

Title: Ashoke Sen (Harish Chandra Research Institute)

Date: Jul 08, 2005 04:30 AM

URL: <http://pirsa.org/05070083>

Abstract:

IB CY3

tachyon. uwaterloo. ca/  
~plangfelder/Perime

Work/Summer School

D3





$$T = \sqrt{G_{\omega}^{(10)}}$$

$$A_{\mu}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} B_{\omega\mu}^{(10)}$$

$$A_{\mu}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( G_{\omega}^{(10)} \right)^{-1} G_{\omega\mu}^{(10)}$$

$$q_1 = \frac{1}{2} r, \quad q_2 = \frac{1}{2} \omega$$



$$g=0, \quad y_3 \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_3} = y_4 \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_4}$$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_2} = 0$$

$$q_1 = u_s u_T \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_3}$$

$$q_2 = u_s u_T \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_4}$$



$$ds^2 = \mathcal{U}_1 \left( -r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} \right) + \mathcal{U}_2 d\Omega_{D-2}^2$$

$$S = u_s, \quad T = u_T, \quad F_{rt}^{(A)} = e_A$$

$$y_1 = \mathcal{U}_1, \quad y_2 = \mathcal{U}_2, \quad y_3 = e_1 u_T, \quad y_4 = e_2 u_T^{-1}$$

$$f(u_s, u_T, \mathcal{U}_1, \mathcal{U}_2, e_1, e_2) = u_s g(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$$



①

## Summary of Results

D=4:

In a general higher derivative theory of gravity coupled to scalars  $\phi_s$  and gauge fields  $A_\mu^{(i)}$ , the near horizon geometry of extremal black holes is:

$$ds^2 = v_1 \left( -r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} \right) + v_2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)$$

$$\phi_s = u_s$$

$$F_{rt}^{(i)} = e_i, \quad F_{\theta\phi}^{(i)} = \frac{p_i}{4\pi} \sin \theta,$$

For this background:

$$R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = -v_1^{-1} (g_{\alpha\gamma} g_{\beta\delta} - g_{\alpha\delta} g_{\beta\gamma}), \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta = r, t$$

$$R_{mnpq} = v_2^{-1} (g_{mp} g_{nq} - g_{mq} g_{np}), \quad m, n, p, q = \theta, \phi$$



①

## Summary of Results

D=4:

In a general higher derivative theory of gravity coupled to scalars  $\phi_s$  and gauge fields  $A_\mu^{(i)}$ , the near horizon geometry of extremal black holes is:

$$ds^2 = v_1 \left( -r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} \right) + v_2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)$$

$$\phi_s = u_s$$

$$F_{rt}^{(i)} = e_i, \quad F_{\theta\phi}^{(i)} = \frac{p_i}{4\pi} \sin \theta,$$

For this background:

$$R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = -v_1^{-1} (g_{\alpha\gamma} g_{\beta\delta} - g_{\alpha\delta} g_{\beta\gamma}), \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta = r, t$$

$$R_{mnpq} = v_2^{-1} (g_{mp} g_{nq} - g_{mq} g_{np}), \quad m, n, p, q = \theta, \phi$$



①

## Summary of Results

D=4:

In a general higher derivative theory of gravity coupled to scalars  $\phi_s$  and gauge fields  $A_\mu^{(i)}$ , the near horizon geometry of extremal black holes is:

$$ds^2 = v_1 \left( -r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} \right) + v_2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2)$$

$$\phi_s = u_s$$

$$F_{rt}^{(i)} = e_i, \quad F_{\theta\phi}^{(i)} = \frac{p_i}{4\pi} \sin \theta,$$

For this background:

$$R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = -v_1^{-1} (g_{\alpha\gamma} g_{\beta\delta} - g_{\alpha\delta} g_{\beta\gamma}), \quad \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta = r, t$$

$$R_{mnpq} = v_2^{-1} (g_{mp} g_{nq} - g_{mq} g_{np}), \quad m, n, p, q = \theta, \phi$$







(2)

Let  $\sqrt{-\det g} \mathcal{L}$  be the Lagrangian density.

