Title: Semi-Discrete Solution to the Dynamics of Loop Quantum Gravity

Date: Oct 30, 2004 09:00 AM

URL: http://pirsa.org/04100030

Abstract:

Pirsa: 04100030





Consistent discretization as a dynamics for loop quantum geometry

Jorge Pullin
Horace Hearne Laboratory
for Theoretical Physics
Louisiana State University

With Rodolfo Gambini



Pirsa: 04100030 Page 3/49

The key idea is to approximate general relativity by a discrete theory very much like people who quantize in the lattice do. However, the discrete theory is such that the constraints are solved determining the Lagrange multipliers (lapse and shift).

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 4/49

The key idea is to approximate general relativity by a discrete theory very much like people who quantize in the lattice do. However, the discrete theory is such that the constraints are solved determining the Lagrange multipliers (lapse and shift).

Therefore one is approximating general relativity by a theory that is constraint free and therefore free from the hard conceptual issues of canonical quantum gravity.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 5/49

The key idea is to approximate general relativity by a discrete theory very much like people who quantize in the lattice do. However, the discrete theory is such that the constraints are solved determining the Lagrange multipliers (lapse and shift).

Therefore one is approximating general relativity by a theory that is constraint free and therefore free from the hard conceptual issues of canonical quantum gravity.

We have shown explicitly that this approximation scheme can describe homogeneous cosmologies well and numerically that it can describe Gowdy cosmologies well.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 6/49

The key idea is to approximate general relativity by a discrete theory very much like people who quantize in the lattice do. However, the discrete theory is such that the constraints are solved determining the Lagrange multipliers (lapse and shift).

Therefore one is approximating general relativity by a theory that is constraint free and therefore free from the hard conceptual issues of canonical quantum gravity.

We have shown explicitly that this approximation scheme can describe homogeneous cosmologies well and numerically that it can describe Gowdy cosmologies well.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 7/49

The key idea is to approximate general relativity by a discrete theory very much like people who quantize in the lattice do. However, the discrete theory is such that the constraints are solved determining the Lagrange multipliers (lapse and shift).

Therefore one is approximating general relativity by a theory that is constraint free and therefore free from the hard conceptual issues of canonical quantum gravity.

We have shown explicitly that this approximation scheme can describe homogeneous cosmologies well and numerically that it can describe Gowdy cosmologies well.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 8/49

The key idea is to approximate general relativity by a discrete theory very much like people who quantize in the lattice do. However, the discrete theory is such that the constraints are solved determining the Lagrange multipliers (lapse and shift).

Therefore one is approximating general relativity by a theory that is constraint free and therefore free from the hard conceptual issues of canonical quantum gravity.

We have shown explicitly that this approximation scheme can describe homogeneous cosmologies well and numerically that it can describe Gowdy cosmologies well.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 9/49

The key idea is to approximate general relativity by a discrete theory very much like people who quantize in the lattice do. However, the discrete theory is such that the constraints are solved determining the Lagrange multipliers (lapse and shift).

Therefore one is approximating general relativity by a theory that is constraint free and therefore free from the hard conceptual issues of canonical quantum gravity.

We have shown explicitly that this approximation scheme can describe homogeneous cosmologies well and numerically that it can describe Gowdy cosmologies well.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 10/49

The key idea is to approximate general relativity by a discrete theory very much like people who quantize in the lattice do. However, the discrete theory is such that the constraints are solved determining the Lagrange multipliers (lapse and shift).

Therefore one is approximating general relativity by a theory that is constraint free and therefore free from the hard conceptual issues of canonical quantum gravity.

We have shown explicitly that this approximation scheme can describe homogeneous cosmologies well and numerically that it can describe Gowdy cosmologies well.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 11/49

The key idea is to approximate general relativity by a discrete theory very much like people who quantize in the lattice do. However, the discrete theory is such that the constraints are solved determining the Lagrange multipliers (lapse and shift).

Therefore one is approximating general relativity by a theory that is constraint free and therefore free from the hard conceptual issues of canonical quantum gravity.

We have shown explicitly that this approximation scheme can describe homogeneous cosmologies well and numerically that it can describe Gowdy cosmologies well.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 12/49

The key idea is to approximate general relativity by a discrete theory very much like people who quantize in the lattice do. However, the discrete theory is such that the constraints are solved determining the Lagrange multipliers (lapse and shift).

Therefore one is approximating general relativity by a theory that is constraint free and therefore free from the hard conceptual issues of canonical quantum gravity.

