

Title: Cosmology 7

Date: Jun 09, 2006 12:00 PM

URL: <http://pirsa.org/06060025>

Abstract:

THE FADING OF
GRAVITY AND
SELF - INFLATION

JUSTIN KHOURY
(PERIMETER)

WITH A. NICOLIS (HARVARD)

WORK TO APPEAR SOON ...

PROPAGANDA :

- KEY LESSON FROM STRING THEORY :
EARLY-UNIVERSE COSMOLOGY LIKELY TO
BE VERY DIFFERENT THAN STANDARD BIG BANG
STORY.
 - EXTRA DIMENSIONS OPEN UP
 - BRANES FLOAT AROUND (& CAN COLLIDE)
- COMMON FEATURE : STRONGER GRAVITY
AT SHORT DISTANCES

1) $\mathbb{R}^{3,1} \times S^1$

THEN $F_{\text{grav}} \sim \frac{G_N m_1 m_2}{r^2} \longrightarrow \frac{G_N R m_1 m_2}{r^3}$
($r \gg R$) ($r \ll R$)

2) EXTRA DIMS + BRANES \implies EXTRA φ 's OR
MODULI IN 4d EFFECTIVE THEORY

THESE MODULI MEDIATE ATTRACTIVE
5th FORCES \implies STRONGER EFFECTIVE
GRAVITY

BUT WHAT IF GRAVITY BECOMES WEAKER
OR SHUTS OFF AT SHORT DISTANCES?

- NON-PERTURBATIVE EFFECTS
IN STRING THEORY } TSEYTLIN, '95
- MODELS INSPIRED FROM
OPEN STRING FIELD THEORY } SIEGEL, '03
BILGAS, MARZOHAN, STENZEL '05
- FAT GRAVITY } SUNDBUM, '97
- ⋮

OUR INTEREST: COSMOLOGICAL IMPLICATIONS

- CAN ONE GET INF'N
IF GRAVITY IS WEAKER?
- DO YOU NEED INF'N?
- ALTERNATIVE MODELS OF
EARLY-UNIVERSE COSMOLOGY?

BASIC IDEA: MODIFY GRAVITON PROPAGATOR

$$\frac{1}{\square} \rightarrow \frac{\zeta(\square L^2)}{\square} \quad (\square \equiv \partial^\mu \partial_\mu)$$

SUCH THAT $\zeta \rightarrow 1$ FOR $\square L^2 \ll 1$
 $\rightarrow 0$ FOR $\square L^2 \gg 1$

GHOST STORIES: NO NEW POLES IN PROPAGATOR

$\therefore \zeta$ ANALYTIC, e.g. $\zeta(\square L^2) = e^{-\alpha^2 L^4}$

SURPRISE: GET INFLATIONARY SOL'N
WITHOUT SCALAR FIELD NOR OTHER
MATTER.

- KNOW A LOT ABOUT SCALAR-DRIVEN INF'N
(GENERIC PREDICTIONS)
- BUT THIS IS A TOTALLY NEW WAY OF
GETTING INF'N (NO KNOWN WAY TO REWRITE
AS SCALAR-TENSOR THEORY)
- NEW PREDICTIONS!

FROM MODIFIED PROPAGATOR TO MODIFIED THEORY

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left\{ R + G^{mn} \frac{(\mathcal{G}(\alpha L^2) - 1)}{\alpha} R_{mn} \right\} \\ + S_{\text{matter}}[g]$$

IGNORE IN THIS TALK

(NOTE: JUST A TOY-MODEL. AS EFFECTIVE THEORY, CAN'T IGNORE $C(R^3)$ TERMS ETC.)

WEAK-FIELD: $g_{mn} = \eta_{mn} + h_{mn}$
 $\therefore R_{mn} = -\frac{\alpha}{2} h_{mn} + O(h^2)$

$$\Rightarrow S_{\text{int}} = \int \frac{1}{4} h^{mn} \underbrace{\mathcal{G}(\alpha L^2)}_{\frac{\mathcal{G}}{\alpha} \text{ spin-2 propagator}} \alpha (h_{mn} - \frac{1}{2} \eta_{mn} h)$$

EoM: $\underbrace{\mathcal{G}(\alpha L^2)}_{\mathcal{G} = G_0^*(\alpha L^2)} G_{mn} + E(R^2) = 0$

SOLVE THIS HORRIFIC EQN, ASSUMING
HOMOG., ISOTROPY, & SPATIAL FLATNESS.