Define:

$$f(\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{e}, \vec{p}) \equiv \int d\theta d\phi \sqrt{-\det g} \mathcal{L}$$

$$F(\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{e}, \vec{q}, \vec{p}) \equiv 2\pi(e_i q_i - f(\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{e}, \vec{p}))$$

For an extremal black hole of electric charge  $\vec{q}$  and magnetic charge  $\vec{p}$ ,

1. the values of  $\{\underline{u}_s\}$ ,  $\{\underline{e}_i\}$ ,  $\underline{v}_1$  and  $\underline{v}_2$  are obtained by solving

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_s} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial v_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial v_2} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial F}{\partial e_i} = 0.$$

2. the black hole entropy  $S_{BH}$  is given by

$$S_{BH} = F(\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{q}, \vec{p})$$

at the extremum of  $F$  with respect to  $\vec{u}$ ,  $\vec{v}$ ,  $\vec{e}$ .

Similar results hold for higher dimensional black holes.



### Generalization to $D > 4$ :

Consider a theory of gravity coupled to scalars  $\phi_s$  and anti-symmetric tensor gauge fields of different rank.

We define a spherically symmetric extremal black hole to have

1. near horizon geometry  $AdS_2 \times S^{D-2}$
2. all background fields invariant under the  $SO(2, 1) \times SO(D - 1)$  symmetry of  $AdS_2 \times S^{D-2}$ .







(23)

General near horizon field configuration:

$$\begin{aligned}
 ds^2 &\equiv g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = v_1 \left( -r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} \right) + v_2 d\Omega_{D-2}^2 \\
 \phi_s &= u_s, \quad F_{rt}^{(i)} = e_i, \\
 H_{l_1 \dots l_{D-2}}^{(a)} &= p_a \epsilon_{l_1 \dots l_{D-2}} \sqrt{\det h^{(D-2)}} / \Omega_{D-2}.
 \end{aligned}$$

$d\Omega_{D-2}^2 = h_{ll'}^{(D-2)} dx^l dx^{l'}$  : the line element on the unit  $(D-2)$ -sphere

$H_{\mu_1 \dots \mu_{D-2}}^{(a)}$  : field strength associated with the antisymmetric tensor  $(D-3)$ -form fields

$\Omega_{D-2}$  : the area of the unit  $(D-2)$ -sphere.

$x^{l_i}$  with  $2 \leq l_i \leq (D-1)$  : coordinates along this sphere.

$\epsilon$  is the totally anti-symmetric symbol with

$$\epsilon_{2 \dots (D-1)} = 1$$







The analysis proceeds in a manner identical to the D=4 case.

Define  $f(\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{e}, \vec{p}) = \int_{S^{D-2}} \sqrt{-\det g} \mathcal{L}.$

$F(\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{q}, \vec{p}) \equiv 2\pi \times$  the Legendre transform of  $f$  with respect to  $\vec{e}$ .

1.  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$  are obtained by extremizing  $F$ .
2.  $\underline{S_{BH}} = F$  at this extremum.

Assumption: The part of the lagrangian which is relevant for the construction of the black hole solution depends only on the field strengths and not on the gauge fields.

i.e. Chern-Simons terms, if present, do not af-  
fect the black hole solution.





The analysis proceeds in a manner identical to the D=4 case.

Define  $f(\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{e}, \vec{p}) = \int_{S^{D-2}} \sqrt{-\det g} \mathcal{L}.$

$F(\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{q}, \vec{p}) \equiv 2\pi \times$  the Legendre transform of  $f$  with respect to  $\vec{e}$ .

1.  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$  are obtained by extremizing  $F$ .
2.  $S_{BH} = F$  at this extremum.

Assumption: The part of the lagrangian which is relevant for the construction of the black hole solution depends only on the field strengths and not on the gauge fields.

i.e. Chern-Simons terms, if present, do not af-  
fect the black hole solution.