We have shown explicitly that this approximation scheme can describe homogeneous cosmologies well and numerically that it can describe Gowdy cosmologies well.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 13/49

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 14/49

Singularities are eliminated in cosmology and a mechanism for implementing Smolin's "life of the cosmos" arises.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 15/49

Singularities are eliminated in cosmology and a mechanism for implementing Smolin's "life of the cosmos" arises.

One can solve the problem of time by implementing a relational time. This leads to a fundamental mechanism for loss of unitarity due to quantum gravity that preserves energy.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 16/49

Singularities are eliminated in cosmology and a mechanism for implementing Smolin's "life of the cosmos" arises.

One can solve the problem of time by implementing a relational time. This leads to a fundamental mechanism for loss of unitarity due to quantum gravity that preserves energy.

The mechanism can eliminate the black hole information paradox

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 17/49

An aspect of the program that has diminished interest in it, is that since one introduces a space-time lattice, the usual kinematics of loop quantum gravity is lost. Or at least, is present only in a modified form suitable to lattice contexts.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 18/49

An aspect of the program that has diminished interest in it, is that since one introduces a space-time lattice, the usual kinematics of loop quantum gravity is lost. Or at least, is present only in a modified form suitable to lattice contexts.

"While being a fascinating possibility, such a procedure would be a rather drastic step in the sense that it would render most results of LQG obtained so far obsolete" T. Thiemann "The Phoenix Project" gr-qc/0305080

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 19/49

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 20/49

 Discretize time and keep space continuous in the classical action.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 21/49

- Discretize time and keep space continuous in the classical action.
- Apply the consistent discretization scheme.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 22/49

- Discretize time and keep space continuous in the classical action.
- Apply the consistent discretization scheme.
- One gets a theory that is constraint-free.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 23/49

- Discretize time and keep space continuous in the classical action.
- Apply the consistent discretization scheme.
- One gets a theory that is constraint-free.
- Within this theory one can see that a quantity akin to the continuum diffeomorphism constraint is conserved.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 24/49

- Discretize time and keep space continuous in the classical action.
- Apply the consistent discretization scheme.
- One gets a theory that is constraint-free.
- Within this theory one can see that a quantity akin to the continuum diffeomorphism constraint is conserved.
- Therefore it can be consistently imposed as a constraint.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 25/49

- Discretize time and keep space continuous in the classical action.
- Apply the consistent discretization scheme.
- One gets a theory that is constraint-free.
- Within this theory one can see that a quantity akin to the continuum diffeomorphism constraint is conserved.
- Therefore it can be consistently imposed as a constraint.
- The resulting theory, with this extra constraint, has the exact same kinematics as LQG but an explicit dynamics (no Hamiltonian constraint).

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 26/49

$$S = \int dt d^3x \left(\tilde{P}_i^a F_{0a}^i - N^a C_a - NC \right)$$

$$S = \int dt d^3x \left(\tilde{P}_i^a F_{0a}^i - N^a C_a - NC \right) \qquad C^a = \tilde{P}_i^a F_{ab}^i$$

$$C = \frac{\tilde{P}_i^a \tilde{P}_j^b}{\sqrt{\det q}} \left(\epsilon^{ijk} F_{ab}^i - (1 + \beta^2) K_{[a}^i K_{b]}^j \right)$$

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 27/49

$$S = \int dt d^3x \left(\tilde{P}_i^a F_{0a}^i - N^a C_a - NC \right) \qquad C = \frac{\tilde{P}_i^a \tilde{P}_{ab}^i}{\sqrt{\det q}} \left(\epsilon^{ijk} F_{ab}^i - (1 + \beta^2) K_{[a}^i K_{b]}^j \right)$$

Discretize time but keep space continuous:

$$S = \int dt d^3x \left[\text{Tr} \left(\tilde{P}^a \left(A_a(x) - V(x) A_{n+1,a}(x) V^{-1}(x) + \partial_a(V(x)) V^{-1}(x) \right) \right) - N^a C_a - NC + \mu \sqrt{\det q} \text{Tr} \left(V(x) V^{\dagger}(x) - 1 \right) \right]$$

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 28/49

$$S = \int dt d^3x \left(\tilde{P}_i^a F_{0a}^i - N^a C_a - NC \right) \qquad C^a = \tilde{P}_i^a F_{ab}^i$$

$$C = \frac{\tilde{P}_i^a \tilde{P}_b^b}{\sqrt{\det q}} \left(\epsilon^{ijk} F_{ab}^i - (1 + \beta^2) K_{[a}^i K_{b]}^j \right)$$

