, or equilibrium approximation to....) and quantizing gravity is inappropriate.

- 1) Is there evidence for or against this?
- 2) What implications does this have for the problems discussed at this conference?