The analysis proceeds in a manner identical to the D=4 case.

Define  $f(\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{e}, \vec{p}) = \int_{S^{D-2}} \sqrt{-\det g} \mathcal{L}.$

$F(\vec{u}, \vec{v}, \vec{q}, \vec{p}) \equiv 2\pi \times$  the Legendre transform of  $f$  with respect to  $\vec{e}$ .

1.  $\vec{u}, \vec{v}$  are obtained by extremizing  $F$ .
2.  $S_{BH} = F$  at this extremum.

Assumption: The part of the lagrangian which is relevant for the construction of the black hole solution depends only on the field strengths and not on the gauge fields.

i.e. Chern-Simons terms, if present, do not affect the black hole solution.





③

**Application to computation of the entropy of elementary string excitations:**

Consider heterotic string on  $\mathcal{M} \times S^1$

$\mathcal{M}$ : Some manifold of dimension  $\leq 5$ , such that the resulting theory has  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  supersymmetry.

Example of  $\mathcal{M}$ :  $T^n$ ,  $K3$ ,  $K3 \times S^1$ , SUSY preserving orbifolds of these, ...

Consider a fundamental heterotic string wound multiple ( $w$ ) times along  $S^1$ .

Excitations of the string: Left and right-moving oscillation modes circulating along the string.

24 independent left-moving modes and  $\overset{+8}{\underset{\wedge}{8}}$  independent right-moving modes.

③

**Application to computation of the entropy of elementary string excitations:**

Consider heterotic string on  $\mathcal{M} \times S^1$

$\mathcal{M}$ : Some manifold of dimension  $\leq 5$ , such that the resulting theory has  $\mathcal{N} \geq 2$  supersymmetry.

Example of  $\mathcal{M}$ :  $T^n$ ,  $K3$ ,  $K3 \times S^1$ , SUSY preserving orbifolds of these, ...

Consider a fundamental heterotic string wound multiple ( $w$ ) times along  $S^1$ .

Excitations of the string: Left and right-moving oscillation modes circulating along the string.

24 independent left-moving modes and  $\overset{+8}{\underset{\wedge}{8}}$  independent right-moving modes.





④

BPS states: involve excitation of left-moving modes only.

→ carry a net momentum along  $S^1$  which is quantized in units of  $1/R$ .

$R$ : radius of  $S^1$ .

Consider a BPS state that winds  $w$  times along  $S^1$  and carries total momentum  $n/R$  along  $S^1$ .

Number of such states: The number of ways we can distribute the total momentum  $n/R$  among individual modes.

Result for large  $n$  and  $w$ :

$$d(n, w) \sim \exp(4\pi\sqrt{nw})$$

→ we associate a statistical entropy to this system:

$$S_{\text{stat}} = \ln d(n, w) \simeq 4\pi\sqrt{nw}$$





⑤

For large  $n$  and  $w$  this state has large mass.

→ might be described as a black hole.

(a solution to the equations of motion derived from the effective action of string theory)

→ we can associate a Bekenstein-Hawking entropy  $S_{BH}$  to this black hole.

Question: Is

$$S_{BH} = S_{stat}?$$

If true, this would provide a microscopic explanation of the black hole entropy.

Unfortunately  $S_{BH}$  vanishes in the supergravity approximation to the effective action.

HORIZON IS SINGULAR.



⑤

For large  $n$  and  $w$  this state has large mass.

→ might be described as a black hole.

(a solution to the equations of motion derived from the effective action of string theory)

→ we can associate a Bekenstein-Hawking entropy  $S_{BH}$  to this black hole.

Question: Is

$$S_{BH} = S_{stat}?$$

If true, this would provide a microscopic explanation of the black hole entropy.

Unfortunately  $S_{BH}$  vanishes in the supergravity approximation to the effective action.

HORIZON IS SINGULAR.





⑤

For large  $n$  and  $w$  this state has large mass.

→ might be described as a black hole.