Discretize time but keep space continuous:

$$S = \int dt d^3x \left[\text{Tr} \left(\tilde{P}^a \left(A_a(x) - V(x) A_{n+1,a}(x) V^{-1}(x) + \partial_a(V(x)) V^{-1}(x) \right) \right) \right] h$$

$$-N^a C_a - NC + \mu \sqrt{\det q} \text{Tr} \left(V(x) V^{\dagger}(x) - 1 \right) \right]$$

Where V is a "vertical" parallel transport (in the time direction). To get this expression one first discretizes in time and space, replaces the F_{ab} with a holonomy and then takes the limit in which the spatial links go to zero. The last term just enforces that the V's be in SU(2).

SU(2) gauge invariance is exactly preserved.

Pirsa: 04100030

If now one performs an infinitesimal spatial transformation x'a=xa+va one immediately sees the action is invariant. The associated conserved quantity through Noether's theorem is,

$$C_a = \tilde{E}_i^b F_{ab}^i$$

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 30/49

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 31/49

$$S = \int dt d^3x \left(\tilde{P}_i^a F_{0a}^i - N^a C_a - NC \right) \qquad C^a = \tilde{P}_i^a F_{ab}^i$$

$$C = \frac{\tilde{P}_i^a \tilde{P}_j^b}{\sqrt{\det q}} \left(\epsilon^{ijk} F_{ab}^i - (1 + \beta^2) K_{[a}^i K_{b]}^j \right)$$

Discretize time but keep space continuous:

$$S = \int dt d^3x \left[\text{Tr} \left(\tilde{P}^a \left(A_a(x) - V(x) A_{n+1,a}(x) V^{-1}(x) + \partial_a(V(x)) V^{-1}(x) \right) \right) \right] h$$

$$-N^a C_a - NC + \mu \sqrt{\det q} \text{Tr} \left(V(x) V^{\dagger}(x) - 1 \right) \right]$$

Where V is a "vertical" parallel transport (in the time direction). To get this expression one first discretizes in time and space, replaces the F_{ab} with a holonomy and then takes the limit in which the spatial links go to zero. The last term just enforces that the V's be in SU(2).

SU(2) gauge invariance is exactly preserved.

Pirsa: 04100030

If now one performs an infinitesimal spatial transformation x'a=xa+va one immediately sees the action is invariant. The associated conserved quantity through Noether's theorem is,

$$C_a = \tilde{E}_i^b F_{ab}^i$$

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 33/49

$$S = \int dt d^3x \left(\tilde{P}_i^a F_{0a}^i - N^a C_a - NC \right) \qquad C^a = \tilde{P}_i^a F_{ab}^i$$

$$C = \frac{\tilde{P}_i^a \tilde{P}_j^b}{\sqrt{\det q}} \left(\epsilon^{ijk} F_{ab}^i - (1 + \beta^2) K_{[a}^i K_{b]}^j \right)$$

Discretize time but keep space continuous:

$$S = \int dt d^3x \left[\text{Tr} \left(\tilde{P}^a \left(A_a(x) - V(x) A_{n+1,a}(x) V^{-1}(x) + \partial_a(V(x)) V^{-1}(x) \right) \right) \right] h$$

$$-N^a C_a - NC + \mu \sqrt{\det q} \text{Tr} \left(V(x) V^{\dagger}(x) - 1 \right) \right]$$

Where V is a "vertical" parallel transport (in the time direction). To get this expression one first discretizes in time and space, replaces the F_{ab} with a holonomy and then takes the limit in which the spatial links go to zero. The last term just enforces that the V's be in SU(2).

SU(2) gauge invariance is exactly preserved.

Pirsa: 04100030

If now one performs an infinitesimal spatial transformation x'a=xa+va one immediately sees the action is invariant. The associated conserved quantity through Noether's theorem is,

$$C_a = \tilde{E}_i^b F_{ab}^i$$

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 35/49

If now one performs an infinitesimal spatial transformation x'a=xa+va one immediately sees the action is invariant. The associated conserved quantity through Noether's theorem is,

$$C_a = \tilde{E}_i^b F_{ab}^i$$

Where E is the momentum canonically conjugate to the connection,

$$\tilde{E}_{n+1}^a \equiv V^{-1}\tilde{P}^a V$$

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 36/49

If now one performs an infinitesimal spatial transformation x'a=xa+va one immediately sees the action is invariant. The associated conserved quantity through Noether's theorem is,

$$C_a = \tilde{E}_i^b F_{ab}^i$$

Where E is the momentum canonically conjugate to the connection,

$$\tilde{E}_{n+1}^a \equiv V^{-1}\tilde{P}^a V$$

So one can further restrict the dynamics of the theory in a consistent fashion imposing $C_a=0$. The kinematics of the theory is exactly the same as in ordinary LQG. The dynamics is different, it will be given by the usual consistent discretization treatment of the action of the previous slide.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 37/49

