

Title: Status of the Master Constraint Programme

Date: Oct 30, 2004 02:35 PM

URL: <http://pirsa.org/04100037>

Abstract:

Status of the Master Constraint Programme

B. Ditttrich, AEI & PI
QG in the Americas

B.D. and T. Thiemann:

"Testing the MCP for LQG"
I - V

to appear

T. Thiemann, gr-qc/030580

Outline

- Introduction & Motivation
- Master Constraint Programme
- Uniqueness
- Observables
- Examples
- Outlook and open issues

Introduction & Motivation

Canonical Quantization of Constrained Systems:

- Find phys. Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$, i.e. a space of states $|\psi\rangle$ s.t.
 - $\hat{C}_e |\psi\rangle = 0$
 - inner product on $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$

(usually) given

- kinematical Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_{kin}
- \hat{C}_e 's on \mathcal{H}_{kin}

Problems:

- $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}} \neq \mathcal{H}_{\text{kin}}$ in general
- non-commuting constraints (spectral analysis not possible)

GR:

- cannot define $\hat{C}(N)$ directly on $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diff}}$, but concrete implementation uses $\mathcal{H}_{\text{diff}}$
- makes semi-classical analysis

The Master Constraint

Simplify constraint algebra!

finite dim. system

$$M = \sum_{i,j} C_i K_{ij} C_j$$

strictly pos. matrix

constraints

field theory

$$M = \int d^3x C(x) (K \cdot C)(x)$$

∞ many constraints

strictly pos. op

gravity

$$M = \int d^3x \frac{C(x) C(x)}{\sqrt{\det g}}$$

3-diffeo-invariant

$$M = 0 \iff \begin{array}{ll} C_i = 0 & \forall i \\ C(x) = 0 & \forall x \end{array}$$

We are left with ONE constraint!

Recipe for $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$

- Find cyclic ON system $\{\Omega_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{kin}} = \bigoplus_j \overline{\text{span} \{ \hat{M}^k \Omega_j \mid k \in \mathbb{N} \}}$$

- calculate spectral measures

$$\mu_j(\lambda) = \langle \Omega_j, \Theta(\lambda - \hat{M}) \Omega_j \rangle$$

- calculate spectral measure $\mu(\lambda)$

$$\mu(\lambda) = \sum_j \alpha_j \mu_j(\lambda) \quad \sum_j \alpha_j = 1$$

- find Radon-Nikodym derivatives

$$S_j(\lambda) = \frac{d\mu_j(\lambda)}{d\mu(\lambda)}$$

$\mathcal{H}_{\text{kin}}^\oplus(\lambda)$ has ON basis $\{e_j(\lambda) \mid S_j(\lambda) > 0\}$

\Rightarrow determines $\dim(\mathcal{H}_{\text{kin}}^\oplus(\lambda)) = \text{multiplicity of } \lambda$

- result does not depend on choice of $\{\Omega_j\}$ (in the following sense)

Uniqueness

$$\mathcal{K}_{\text{kin}} \cong \int^{\oplus} \mathcal{K}_{\text{kin}}^{\oplus}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)$$

-measure theoretic formula

→ (given \hat{M}) uniqueness (of $\dim \mathcal{K}_{\text{kin}}^{\oplus}(\lambda)$)
 μ -a.e.

• for mixed spectrum $\begin{array}{ccc} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & \lambda_3 \\ \bullet & \bullet & \bullet \end{array}$ pp-spec
————— ac-spec
points λ_i have finite measure

⇒ contributions to $\mathcal{K}_{\text{kin}}^{\oplus}(\lambda_i)$ from ac-spec
are suppressed

-decompose $\mathcal{K}_{\text{kin}} = \mathcal{K}_{\text{pp}} \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\text{ac}} \oplus \mathcal{K}_{\text{sing}}$

• dependence of $\mathcal{K}_{\text{phys}}$ on \hat{M} (that is K)?

Example: Abelian Constraints

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{kin}} = \mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d^2x), \quad \hat{C}_1 = \hat{p}_1, \quad \hat{C}_2 = \hat{p}_2$$

$$\hat{M} = \hat{p}_1^2 + \hat{p}_2^2 = -\hbar^2 \Delta \quad \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{sol.:} \\ \text{harmonic} \\ \text{functions} \end{array}$$

'eigenfunctions' $|k_1, k_2\rangle = \exp(i\vec{k} \cdot \vec{x})$

-change to $\mathcal{L}^2(\mathbb{R}^2, d^2p)$

$$\Omega_j = N_j p^{|j|} \exp(ij\varphi) \exp(-\frac{p^2}{2}), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}$$

spherical coord. in \mathbb{R}^2

$$S_j(\lambda) = \frac{\lambda^{|j|} / |j|!}{2\exp(\frac{\lambda}{2}) - 1} \xrightarrow{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \begin{cases} 0 & |j| \geq 1 \\ 1 & j = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{\underline{\mathcal{H}_{\text{kin}}^\oplus(0) = \mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}} \simeq \mathbb{C}}}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{kin}}^\oplus(\lambda > 0) \simeq \mathcal{L}^2(S^1, dp)$$

Observables

classical: How to obtain them?

- framework available (BD, to appear)
- gives in general weak Dirac obs.

quantum: for 'strong' Dirac observables,
i.e. $[O, M] = 0$

$$(A|\psi\rangle)_{\chi_{KM}} \approx \sum_j \int a_j(\lambda) (A(\lambda)|e_j(\lambda)\rangle)_{\chi(\lambda)} d\mu(\lambda)$$

can be calculated explicitly

- representation for 'weak' Dirac observables?

Examples

- finite dim. examples
- Maxwell theory, Linearized gravity:
squaring of constraints \rightarrow worsened
uv-behaviour \rightarrow choose K such
that \hat{M} is densely defined
 K provides regularization of \hat{M}
- Gauss constraints in Einstein-YM
$$M = \int d^3x \frac{G_j G^j}{\sqrt{\det(q)}}$$
 background independent theories regulate themselves
result: gauge inv. states and metric-degenerate states

Conclusions & Outlook

- method is widely applicable, in particular to open algebras
- provides construction of physical inner product and representation of 'strong observables'
- representation of 'weak' observables?
- dependence on \hat{M} ?

LQG:

- \hat{M} can be defined on $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Diff}}$ (T. Thiemann, to appear)
- need separable Hilbert spaces
- semiclassical limit (is easier now)
 - 3-diff.-invariant semiclassical states
- MCP gives construction principle for $\mathcal{H}_{\text{phys}}$