(a solution to the equations of motion derived from the effective action of string theory)

→ we can associate a Bekenstein-Hawking entropy  $S_{BH}$  to this black hole.

Question: Is

$$S_{BH} = S_{stat}?$$

If true, this would provide a microscopic explanation of the black hole entropy.

Unfortunately  $S_{BH}$  vanishes in the supergravity approximation to the effective action.

HORIZON IS SINGULAR.





⑥

This seems to be bad news!

However a look at the solution shows that

1. String coupling is small at the horizon.
2. Higher derivative correction terms are large at the horizon.

→ can ignore string loop corrections but cannot ignore the tree level higher derivative corrections to the effective action.

Goal:

1. Construct the effective field theory describing heterotic string on  $\mathcal{M} \times S^1$ , keeping tree level higher derivative terms.
2. Calculate the black hole entropy in this theory using the techniques described earlier.





⑦

Convention:

$$\hbar = 1, \quad c = 1, \quad \alpha' = 16$$

$x^9$ : the coordinate along  $S^1$

$x^9$  is periodic with period  $2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'} = 8\pi$ .

Define  $D$ -dimensional fields in terms of the ten dimensional fields  $G_{MN}^{(10)}$ ,  $B_{MN}^{(10)}$  and  $\Phi^{(10)}$ :

$$\Phi = \Phi^{(10)} - \frac{1}{4} \ln(G_{99}^{(10)}),$$

$$S = e^{-2\Phi}, \quad T = \sqrt{G_{99}^{(10)}},$$

$$G_{\mu\nu} = G_{\mu\nu}^{(10)} - (G_{99}^{(10)})^{-1} G_{9\mu}^{(10)} G_{9\nu}^{(10)},$$

$$A_\mu^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} (G_{99}^{(10)})^{-1} G_{9\mu}^{(10)},$$

$$A_\mu^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} B_{9\mu}^{(10)},$$

$G_{\mu\nu}$ : string metric





⑧

$$\begin{aligned}
 S = & \frac{1}{32\pi} \int d^D x \sqrt{-\det G} S [R_G + S^{-2} G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu S \partial_\nu S \\
 & - T^{-2} G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu T \partial_\nu T - T^2 G^{\mu\nu} G^{\mu'\nu'} F_{\mu\mu'}^{(1)} F_{\nu\nu'}^{(1)} \\
 & - T^{-2} G^{\mu\nu} G^{\mu'\nu'} F_{\mu\mu'}^{(2)} F_{\nu\nu'}^{(2)}] \\
 & + \text{higher derivative terms}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider a black hole with charge quantum numbers  $(n, w)$ .

$A_\mu^{(1)}$  couples to  $\underline{n}$

$A_\mu^{(2)}$  couples to  $\underline{w}$ .

Precise normalization:

$$q_1 = \frac{1}{2} n, \quad q_2 = \frac{1}{2} w.$$



$$T = \sqrt{G_{\omega}^{(10)}}$$

$$A_{\mu}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} B_{\omega\mu}^{(10)}$$

$$A_{\mu}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( G_{\omega}^{(10)} \right)^{-1} G_{\omega\mu}^{(10)}$$

$$q_1 = \frac{1}{2} \hbar, \quad q_2 = \frac{1}{2} \omega$$



$$T = \sqrt{G_{\omega}^{(10)}}$$

$$A_{\mu}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2} B_{\omega\mu}^{(10)}$$

$$A_{\mu}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left( G_{\omega}^{(10)} \right)^{-1} G_{\omega\mu}^{(10)}$$

$$\varrho_1 = \frac{1}{2} \hbar, \quad \varrho_2 = \frac{1}{2} \omega$$



$$ds^2 = U_1 \left( -r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} \right) + U_2 d\Omega_{D-2}^2$$

$$S = u_s, \quad T = u_T, \quad F_{rt}^{(A)} = e_r$$

$$y_1 = U_1, \quad y_2 = U_2, \quad y_3 = e_r u_T, \quad y_4 = e_r u_T^{-1}$$

$$f(u_s, u_T, U_1, U_2, e_r, e_r) = u_s g(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$$