SELF-INFLATION : A BRIEF SKETCH

2 OBSERVATIONS :

$$1. \quad R_{mn}^{ds} = \lambda g_{mn} \implies \square R_{mn}^{ds} = 0$$
$$\implies \mathcal{G}^{-1}(0L^2) R_{mn}^{ds} = e^{\alpha^2 L^2} R_{mn}^{ds} = R_{mn}^{ds}$$

\therefore PURE dS DOESN'T CARE ABOUT $\mathcal{G}(0L^2)$,
NO MATTER HOW SMALL DE SITTER RADIUS IS.

$$2. \quad \text{ANSATZ } R_{mn} = \underbrace{R_{mn}^{ds}}_{O(H^2)} + \underbrace{\Gamma_{mn}}_{O(H)} \quad ; \quad \Gamma_{mn} \ll R_{mn} \quad \left(\text{i.e. } \frac{H}{H^2} \ll 1 \right)$$

$$\text{THEN } \mathcal{G}^{-1}(0L^2) \Gamma_{mn} \approx \mathcal{G}^{-1}(H^2 L^2) \Gamma_{mn}$$
$$= e^{\alpha^2 H^2 L^2} \Gamma_{mn} \gg \Gamma_{mn} \quad \text{if } H \gg L^{-1}$$

\therefore DEVIATION FROM dS CARE A LOT
ABOUT $\mathcal{G}(0L^2)$

SELF-INFLATING SOL'N :

1. $R_{\mu\nu} = 3H^2 g_{\mu\nu} + \overset{\text{CONST}}{\underset{\uparrow}{\mathcal{O}(H)}}$

2. Plug into EOM & NEGLECT
TERMS OF ORDER $\ddot{H}, \dot{H}^2, \ddot{H} \dots$

(CONTROLLED EXPANSION IN DEVIATION
FROM PURE dS)

3. FIND

$$H^2 + \frac{3}{8} G^{-1} (8H^2 L^2) \dot{H} \approx 0$$

\Rightarrow $\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \approx -\frac{8G}{3} (8H^2 L^2) \ll 1$
FOR $HL \gg 1$

REHEATING :

• $\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} < 0 \implies$ EVENTUALLY $\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \sim 0(1)$
 \implies INF'N STOPS

- REHEAT THROUGH GRAVITATIONAL
PARTICLE PRODUCTION

FERRARI '87
GINSBURG &
SIBIRSKY '90
SFRAGIOLINI '93

$$T_{\text{reheat}} \sim H \sim L^{-1}$$

- BBN CONSTRAINT :

$$L < 1 \text{ fm}$$

REHEATING

SELF-INFLATING SOLN :

$$\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} < 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \text{EVENTUALLY}$$

$$\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \sim O(\epsilon)$$

1. $R_m = 3H^2 \xrightarrow{\text{STOP}} \text{STOP} \leftarrow O(H)$

REHEAT THROUGH GRAVITATIONAL PARTICLE PRODUCTION NEGLECT

2. Plug into EOM
TERMS OF ORDER \ddot{H}, \dot{H}^2, H

Ford '87
Grisham &
Singer '90
Spergel '93

(CONTROLLED EXPANSION IN DEVIATION)
FROM PURE dS
BBN CONSTRAINT :

3. $F_{\text{ind}} L < 1 \text{ fm}$

$$H^2 + \frac{3}{8} G^{-1} (8H^2 L^2) \dot{H} \approx 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \approx -\frac{8G}{3} (8H^2 L^2) \ll 1$$

FOR $HL \gtrsim 1$

REHEATING :

- $\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} < 0 \implies$ EVENTUALLY $\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} \sim 0(1)$
 \implies INFIN STOPS

- REHEAT THROUGH GRAVITATIONAL
PARTICLE PRODUCTION

Feld '87
Griswold &
Sigalov '90
Steinhardt '93

$$T_{\text{hor}} \sim H \sim L^{-1}$$

- BBN CONSTRAINT :

$$L < 1 \text{ fm}$$

DENSITY PERTURBATIONS :

- HERE NEUTRINO PERT. Φ PLAYS THE ROLE OF INFLATON IN SOME SENSE

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\delta \rho}{\rho} \sim \frac{H}{\epsilon} \quad (\epsilon \equiv -\dot{H}/H^2)$$

(COMPARE WITH $\frac{\delta \rho}{\rho} \sim H/\epsilon$ IN SCALAR-DRIVEN INFLATION)

- FIXING $\delta \rho/\rho \sim 10^{-5}$ FROM OBSERVATIONS

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{L^{-1} = 10^9 \text{ GeV} \quad \text{OR} \quad L = 10^{-22} \text{ cm}}$$

- SPECTRAL TILT: $n_s - 1 \approx 8\epsilon \ln(3/8\epsilon)$

$$\approx -0.05$$

(\nexists NO SIGNIFICANT RUNNING)

GRAVITY WAVES :

- HERE $h_k \sim a^{2/3}$ FOR $k \rightarrow 0$
(COMPARED TO $h_k \sim \text{const.}$ IN INF'N)

$$\therefore \Delta h_k \sim \frac{H}{M_{\text{pl}}} \left(\frac{H}{k} \right)^{2/3}$$

(COMPARED TO $\Delta h_k \sim \frac{H}{M_{\text{pl}}}$ IN SCALAR INF'N)

- VERY RED SPECTRUM OF GL'S
- FIXING $\frac{\delta^2}{\rho^2} \Big|_s \sim 10^{-5}$, GET TOO LARGE GL POWER ON LARGE SCALES

→ DIFFERENT CHOICE OF $\zeta(\text{DL}^2)$?

→ CAN WE GET SOME LARGE BUT OBSERVATIONALLY-ACCEPTABLE RED TILT?

→ CAN WE GET BLUE TILT?