If now one performs an infinitesimal spatial transformation x'a=xa+va one immediately sees the action is invariant. The associated conserved quantity through Noether's theorem is,

$$C_a = \tilde{E}_i^b F_{ab}^i$$

Where E is the momentum canonically conjugate to the connection,

$$\tilde{E}_{n+1}^a \equiv V^{-1}\tilde{P}^a V$$

So one can further restrict the dynamics of the theory in a consistent fashion imposing $C_a=0$. The kinematics of the theory is exactly the same as in ordinary LQG. The dynamics is different, it will be given by the usual consistent discretization treatment of the action of the previous slide.

Quantization is straightforward! (no constraints) but computationally presemplex for the case of full GR. The quantum kinematics is the resultant of the case of full GR.

We start with the usual action,

$$S = \int dt d^3x \left(\tilde{P}_i^a F_{0a}^i - N^a C_a - NC \right) \qquad C^a = \tilde{P}_i^a F_{ab}^i$$

$$C = \frac{\tilde{P}_i^a \tilde{P}_j^b}{\sqrt{\det q}} \left(\epsilon^{ijk} F_{ab}^i - (1 + \beta^2) K_{[a}^i K_{b]}^j \right)$$

Discretize time but keep space continuous:

$$S = \int dt d^3x \left[\text{Tr} \left(\tilde{P}^a \left(A_a(x) - V(x) A_{n+1,a}(x) V^{-1}(x) + \partial_a(V(x)) V^{-1}(x) \right) \right) \right] h$$

$$-N^a C_a - NC + \mu \sqrt{\det q} \text{Tr} \left(V(x) V^{\dagger}(x) - 1 \right) \right]$$

Where V is a "vertical" parallel transport (in the time direction). To get this expression one first discretizes in time and space, replaces the F_{ab} with a holonomy and then takes the limit in which the spatial links go to zero. The last term just enforces that the V's be in SU(2).

SU(2) gauge invariance is exactly preserved.

Pirsa: 04100030

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 40/49

$$L(n, n + 1) = \text{Tr} \left\{ B_0(x) F_{12}(x) + B_1(x) \left(A_2(x) - V(x) A_{n+1,2}(x) V^{-1}(x) + \partial_2(V(x)) V^{-1}(x) \right) + B_2(x) \left(V(x) A_{n+1,1}(x) V^{-1}(x) + V(x) \partial_1 V^{-1}(x) - A_1(x) \right) + \mu \left(V(x) V^{\dagger}(x) - 1 \right) \right\}$$

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 41/49

$$L(n, n + 1) = \text{Tr} \left\{ B_0(x) F_{12}(x) + B_1(x) \left(A_2(x) - V(x) A_{n+1,2}(x) V^{-1}(x) + \partial_2(V(x)) V^{-1}(x) \right) + B_2(x) \left(V(x) A_{n+1,1}(x) V^{-1}(x) + V(x) \partial_1 V^{-1}(x) - A_1(x) \right) + \mu \left(V(x) V^{\dagger}(x) - 1 \right) \right\}$$

Canonical momentum to the connection:

$$E^{i}(x)_{n+1} = V^{-1}(x)B^{i}V(x), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 42/49

$$L(n, n + 1) = \text{Tr} \left\{ B_0(x) F_{12}(x) + B_1(x) \left(A_2(x) - V(x) A_{n+1,2}(x) V^{-1}(x) + \partial_2(V(x)) V^{-1}(x) \right) + B_2(x) \left(V(x) A_{n+1,1}(x) V^{-1}(x) + V(x) \partial_1 V^{-1}(x) - A_1(x) \right) + \mu \left(V(x) V^{\dagger}(x) - 1 \right) \right\}$$

Canonical momentum to the connection:

$$E^{i}(x)_{n+1} = V^{-1}(x)B^{i}V(x), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