⑧

$$\begin{aligned}
 S = & \frac{1}{32\pi} \int d^D x \sqrt{-\det G} S [R_G + S^{-2} G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu S \partial_\nu S \\
 & - T^{-2} G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu T \partial_\nu T - T^2 G^{\mu\nu} G^{\mu'\nu'} F_{\mu\mu'}^{(1)} F_{\nu\nu'}^{(1)} \\
 & - T^{-2} G^{\mu\nu} G^{\mu'\nu'} F_{\mu\mu'}^{(2)} F_{\nu\nu'}^{(2)}] \\
 & + \text{higher derivative terms}
 \end{aligned}$$

Consider a black hole with charge quantum numbers  $(n, w)$ .

$\underline{A_\mu^{(1)}}$  couples to  $\underline{n}$

$\underline{A_\mu^{(2)}}$  couples to  $\underline{w}$ .

Precise normalization:

$$q_1 = \frac{1}{2} n, \quad q_2 = \frac{1}{2} w.$$





9

Look for a near horizon solution of the form:

$$ds^2 = v_1 \left( -r^2 dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} \right) + v_2 d\Omega_{D-2}^2,$$

$$S = u_S, \quad T = u_T$$

$$F_{rt}^{(i)} = e_i$$

Note: The solution we are looking for does not carry any magnetic charge.

Define:

$$f(u_S, u_T, v_1, v_2, e_1, e_2) = \int d^{D-2} \Omega \sqrt{-\det G} \mathcal{L}.$$

If we use string metric then  $\mathcal{L}$  has an overall factor of  $S$  and hence  $f$  has the form:

$$f = u_S h(u_T, v_1, v_2, e_1, e_2)$$

for some function  $h$ .





(10)

Also  $\mathcal{L}$  is invariant under:

$$T \rightarrow e^\beta T, \quad A_\mu^{(1)} \rightarrow e^{-\beta} A_\mu^{(1)}, \quad A_\mu^{(2)} \rightarrow e^\beta A_\mu^{(2)}.$$

(A consequence of  $x^9 \rightarrow e^{-\beta} x^9$  transformation:

$$\begin{aligned} G_{99}^{(10)} &\rightarrow e^{2\beta} G_{99}^{(10)}, & G_{9\mu}^{(10)} &\rightarrow e^\beta G_{9\mu}^{(10)}, \\ B_{9\mu}^{(10)} &\rightarrow e^\beta B_{9\mu}^{(10)}. \end{aligned}$$

→

$$\begin{aligned} &f(u_S, e^\beta u_T, v_1, v_2, e^{-\beta} e_1, e^\beta e_2) \\ &= f(u_S, u_T, v_1, v_2, e_1, e_2) \end{aligned}$$

Combined effect:

$$f(u_S, u_T, v_1, v_2, e_1, e_2) = u_S g(v_1, v_2, u_T e_1, u_T^{-1} e_2)$$

for some function  $g$ .





(11)

$$f(u_S, u_T, v_1, v_2, e_1, e_2) = u_S g(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4)$$

$$y_1 \equiv v_1, \quad y_2 = v_2, \quad y_3 = e_1 u_T, \quad y_4 = e_2 u_T^{-1}$$

Equations for  $u_S, u_T, v_1, v_2, e_1, e_2$ :

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_S} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad g(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial u_T} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad y_3 \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_3} = y_4 \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_4}$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial v_1} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_1} = 0$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial v_2} = 0 \quad \rightarrow \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_2} = 0$$

$$q_1 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_1} = u_S u_T \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_3}$$

$$q_2 = \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_2} = u_S u_T^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_4}$$





(12)

$$g(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = 0, \quad y_3 \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_3} = y_4 \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_4},$$

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_1} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_2} = 0$$

Solving these equations we get universal ( $q_i$  independent) values of  $y_1$ ,  $y_2$ ,  $y_3$ ,  $y_4$ .

The equations

$$q_1 = u_S u_T \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_3}, \quad q_2 = u_S u_T^{-1} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_4}$$

now give:

$$u_S = \sqrt{q_1 q_2} / \sqrt{\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_3} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_4}}$$

$$u_T = \sqrt{(q_1/q_2) \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_4} / \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_3}} = \sqrt{(q_1/q_2) (y_3/y_4)}$$

(13)

$y_3 = e_1 u_T,$	$y_4 = e_2 u_T^{-1}$
$g(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = 0$	
$u_S = \sqrt{q_1 q_2} / \sqrt{\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_3} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_4}}$	
$u_T = \sqrt{(q_1/q_2) (y_3/y_4)}$	

The entropy is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{BH} &= 2\pi(e_1 q_1 + e_2 q_2 - u_S g) \\
 &= 2\pi(y_3 u_T^{-1} q_1 + y_4 u_T q_2) \\
 &= 4\pi\sqrt{q_1 q_2} \sqrt{y_3 y_4} = 2\pi\sqrt{nw} \sqrt{y_3 y_4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y_i$  are universal,

$$S_{BH} = 4\pi K \sqrt{nw}$$

$K$ : a universal number

Also note: Since  $u_S \propto \sqrt{nw}$  is large for large  $n, w$ , string coupling at the horizon is small.



(13)

$y_3 = e_1 u_T,$	$y_4 = e_2 u_T^{-1}$
$g(y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4) = 0$	
$u_S = \sqrt{q_1 q_2} / \sqrt{\frac{\partial g}{\partial y_3} \frac{\partial g}{\partial y_4}}$	
$u_T = \sqrt{(q_1/q_2) (y_3/y_4)}$	

The entropy is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{BH} &= 2\pi(e_1 q_1 + e_2 q_2 - u_S g) \\
 &= 2\pi(y_3 u_T^{-1} q_1 + y_4 u_T q_2) \\
 &= 4\pi\sqrt{q_1 q_2} \sqrt{y_3 y_4} = 2\pi\sqrt{nw} \sqrt{y_3 y_4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y_i$  are universal,

$$S_{BH} = 4\pi K \sqrt{nw}$$

$K$ : a universal number

Also note: Since  $u_S \propto \sqrt{nw}$  is large for large  $n, w$ , string coupling at the horizon is small.

(14)

$$S_{BH} = 4\pi K \sqrt{nw}$$

$$S_{stat} = 4\pi \sqrt{nw}$$

$S_{BH}$  and  $S_{stat}$  have the same dependence on  $\underline{n}$  and  $\underline{w}$ .

A.S. ; PEET  
(1995)

Can we calculate  $K$ ?

For this we need to know  $\underline{\mathcal{L}}$  to all orders in  $\underline{\alpha'}$ .

For  $\underline{D = 4}$ ,  $K$  has been calculated by taking into account a special class of higher derivative terms which come from the supersymmetrization of the curvature squared terms.

Cardoso, de Wit, Mohaupt

Result:  $K = 1$

Dabholkar



(14)

$$S_{BH} = 4\pi K \sqrt{nw}$$

$$S_{stat} = 4\pi \sqrt{nw}$$

$S_{BH}$  and  $S_{stat}$  have the same dependence on  $n$  and  $w$ .

A.S. ; PEET  
(1995)

Can we calculate  $K$ ?

For this we need to know  $\mathcal{L}$  to all orders in  $\alpha'$ .

For  $D = 4$ ,  $K$  has been calculated by taking into account a special class of higher derivative terms which come from the supersymmetrization of the curvature squared terms.

Cardoso, de Wit, Mohaupt

Result:  $K = 1$

Dabholkar





We shall leave this calculation as an exercise:

1. Calculate the function  $f$  after taking into account the correction terms arising out of supersymmetrization of curvature squared terms.

These correction terms can be found in hep-th/0007195

The translation from the variables used in hep-th/0007195 to the variables used here can be found in hep-th/0411255.