Definition of the canonical momenta of the B's give evolution equations for the A's,

$$P^{B^{1}} = 0 = A_{2}(x) - VA_{n+1,2}(x)V^{-1}(x) + \partial_{2}(V(x))V(x)^{-1},$$

$$P^{B^{2}} = 0 = -A_{1}(x) + VA_{n+1,1}(x)V^{-1}(x) - \partial_{1}(V(x))V(x)^{-1}.$$

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 43/49

$$L(n, n + 1) = \text{Tr} \left\{ B_0(x) F_{12}(x) + B_1(x) \left(A_2(x) - V(x) A_{n+1,2}(x) V^{-1}(x) + \partial_2(V(x)) V^{-1}(x) \right) + B_2(x) \left(V(x) A_{n+1,1}(x) V^{-1}(x) + V(x) \partial_1 V^{-1}(x) - A_1(x) \right) + \mu \left(V(x) V^{\dagger}(x) - 1 \right) \right\}$$

Canonical momentum to the connection:

$$E^{i}(x)_{n+1} = V^{-1}(x)B^{i}V(x), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

Definition of the canonical momenta of the B's give evolution equations for the A's,

$$P^{B^{1}} = 0 = A_{2}(x) - VA_{n+1,2}(x)V^{-1}(x) + \partial_{2}(V(x))V(x)^{-1},$$

$$P^{B^{2}} = 0 = -A_{1}(x) + VA_{n+1,1}(x)V^{-1}(x) - \partial_{1}(V(x))V(x)^{-1}.$$

And the definition of P^{B0} yields $F_{12}=0$.

Pirsa: 04100030

$$L(n, n + 1) = \text{Tr} \left\{ B_0(x) F_{12}(x) + B_1(x) \left(A_2(x) - V(x) A_{n+1,2}(x) V^{-1}(x) + \partial_2(V(x)) V^{-1}(x) \right) + B_2(x) \left(V(x) A_{n+1,1}(x) V^{-1}(x) + V(x) \partial_1 V^{-1}(x) - A_1(x) \right) + \mu \left(V(x) V^{\dagger}(x) - 1 \right) \right\}$$

Canonical momentum to the connection:

$$E^{i}(x)_{n+1} = V^{-1}(x)B^{i}V(x), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

Definition of the canonical momenta of the B's give evolution equations for the A's,

$$P^{B^{1}} = 0 = A_{2}(x) - VA_{n+1,2}(x)V^{-1}(x) + \partial_{2}(V(x))V(x)^{-1},$$

$$P^{B^{2}} = 0 = -A_{1}(x) + VA_{n+1,1}(x)V^{-1}(x) - \partial_{1}(V(x))V(x)^{-1}.$$

And the definition of P^{B0} yields $F_{12}=0$.

The momentum of V also vanishes and yields Gauss' law.

Pirsa: 04100030

Quantization is achieved by implementing the evolution equations as a unitary transformation. This can be immediately done:

$$U(A', A) = \langle A', n+1 | A, n \rangle$$

$$= \delta \left(A'_1 - V^{-1} A_1 V + \partial_1 (V^{-1}) V \right) \delta \left(A'_2 - V^{-1} A_2 V + \partial_2 (V^{-1}) V \right) \exp \left(\operatorname{Tr} \int B_0 F \right),$$

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 46/49

Quantization is achieved by implementing the evolution equations as a unitary transformation. This can be immediately done:

$$U(A', A) = \langle A', n + 1 | A, n \rangle$$

$$= \delta \left(A'_1 - V^{-1} A_1 V + \partial_1 (V^{-1}) V \right) \delta \left(A'_2 - V^{-1} A_2 V + \partial_2 (V^{-1}) V \right) \exp \left(\operatorname{Tr} \int B_0 F \right),$$

One has to impose the constraint F_{12} =0, which yields the usual space of states of BF theory (Ooguri, Noui-Perez).

The unitary evolution depends on two free functions B_0 and V, but it is clear that on the space of physical states the evolution is independent on them.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 47/49

Summary:

 By discretizing only time and additionally imposing the diffeomorphism constraint one can set up a framework where consistent discretizations can be seen as a way of implementing the dynamics of loop quantum gravity.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 48/49

Summary:

- By discretizing only time and additionally imposing the diffeomorphism constraint one can set up a framework where consistent discretizations can be seen as a way of implementing the dynamics of loop quantum gravity.
- This opens a concrete avenue for numerical quantum gravity.

Pirsa: 04100030 Page 49/49