2. Using  $f$ , calculate the entropy function  $F$ , extremize it, and evaluate its value at the extremum.

Show that the result is  $4\pi\sqrt{nw}$ .

(15)

We shall leave this calculation as an exercise:

1. Calculate the function  $f$  after taking into account the correction terms arising out of supersymmetrization of curvature squared terms.

These correction terms can be found in hep-th/0007195

The translation from the variables used in hep-th/0007195 to the variables used here can be found in hep-th/0411255.

2. Using  $f$ , calculate the entropy function  $F$ , extremize it, and evaluate its value at the extremum.

Show that the result is  $4\pi\sqrt{nw}$ .



(15)

We shall leave this calculation as an exercise:

1. Calculate the function  $f$  after taking into account the correction terms arising out of supersymmetrization of curvature squared terms.

These correction terms can be found in hep-th/0007195

The translation from the variables used in hep-th/0007195 to the variables used here can be found in hep-th/0411255.

2. Using  $f$ , calculate the entropy function  $F$ , extremize it, and evaluate its value at the extremum.

Show that the result is  $4\pi\sqrt{nw}$ .





We shall illustrate the method by considering a different truncation of higher derivative corrections for general  $D$ .

Begin with the supergravity approximation to the effective action.

$$S = \frac{1}{32\pi} \int d^D x \sqrt{-\det G} S [R_G + S^{-2} G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu S \partial_\nu S - T^{-2} G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu T \partial_\nu T - T^2 G^{\mu\nu} G^{\mu'\nu'} F_{\mu\mu'}^{(1)} F_{\nu\nu'}^{(1)} - T^{-2} G^{\mu\nu} G^{\mu'\nu'} F_{\mu\mu'}^{(2)} F_{\nu\nu'}^{(2)}],$$

This gives

$$f(v_1, v_2, u_S, u_T, e_1, e_2) = \frac{\Omega_{D-2}}{32\pi} v_1 v_2^{(D-2)/2} u_S \left[ -\frac{2}{v_1} + \frac{(D-2)(D-3)}{v_2} + \frac{2u_T^2 e_1^2}{v_1^2} + \frac{2e_2^2}{u_T^2 v_1^2} \right]$$

$\Omega_{D-2}$ : volume of unit  $(D-2)$ -sphere.

$\partial f / \partial u_S = 0$  and  $\partial f / \partial v_2 = 0$  has no solution.

We shall illustrate the method by considering a different truncation of higher derivative corrections for general  $D$ .

Begin with the supergravity approximation to the effective action.

$$S = \frac{1}{32\pi} \int d^D x \sqrt{-\det G} S [R_G + S^{-2} G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu S \partial_\nu S - T^{-2} G^{\mu\nu} \partial_\mu T \partial_\nu T - T^2 G^{\mu\nu} G^{\mu'\nu'} F_{\mu\mu'}^{(1)} F_{\nu\nu'}^{(1)} - T^{-2} G^{\mu\nu} G^{\mu'\nu'} F_{\mu\mu'}^{(2)} F_{\nu\nu'}^{(2)}],$$

This gives

$$f(v_1, v_2, u_S, u_T, e_1, e_2) = \frac{\Omega_{D-2}}{32\pi} v_1 v_2^{(D-2)/2} u_S \left[ -\frac{2}{v_1} + \frac{(D-2)(D-3)}{v_2} + \frac{2u_T^2 e_1^2}{v_1^2} + \frac{2e_2^2}{u_T^2 v_1^2} \right]$$

$\Omega_{D-2}$ : volume of unit  $(D-2)$ -sphere.

$\partial f / \partial u_S = 0$  and  $\partial f / \partial v_2 = 0$  has no solution.





(17)

Add to  $\mathcal{L}$  the Gauss-Bonnet combination:

$$\Delta\mathcal{L} = C \frac{S}{16\pi} \left\{ R_{G\mu\nu\rho\sigma} R_G^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} - 4R_{G\mu\nu} R_G^{\mu\nu} + R_G^2 \right\}$$

For heterotic string theory  $C = 1$ .

→ additional contribution to  $f$ :

$$\Delta f = \frac{\Omega_{D-2}}{32\pi} v_1 v_2^{(D-2)/2} u_S \left[ \frac{2C}{v_2^2} (D-2)(D-3)(D-4)(D-5) - \frac{8C}{v_1 v_2} (D-2)(D-3) \right]$$





Now solve

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial v_1} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial v_2} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_S} = \frac{\partial f}{\partial u_T} = 0,$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial e_1} = q_1 = \frac{n}{2}, \quad \frac{\partial f}{\partial e_2} = q_2 = \frac{w}{2}.$$

A solution exists.

$$v_2 = 4C[(D-2)(D-3) - (D-4)(D-5)],$$

$$v_1 = \frac{2v_2}{(D-2)(D-3)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_S &\equiv \frac{\Omega_{D-2}}{32\pi} v_1 v_2^{(D-2)/2} u_S \\ &= \left[ \frac{16}{v_1^3 v_2} (v_2 + 4C(D-2)(D-3)) \right]^{-1/2} \sqrt{nw}, \end{aligned}$$

$$u_T = \sqrt{\frac{n}{w}},$$

$$e_1 = \frac{v_1^2}{8\tilde{u}_S} \sqrt{\frac{w}{n}}, \quad e_2 = \frac{v_1^2}{8\tilde{u}_S} \sqrt{\frac{n}{w}}.$$





(19)

$$\begin{aligned} S_{BH} &= 2\pi(q_1 e_1 + q_2 e_2 - f) \\ &= 4\pi \sqrt{nw} \sqrt{1 - \frac{(D-4)(D-5)}{2(D-2)(D-3)}} \end{aligned}$$

- has the correct dependence on  $n$  and  $w$ , but
- does not give the correct answer.

This is not surprising since we have included only part of the  $\alpha'$  corrections.

However this analysis demonstrates that higher derivative corrections can make the entropy of these black holes finite even though it vanishes in the supergravity approximation.



(19)

$$\begin{aligned} S_{BH} &= 2\pi(q_1 e_1 + q_2 e_2 - f) \\ &= 4\pi\sqrt{nw} \sqrt{1 - \frac{(D-4)(D-5)}{2(D-2)(D-3)}} \end{aligned}$$

- has the correct dependence on  $n$  and  $w$ , but
- does not give the correct answer.

This is not surprising since we have included only part of the  $\alpha'$  corrections.

However this analysis demonstrates that higher derivative corrections can make the entropy of these black holes finite even though it vanishes in the supergravity approximation.





(20)

$$\begin{aligned} S_{BH} &= 2\pi(q_1 e_1 + q_2 e_2) - \xi \\ &= 4\pi\sqrt{nw} \sqrt{1 - \frac{(D-4)(D-5)}{2(D-2)(D-3)}} \end{aligned}$$

Note: For  $D = 4$  (and  $D = 5$ ) we get the correct answer.

Is this an accident?

The logo features the letters 'PI' in a large, white, serif font. The 'P' and 'I' are stylized, with the 'P' having a triangular pediment-like shape above its top bar. The background of the logo is a dark, textured image of a classical building facade with columns and a pediment.

# PI

PERIMETER  
INSTITUTE  
FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS



A large, stylized logo consisting of the letters 'P' and 'I' in a serif font. The 'P' and 'I' are white with a dark outline, set against a dark, textured background. The 'P' is on the left and the 'I' is on the right, with a small triangular shape above the 'I'.

PERIMETER  
INSTITUTE



The logo features a large, stylized 'PI' in a light blue-grey color. The 'P' and 'I' are connected, with the 'I' having a small triangular roof-like shape on top. The background is a dark, textured blue with a subtle pattern of small, light-colored dots, resembling a starry night sky or a microscopic view of a material.

PI

PERIMETER  
INSTITUTE  
FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS





PI

PERIMETER  
INSTITUTE  
FOR THEORETICAL PHYSICS